

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

FACILITY NAME: CEMEX-WEST BOCA READY-MIX - FACILITY IS INACTIVE FACILITY LOCATION: 11997 LOXAHATCHEE RD BOCA RATON 33433 OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JEFFREY PORTER Email:	INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)			
FACILITY NAME: CEMEX-WEST BOCA READY-MIX - FACILITY IS INACTIVE FACILITY LOCATION: 11997 LOXAHATCHEE RD BOCA RATON 33433 OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JEFFREY PORTER Email: Mobile: (561)718-7564 PHONE: (561)482-3661 Mobile: (561)482-3661 Mobile: FACILITY LOCATION: 11997 LOXAHATCHEE RD BOCA RATON 33433 OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JEFFREY PORTER PHONE: (561)820-8415 Mobile: (561)482-3661 Mobile: FACILITY NAME: THOMAS BECKUM PHONE: (561)482-3661 Mobile: FACILITY LOCATION: (661)482-3661 Mobile: FACILITY LOCATION: Mobile: (561)482-3661 Mobile: FACILITY LOCATION: (561)820-8415 Mobile: (561)718-7564 PHONE: (561)482-3661 Mobile: FACILITY LOCATION: (561)482-3661 Mobile: FACILITY LOCATION: (561)482-3661 Mobile: FACILITY LOCATION: (561)482-3661 Mobile: (561)482-3661 Mobile: FACILITY LOCATION: (561)482-3661 Mobile:	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO	O:	
FACILITY NAME: CEMEX-WEST BOCA READY-MIX - FACILITY IS INACTIVE FACILITY LOCATION: 11997 LOXAHATCHEE RD BOCA RATON 33433 OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JEFFREY PORTER Email: Mobile: (561)718-7564 PHONE: (561)482-3661 Mobile: (561)482-3661 Mobile: FACILITY LOCATION: 10/12/2008 / 10/12/2013 (effective date) / (end date) Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box) IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Tom beckham Brief Notes: 2. Is the Authorized Representative still JEFFREY PORTER? by Yes No If no, who is?: If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? Yes No If no who is?: No If no, who is?: Yes				
BOCA RATON 33433 OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JEFFREY PORTER Email: Mobile: (561)820-8415 Mobile: (561)718-7564 PHONE: (561)482-3661 Mobile: (561)482	AIRS ID#: 0112722 DATE: <u>7/20/12</u>	ARRIVE: <u>1030</u>	DEPART: <u>1200</u>	
BOCA RATON 33433 OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JEFFREY PORTER Email: Mobile: (561)820-8415 Email: Mobile: (561)482-3661 PHONE: (561)482-3661 Mobile: (561)482-3661 Mobile: (561)482-3661 Mobile: (561)482-3661 Mobile: (561)482-3661 Mobile: Mobile: (561)482-3661 Mobile: (561)482-3661 Mobile: Mobile: Mobile: (561)482-3661 Mobile: Mobile: (561)482-3661 Mobile: Mobile: Mobile: (561)482-3661 Mobile: Mobi	FACILITY NAME: CEMEX-WEST BOCA REAL	DY-MIX - FACILITY IS INACTIV	Æ	
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JEFFREY PORTER Email: CONTACT NAME: THOMAS BECKUM Email: EMAIL: EMAIL: Facility Section Facility Section Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box) IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING I. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Tom beckham Brief Notes: 1. If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? If different did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? If different did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? If different did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? If different did the facility provide an	FACILITY LOCATION: 11997 LOXAHAT	TCHEE RD		
Email: CONTACT NAME: THOMAS BECKUM Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 10/12/2008 / 10/12/2013 (effective date) / (end date) Facility Section Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box) IN COMPLIANCE	BOCA RATON	33433		
Email:	Email:	Mobile	e: (561)718-7564	
Facility Section FART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) □ IN COMPLIANCE □ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE □ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE FART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Tom beckham Brief Notes: □ □ 2. Is the Authorized Representative still JEFFREY PORTER? □ □ Yes □.No If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? □ □ Yes □.No If no, who is?: □ □ Yes □.No If no, who is?: □ □ Yes □.No We will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection? □ □ Yes □.No No				
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Tom beckham Brief Notes: 2. Is the Authorized Representative still JEFFREY PORTER? ☐ Yes ☐No If no, who is?: If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? ☐ Yes ☐No 3. Is the facility contact still THOMAS BECKUM? ☐ Yes ☐No If no, who is?: ☐ Yes ☐No 4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection? ☐ Yes ☐No				
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Tom beckham Brief Notes: ☐ 2. Is the Authorized Representative still JEFFREY PORTER? ☐ Yes ☐No If no, who is?: ☐ Yes ☐No 3. Is the facility contact still THOMAS BECKUM? ☐ Yes ☐No If no, who is?: ☐ Yes ☐No 4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection? ☐ Yes ☐No				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Tom beckham Brief Notes: 2. Is the Authorized Representative still JEFFREY PORTER?		Facility Section		
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Tom beckham Brief Notes: 2. Is the Authorized Representative still JEFFREY PORTER?				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING (check only one box for each question) 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Tom beckham Brief Notes: 2. Is the Authorized Representative still JEFFREY PORTER? \(\sqrt{Yes} \)No If no, who is?: \(\sqrt{Yes} \)No If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? \(\sqrt{Yes} \)No If no, who is?: \(\sqrt{Yes} \)No If no, who is?: \(\sqrt{Yes} \)No	_	<u> </u>		
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Tom beckham Brief Notes: 2. Is the Authorized Representative still JEFFREY PORTER?		COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICA	NT Non-COMPLIANCE	
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Tom beckham Brief Notes: 2. Is the Authorized Representative still JEFFREY PORTER?				
Brief Notes: 2. Is the Authorized Representative still JEFFREY PORTER?	PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETIN	<u>G</u>	` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` `	
2. Is the Authorized Representative still JEFFREY PORTER?	1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>Tom beckh</u>	<u>nam</u>	box for each question)	
If no, who is?: If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? YesNo 3. Is the facility contact still THOMAS BECKUM?	Brief Notes:			
3. Is the facility contact still THOMAS BECKUM?	2. Is the Authorized Representative still JEFFREY I If no, who is?:	PORTER?	⊠ Yes □No	
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection? Yes No	3. Is the facility contact still THOMAS BECKUM?		YesNo YesNo	
11 300, was are compliance auditority notified at least 15 days in advance:	4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during toda	ay's inspection?		

Emissions Unit Section 1 -truck loadout w/central baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

1. Date of last inspection: 5/27/09 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	PΔ	RT I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	
2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	1 1 -	KII. IIII KETETTIKOK TO MOLECTON	ļ
2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?			
2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	1	Date of last inspection: 5/27/09	ļ
If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?			\square No
b. If tested:			=
C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?			=
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards 1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? — Yes No 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? — Yes No 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? — Yes No 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? — Yes No b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? — Yes No 2. If reasonable precautions not being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? — Performed Press No b. If tested: — Yes No			
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards 1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		c. what caused the problem(s) (ii known):	
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards 1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? ————————————————————————————————————			
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards 1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? ————————————————————————————————————			
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards 1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	PA	RT II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards 1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?			ļ
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?			ļ
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	Co	<u>nveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	1		
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?			
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		emissions by:	
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		a. Management of roads, parking grees, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:	ļ
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?			\square No
control emissions? ————————————————————————————————————			L NO
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?			⊠ No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?			M NO
particulate matter?			
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?		owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne	□ Na
particulate matter from stock piles?		<u> </u>	∐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Yes No 1. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?		4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?		particulate matter from stock piles? Yes	∐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes No b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? Yes No		h. Use of array her, shute, or partial analogura to mitigate amissions at the drop point to the truck?	□ No
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?		b. Ose of spray bar, chute, of partial enclosure to intigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	No
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	2.	If reasonable precautions not being taken:	
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? Yes No			\square No
		h If tested: ()% onacity Were the visible emissions < 20% onacity?	
		c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	

Emissions Unit Section 2 –split cement (E) silo #1 w/cartr. dust coll. (E or NE) subject to Reasonable Precautions

PA	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	
	Date of last inspection: 5/27/09 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PA	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
Co	nconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and onveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:	
	a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? ————————————————————————————————————	□ No □ No
	3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? ————————————————————————————————————	□ No□ No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🛛 Yes	☐ No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	☐ No ☐ No

Emissions Unit Section 3 –split cement (E) silo #2 w/cartr. dust coll. (W or SW) subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
Date of last inspection: 5/27/09 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? \[\Delta \text{N/A} \] c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and		
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfine emissions by:	ed	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the fo	ollowing:	
 paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 	⊠ Yes	☐ No
control emissions?	Yes	⊠ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne		
particulate matter?	Yes	☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:	□ v	□ N.
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	Yes Yes	∐ No □ No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		

Emissions Unit Section 4 –flyash/slag (W) silo w/cartridge dust collector (W) subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
1. Date of last inspection: 5/27/09 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested:)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	· Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and		
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
	_	
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfin emissions by:	ed	
	C 11	ļ
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		□ No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to		
control emissions?	- Yes	⊠ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne		
particulate matter?	X Yes	☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	⊠ v _{as}	□ No
particulate matter from stock piles?	res	∐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable presentions not being taken.		
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	- Nes	□ No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	Yes	☐ No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		

Emissions Unit Section <u>5 -weigh hopper/batcher [ducted to EU001's central baghouse] subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	ļ
	Yes No Yes No Yes No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
TIRT III TIEBE OBSERVITTORIS RUIC ON MOUNTAINE	
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: 	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the followi	no:
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to	110
	Yes \square No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	_
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne	
particulate matter? 🔲 Y	Yes No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	
particulate matter from stock piles?	Yes No
<u></u>	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:	
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	Yes
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	Yes
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	

Facility Section (continued)

CO	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check ☑	only one
		box for each	•
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	☐ Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gener permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	-	NoNoNoNoNoNoNo
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared	<u>nne/yr</u> < 1.00 e/yr)?
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	ption - Yes	□ No
C)	ENIED AL CONDITIONS		
GI	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ box for each	•
	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	⊠ Yes	□ No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	- 🛛 Yes	☐ No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?	- 🛛 Yes	□ No
3.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	S	□ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary □; relocatable □; or consisting of both state concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If	ttionary and relocatable	(check 🗹 cox for each cox for each cox question 2.)	-
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? (<i>If YES, answer 2. a and 2 .b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.</i>) a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Lo		Yes	☐ No
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day p b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification	rior to changing location?	☐ Yes	☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busines c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five be	on Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]		□ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpor If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it we co-located at the permitted facility?	construction or air operation perm that separate permit: ose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)	it, ? Yes Yes	□ No□ No□ No□ No
CHANGES Changes Changes Changes			No No No No No
Art Pennetta	7/20/12		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
	TBD		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Insp	ection	
COMMENTS: Facility is currently inactive.			