	WHENTIAL PROTECTION
W OCH	1 Came
FL	ORIDA

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOV						
AIRS ID#: 0250649 DATE: <u>3/10/2009</u> FACILITY NAME: FLORIDA ROCK INDUSTRIES FACILITY LOCATION: 13801 NW 186 Street HIALEAH 33018 OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: F CONTACT NAME: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 11/24/2007 / 11/24. (effective date) (end date	t RANK HUNG PHON PHON /2012	DEPART: <u>9:50 AM</u> NE: (786)299-7012 NE:					
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☑ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE □ PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.							
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) <u>Stack Emissions</u> Were visible emissions tests conducted during t 62-297, F.A.C.)? Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batch controlled to the extent necessary to limit visibl During visible emissions tests of the silo dust crat a rate that is representative of the normal silo unless such rate is unachievable in practice? Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) to this question is "Yes", then continue on to question 5 a) Was the batching operation in operation dur b) During the visible emissions test, was the bat duration? If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) of from the silo dust collector, are the visible emission conducted while batching at a rate that is represented by the stock of t	hers), and other enclosed storage le emissions to 5 percent opacity ollector exhaust points was the h o loading rate, or at least at the m operation controlled by the silo testions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If a b)						

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)
(check 🗹 appropriate box(es)
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)
2. Did this facility demonstrate:
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? [Yes] No
 Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Yes Yes No
 Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? □Yes □No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check appropriate box(es))	
1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary : 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check I only one box.</i>)	
 a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per calendar year? c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year? 	g Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No
b) material processed on a monthly basis?	Yes □ No Yes □ No Yes □ No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1)	paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? [Yes] No
	2)	application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control
		emissions? Tyes No
	3)	removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to
		re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? [Yes] No
	4)	reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of
		particulate matter from stock piles? [Yes] No
b)	use	e of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? [Yes] No

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>

1. Sin	ce the last inspection has there been		
	installation of any new process equipment?	Yes	No
b)	alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	Yes	🗌 No
c)	replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most	_	_
	recent notification form?	Yes	∐ No
d)	If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		
	notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or	_	_
	local program office?	Yes	∐ No

FRANK DELGADO

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

3/10/2009

Date of Inspection

3/2010

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: THE PLANT IS CLOSED. THE VE TEST WAS CANCELLED. THE PLANT'S GATE IS LOCKED.