

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)				
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:				
AIRS ID#: 0112722 DATE: <u>12/9/10</u> ARRIVE: <u>1155</u> DEPAR	T: <u>1300</u>			
FACILITY NAME: CEMEX-WEST BOCA READY-MIX				
FACILITY LOCATION: 11997 LOXAHATCHEE RD				
BOCA RATON 33433				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JEFFREY PORTER Email: CONTACT NAME: THOMAS BECKUM PHONE: (561)820-8 Mobile: (561)718-7 PHONE: (561)482-3	7564			
Email: Mobile: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 10/12/2008 / 10/12/2013 (effective date) (end date)				
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	(check ☑ only one			
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>Tom beckham</u>	box for each question)			
Brief Notes:				
2. Is the Authorized Representative still JEFFREY PORTER?	⊠ Yes □No			
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still THOMAS BECKUM? If no, who is?:				
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?				

Emissions Unit Section 1 -truck loadout w/central baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
Date of last inspection: 5/27/09 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
	(check ✓ box for each of	only one question)
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>		
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control uncon emissions by:	fined	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of th 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 		☐ No
control emissions?	Yes	⊠ No
removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?		☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?		☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? -	X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No

Emissions Unit Section 2 –split cement (E) silo #1 w/cartr. dust coll. (E or NE) subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	-
Date of last inspection: 5/27/09 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	No No No

Uı	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. nconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Onveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
1.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ned	
	 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	- X Yes	□ No □ No
	owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	<u> </u>	□ No□ No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- X Yes	☐ No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	□ No □ No

Emissions Unit Section 3 –split cement (E) silo #2 w/cartr. dust coll. (W or SW) subject to Reasonable Precautions

5 -split tement (E) sno #2 w/cartr. dust con. (w or 5 w) subject to Reasonable Fro	<u>:cautions</u>	
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
1. Date of last inspection: 5/27/09 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? \[\sum N/A \] c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Tyes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfigures emissions by:	ined	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	X Yes	□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	_	□ No□ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🛚 Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No

Emissions Unit Section 4 –flyash/slag (W) silo w/cartridge dust collector (W) subject to Reasonable Precautions

4-nyasii/siag (W) silo w/cartriage dust conector (W) subject to Reasonable 1 rec	autions	
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
Date of last inspection: 5/27/09 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	No No No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check b	only one question)
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ined	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	X Yes	□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	_	□ No□ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🛚 Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No

Emissions Unit Section 5 -weigh hopper/batcher [ducted to EU001's central baghouse] subject to Reasonable Precautions

	W PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
If not: a. Did the insp b. If tested: (_	a: 5/27/09 It use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? bector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? If the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes No
PART II: FIELD OBSI	ERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check 🗹 only one
Unconfined Emissions for	rom Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yard	box for each question)
Does the owner/operate emissions by:	tor of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control	unconfined
1) paving and m 2) application of control emission 3) removal of pa	ads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or monaintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?f water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary?	Yes No sary to
particulate matte 4) reduction of s	to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne er?stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainer from stock piles?	ment of
b. Use of spray bar, cl	hute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the tr	ruck?
b. If tested: ()%	ons <u>not</u> being taken: erform a general VE test (20% opacity)? o opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? oblem(s) (if known)?	Yes

Facility Section (continued)

CO	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹	only one
		box for each	
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	☐ Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	-	NoNoNoNoNoNo
4.	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal properties (23,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal properties the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumfor each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	ne/yr)? □ No
	Tor each consecutive 12 period for the past o years.		
GI	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ box for each	
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	X Yes	☐ No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	- 🛭 Yes	☐ No
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	- X Yes	☐ No
	permit and Department rules?	X Yes	☐ No

 RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary □; relocatable □; or consisting of both st concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If 	ationary and relocatable box for	k 🗹 only one each question) on 2.)
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? (<i>If YES</i> , <i>answer 2. a and 2 .b</i> ; <i>if NO</i> , <i>answer question 2.c below.</i>) a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or L	Your Air Program by tolonbone	es 🗌 No
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day p b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifica	orior to changing location? Ye	es 🗌 No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busines c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notificat	ss days following a relocation? Ye ion Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	es
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five		es 🗌 No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate ai and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit i a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purp If YES, what was the purpose?	n that separate permit: ose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? Ye	es 🗌 No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it v co-located at the permitted facility?	Ye	<u> </u>
CWANGES		
CHANGES A desirie traction Changes		k 🗹 only one each question)
Administrative Changes:		
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on the New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	of the facility or any emissions units or istrative change at the facility? Ye f the change? Ye	es
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	of the facility or any emissions units or istrative change at the facility? You fit the change? You You You You You You You I	es
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	of the facility or any emissions units or istrative change at the facility? You fithe change? You fithe change? You fithe change? You fitted you for any emissions units or istrative change at the facility? You fithe change?	es No es No es No es No es No es No
 associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substated. A change in ownership?	of the facility or any emissions units or istrative change at the facility? You fithe change? You fithe change? You fithe change? You fitted you for any emissions units or istrative change at the facility? You fithe change?	es No es No es No es No es No es No
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on the New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	of the facility or any emissions units or istrative change at the facility? You fit the change?	es No es No es No es No es No es No
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? ————————————————————————————————————	of the facility or any emissions units or istrative change at the facility?	es No es No es No es No es No es No