CHUEFTAL PROTECTION	
our Maria	
FLORIDA	

**CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT** 



### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/I ARMS COMPL		(CI)		
AIRS ID#: 0250647 DAT	ГЕ: <u>6/27/2013</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:20</u>	AM	DEPART:	11:21 AM	
FACILITY NAME: BUI	ENA VISTA II READY MIX C	CB PLANT				
FACILITY LOCATION	1801 NW MIAMI CT					
	MIAMI 33136-1738					
OWNER/AUTHORIZEI Email: tlancaster@tit: CONTACT NAME: OS Email: obucknor@tit: ENTITLEMENT PERIC	SCAR BUCKNOR* anamerica.com		Mobile:	(954)425-4227 (561)504-6787 (954)275-6754	7	
<u></u>	F	acility Section				
PART I: INSPECTION	COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch	$\operatorname{eck} \overline{\mathbf{M}}$ only one bo	(X)			
IN COMPLIANC	CE MINOR Non-COMP	LIANCE SIG	GNIFICANT	Non-COMPLI	ANCE	
PART II: ONSITE INTE	RODUCTORY MEETING				(check 🗹	•
1. Name(s) of facility repr	resentative(s): MOHAMMAD H	<u>KHAN</u>			box for each	question)
Brief Notes:						
2 Is the Authorized Repr					☐ Yes	
If no, who is?: <u>MOH</u>	esentative still TERRY LANCA AMMAD KHAN	STER?				⊠No

—	
	Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?Image: Section in the section is a section in the section in the section is a section in the section in the section is a section in the section in the section is a section in the section in the section is a section in the section in the section is a section in the section in the section is a section in the section in the section in the section is a section in the section in the section is a section in the section in the section is a section in the section in the section in the section is a section in the s

2 - CCB Plant-silo#1(cementTypeI/II)old plant w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions				
	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)		
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>11/28/2012</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity? X N/A</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ol>	- 🗌 Yes	☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No		
		]		
<u>PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.</u> <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)		
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfin emissions by:	led			
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to</li> </ul>		🗌 No		
<ul><li>control emissions?</li></ul>		□ No		
particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	—	□ No □ No		
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	🗌 No		
<ul> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:</li> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul>	- 🗌 Yes - 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No		

3 - CCB Plant-silo#2(cementTypeI/II)old plant w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions				
	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)		
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>11/28/2012</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?</li></ol>	- 🗌 Yes	☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No		
$\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{D} = \mathbf{D} + $		ji		
<u>PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.</u> <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)		
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfin emissions by:	led			
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to</li> </ul>		🗌 No		
<ul><li>control emissions?</li></ul>		□ No		
particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	—	□ No □ No		
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Xes Yes	🗌 No		
<ul> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:</li> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul>	- 🗌 Yes - 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No		

ч. *4*э (е ... n .

4 – CCB Plant-slio#3 (flyash) old plant W/sliotop bagnouse subject to Reasonable Precautions				
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)		
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>11/28/2012</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity? N/A</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ol>	🗌 Yes	☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check 🗹	only one		
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	box for each	•		
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by:</li> </ol>	ined			
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to</li> </ul>	🛛 Yes	D No		
<ul><li>control emissions?</li></ul>	-	□ No		
particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?		∐ No □ No		
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No		

$\mathbf{r}$	If magainable measurtions not being taken.		
Ζ.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:		
	a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?		No No
	b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	Yes	No No
	c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		

5 – CCB Plant-silo#4 (slag Pen/Cem) old plant w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonabl	5 – CCB Plant-silo#4 (slag Pen/Cem) old plant w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions				
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	•			
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>11/28/2012</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity? N/A</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ol>	🗌 Yes	☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No			
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)			
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by:	ned				
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li></ul>	🛛 Yes 🕅 Yes 🕅 Yes	<ul> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?</li> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: <ul> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	🗌 Yes	□ No □ No □ No			

6 - CCB Plant-scale/weighhop&trkldout old plantw/centdustcollect subject to Reasonable Precautions				
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each			
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>11/28/2012</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity? N/A</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ol>	- 🗌 Yes	☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)		
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ned			
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the <ol> <li>paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?</li> <li>removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	- Xes	□ No □ No		
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	_	□ No □ No		
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No		
<ul> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:</li> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul>	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No		

7 - CCB Plant-material scale old plant w/individual baghouse dc subject to Reasonable Precautions				
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)		
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>11/28/2012</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity? N/A</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ol>	🗌 Yes	☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(abaalt 🗹	ly one		
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)		
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by:</li> </ol>	ned			
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to</li> </ul>		🗌 No		
<ul><li>control emissions?</li></ul>	🛛 Yes	🗌 No		
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?		🗌 No		
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No		
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No		
<ul> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:</li> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul>	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No		

<u>8 – CCB Plant-silo#5(cementType I/II)new plantw/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>				
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)		
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>11/28/2012</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity? [2</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ol>	Yes	☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No		
<u>PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.</u> <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yan</u>	(check box for each	only one question)		
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to contro emissions by:</li> </ol>	ol unconfined			
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or monomorphic paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when nece</li> </ul>	ssary to	□ No		
<ul> <li>control emissions?</li></ul>		∐ No		
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrain particulate matter from stock piles?	nment of	D No		
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the	truck? 🛛 Yes	🗌 No		
<ul> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:</li> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul>	Q Yes	☐ No ☐ No		

9 - CCB Plant-silo#6(cementTypeI/II)new plant w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions				
<ol> <li>PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u></li> <li>1. Date of last inspection: <u>11/28/2012</u></li> <li>2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ol>	box for each c	only one juestion)		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	(check ☑ box for each c	only one juestion)		
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards				
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfin emissions by:</li> </ol>	ed			
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the f</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?</li> </ul>	Yes Yes	□ No		
<ul> <li>3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?</li> <li>4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of</li> </ul>		D No		
particulate matter from stock piles?				
<ul> <li>b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?</li> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: <ul> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No		

<u>10 – CCB Plant-silo#7(slag Pen/Cem)new plant w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>				
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)		
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>11/28/2012</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?</li></ol>	- 🗌 Yes	☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No		
DADT IL FIELD OBSERVATIONS Del (2 20( 414/2) E A C				
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)		
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:</li> </ol>	ned			
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?</li></ul>	- Yes	□ No		
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	-			
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No		
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No		
<ul> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:</li> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul>	- 🗌 Yes - 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No		

11 -CCB Plant-scale/weighhop&trkldout new plantw/centdustcollect subject to Reasonable Precautions				
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each			
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>11/28/2012</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity? N/A</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ol>	🗍 Yes	☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.       (check I only one box for each question)         Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards       (check I only one box for each question)				
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by:	ned			
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?</li></ul>	Xes	□ No □ No		
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	—	□ No □ No		
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No		
<ul> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:</li> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul>	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No		

12 – CCB Plant-material scale new plant w/individual baghouse dc subject to Reasonable Precautions				
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)		
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>11/28/2012</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?</li></ol>	Yes	☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.				
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yan</u>	(check ☑ box for each urds	only one question)		
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to contro emissions by:</li> </ol>	ol unconfined			
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or monomorphic mathematical paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary provides the provided of the provided o</li></ul>	Yes	🗌 No		
<ul><li>control emissions?</li></ul>	Yes	🗌 No		
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?		🗌 No		
particulate matter from stock piles?		🗌 No		
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the t	truck? 🛛 Yes	🗌 No		
<ul> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:</li> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul>	Yes	□ No □ No		

#### **Facility Section (continued)**

<u>C</u> (	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY		
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? Yes b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? Yes c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? Yes		No No No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? Yes If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?	1	No
	<ul> <li>b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?</li> <li>If YES, what other general permit units or activities?</li> </ul>	1	No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? Yes b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? Yes c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? Yes d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? Yes e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? Yes		No No No No No
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumption for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years? Yes	_ I	No

#### GENERAL CONDITIONS

1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control			
	devices?	$\square$	Yes	🖂 No
2.	Does the owner or operator:			_
	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	No No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all			_
	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	🗌 No
3.				
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general	_		_
	permit and Department rules?	$\bowtie$	Yes	∐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:         1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If only stationary, skip the following the following stationary) of the following stationary of the following stationary of the following stationary of the following stationary stationary stationary of the following stationary station	(check 🗹 box for each ng question 2.)	question)
<ul> <li>2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?</li></ul>	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?		🗌 No
b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900 to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900( to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?		🗌 No
<ul> <li>3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation per and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:</li> <li>a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usag If YES, what was the purpose?</li> <li>b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?</li></ul>	e)? 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No □ No
CHANGES Administrative Changes:	(check ☑ box for each	•
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represent associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions u operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?</li> </ol>	nits or	🖂 No

2.	If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? Yes	└ No
Ne	ew or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	
3.	Since the last registration form submittal has there been	
	a. Installation of any new process equipment? Yes	🛛 No
	b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? Yes	🛛 No
	c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? Yes	🛛 No
	d. A change in ownership? Yes	No No
4.	If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee submitted	
	30 days prior to the change? Yes	No No

FRANK DELGADO

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection

6/2014

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

6/27/2012

**COMMENTS:** MOHAMMAD KHAN PERFORMED VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTS ON THE OLD AND NEW CONCRETE BATCH PLANTS. I WITNESSED VE TESTS ON EMISSIONS UNIT #2 AND #3 OF THE OLD PLANT. BOTH SILOS WERE LOADED WITH CEMENT AT APPROXIMATELY 10 PSI. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE OR FUGITIVE EMISSIONS AROUND THE FACILITY. THE SLAG SILO OF THE NEW PLANT IS OUT OF SERVICE. THE FACILITY YARD AND STREET NEXT TO THE PLANT ARE KEPT CLEAN USING A SWEEPER.

> **REVIEWED** By Ray Gordon at 4:09 pm, Jul 19, 2013