

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INS	PECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/D	ISCOVER'	Y (CI)	
		RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLA	AINT NO:		
AIR	RS ID#: 0112722 DA 7	ΓΕ: <u>12/1/11</u>	ARRIVE: <u>1340</u>		DEPART: <u>1450</u>	
FAC	CILITY NAME: CE	MEX-WEST BOCA READY	-MIX - FACILITY IS II	NACTIVE		
FAC	CILITY LOCATION	: 11997 LOXAHATCI	HEE RD			
		BOCA RATON 334	433			
I	NER/AUTHORIZEI Email: NTACT NAME: TI	D REPRESENTATIVE: JE	EFFREY PORTER	Mobile:	(561)820-8415 (561)718-7564 (561)482-3661	
I	Email: FITLEMENT PERIC			Mobile:	(661) 162 5661	
			Facility Section			
PAI	RT I: INSPECTION	COMPLIANCE STATUS	(check ✓ only one box)		
	☐ IN COMPLIANO				Non-COMPLIANCE	
DAI	OT II. ONGITE INTI					
		RODUCTORY MEETING			(check box for e	✓ only one ach question)
1. I	Name(s) of facility rep	resentative(s): Tom beckham	<u>1</u>			1 /
]	Brief Notes:					
2. I	s the Authorized Repr f no, who is?:	esentative still JEFFREY PO	RTER?		X Yes	□No
3. I		ility provide an administrative till THOMAS BECKUM?				□No □No
		ting VE test(s) during today's ance authority notified at least				_

Emissions Unit Section 1 -truck loadout w/central baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
TARTI, PILE REVIEW TRIOR TO MULECITOR		
1. Date of last inspection: 5/27/09		
2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	X Yes	□ No
If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?		□ No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?		□ No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		
c. what caused the problem(s) (if known)?		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	V 7 J -	
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and	<u>Yards</u>	
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to co	ntrol unconfined	
emissions by:	introl uncommed	
Chilosions by.		
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one o	or more of the following:	
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		\square No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when n		
control emissions?		⊠ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of		
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airbor		
particulate matter?		\square No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind en		
particulate matter from stock piles?	X Yes	□ No
particulate matter from stock phos.	Z 105	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to	the truck? X Yes	□ No
The state of the s		
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:		
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	Yes	☐ No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	Yes	☐ No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		

Emissions Unit Section 2 –split cement (E) silo #1 w/cartr. dust coll. (E or NE) subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
Date of last inspection: 5/27/09 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, 2</u>	and Varde	
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Fonts, Roads, Farking Areas, Stock Files, 2	mu 1 arus	
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to emissions by: 	control unconfined	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include or		
 paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals who 	en necessary to	☐ No
control emissions?	Yes	⊠ No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce air	borne	□ N.
particulate matter?	l entrainment of	∐ No
particulate matter from stock piles?	X Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop poin	t to the truck? X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No

Emissions Unit Section 3 –split cement (E) silo #2 w/cartr. dust coll. (W or SW) subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
1. Date of last inspection: 5/27/09 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	☐ Yes	□ No □ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		ļ
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and		ļ
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		ļ
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfine emissions by: 	ed	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the f 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 		☐ No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	- Yes	⊠ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	- ⊠ Yes	☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	☐ No
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No

Emissions Unit Section 4 –flyash/slag (W) silo w/cartridge dust collector (W) subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
Date of last inspection: 5/27/09 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? \[\Delta \text{N/A} \] c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
FART II; FIELD ODSERVATIONS – Ruie 02-290.414(2), F.A.C.		
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by:	ned	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	- X Yes	□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	_	□ No□ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🛚 Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No

Emissions Unit Section 5 -weigh hopper/batcher [ducted to EU001's central baghouse] subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	
1. Date of last inspection: 5/27/09 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	No No No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	 No No No No No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Yes 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	No No No

Facility Section (continued)

01	AND MARKON OF CONTRACT PERMANENT ACTION VIEW		
<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check ☑ box for each	
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	☐ Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	⋈ No⋈ No⋈ No⋈ No⋈ No⋈ No
4.	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal proparation of gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal proparation maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	e/yr aption	? □ No
	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ box for each	
	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	- X Yes	☐ No
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?		☐ No
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	🛛 Yes	☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary □; relocatable □; or consisting of both s	tationary and relocatable	(check 🗹 box for each	•
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Ij</i> 2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and	f only stationary, skip the followin	ng question 2.)	
soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? (If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)	and Air Dramma hastelankan	Yes	☐ No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or I e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day j b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification 	prior to changing location?ation Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]_	□ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busine c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifica to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five	tion Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6	5)]	□ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate a and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit	ir construction or air operation per		
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purp If YES, what was the purpose?	pose (i.e, there is no repeated usage	e)? 🗌 Yes	☐ No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it co-located at the permitted facility?			□ No □ No
CHANGES		(check ☑ box for each	
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of t	he facility or authorized representa		question
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation			
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admir 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	nistrative change at the facility?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admir 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	nistrative change at the facility? of the change?		=
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admir 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	nistrative change at the facility? of the change? antially different?		No No No
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admir 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	nistrative change at the facility? of the change? antially different? on form and the appropriate fee sub		☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admit 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	nistrative change at the facility? of the change? antially different? on form and the appropriate fee sub		No No No No No No
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admir 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantial. A change in ownership? 4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration 30 days prior to the change?	nistrative change at the facility? of the change? antially different? on form and the appropriate fee sub-		No No No No No No
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admir 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	antially different?		No No No No No No
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admir 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on the New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	antially different?		No No No No No No