

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District Branch Office 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard, MS 55 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-3000 Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard, Jr. Secretary

February 2, 2012

SENT VIA E-MAIL dalford@mckenzietank.com

Donnie Alford Vice President Operations Terminal Service Company, Inc. 2778 West Tharpe Street Tallahassee, Florida 32302

Dear Mr. Alford:

A Department representative inspected your facility to determine compliance with the Air Quality Operating Permit. The Air Program identification number for this facility is **0730052**. Your facility permit <u>expires on March 26, 2012</u>. This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program.

The Tallahassee Branch Office reported a status of In Compliance for your facility. Your facility compliance status may be subject to further review by the District Program Office.

The assistance you provided is appreciated. The inspection checklist and its comments section are enclosed. If you have any questions, your local contact is Tracy White at 850/245-2984 or tracy.a.white@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Marlane Castellanos

Marlane Castellano

Branch Manager

MC/tw Enclosures

cc: Rick Bradburn, FDEP, Pensacola

Mary Beth Curle, FDEP Carol Melton, FDEP



CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

<u>IN</u>	SPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/D ARMS COMPLA	OISCOVERY (CI)			
ΑI	RS ID#: 0730052 DA	TE: <u>1/26/2012</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:30</u>	DEPART:			
FACILITY NAME: TERMINAL SERVICE COMPANY							
FA	FACILITY LOCATION: 2778 W. THARPE STREET						
		TALLAHASSEE	32302				
CC	WNER/AUTHORIZE Email: dalford@mck DNTACT NAME: Email: VTITLEMENT PERIO		/2012	PHONE: (904)576-133 Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	30		
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ✓ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE							
	·	resentative(s): Donnie Alf			(check ☑ box for each	only one question)	
2.	Is the Authorized Repr If no, who is?:	resentative still DONNIE A	LFORD?		Yes	□No	
3.		ility provide an administrat				□No □No	
4.	Will facility be conducted If yes, was the compliant	eting VE test(s) during toda ance authority notified at lea	y's inspection?ast 15 days in advance?		- Yes Yes	⊠No □No	

Emissions Unit Section 5 – Cement Silos, rail & truck loading racks subject to Reasonable Precautions

PA	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		1
		,	only one
		box for each o	question)
1.	Date of last inspection: <u>2/25/2011</u>		
2.	Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	🛛 Yes	☐ No
	If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?		⊠ No
	b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? \[\Boxed N/A \]	☐ Yes	☐ No
	c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		
<u>P</u> A	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check 🗹	only one
		box for each of	
	confined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and		1
Co	onveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
1	Does the assumed an enter of the concepts botching plant take accountil an accounting to control unconfi	inad	
1.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by:	ned	
	emissions by.		
	a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the	following:	
	1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		□ No
	2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to		
	control emissions?	\ Yes	□ No
	3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	_	_
	owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne		
	particulate matter?	X Yes	☐ No
	4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	_	_
	particulate matter from stock piles?	Yes	☐ No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🛛 Yes	☐ No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:		
	a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	Yes	∐ No
	b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	U Yes	☐ No
	c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY			only one question)	
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?		Yes Yes Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No	
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		Yes	⊠ No	
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		Yes	⊠ No	
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNo	
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared	<u>ine/yr</u> e/yr	<u>:</u> < 1.00	0?	
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		Yes	☐ No	
GENERAL CONDITIONS (check ☑ only one box for each question)					
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	🗆	Yes	⊠ No	
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	_		□ No	
3	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?		Yes	☐ No	
J .	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general		Ves	□No	

RELOCATABLE PLANT:		(check 🗹	only one			
		box for each				
	. Is the facility: stationary \(\sigma\); relocatable \(\sigma\); or consisting of both stationary and relocatable \(\sigma\) concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the following question 2.</i>)					
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and						
soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?		Yes	⊠ No			
(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.						
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day 		Yes	□ No			
b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifi			☐ No			
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busin			☐ No			
c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notific						
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least fiv	e business days prior to relocation?	- Yes	☐ No			
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate		nit,				
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions uni		9 🗆 📆	⊠ M.			
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine pu If YES, what was the purpose?	rpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)	? Yes	⊠ No			
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long is	it was					
co-located at the permitted facility?		- Yes	☐ No			
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration? -		∐ Yes	∐ No			
CHANGES		(check 🗹	only one			
A Ladiciana di La Chamana		box for each				
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of	f the facility or authorized representati	ive not				
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation						
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adm	ninistrative change at the facility?	☐ Yes	⊠ No			
	2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? Yes No					
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been						
a. Installation of any new process equipment?		- Yes	⊠ No			
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement			⊠ No			
c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is sub-			⊠ No			
d. A change in ownership? Yes No			⊠ No			
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee submitted						
30 days prior to the change?		Yes	☐ No			
Tracy White	1/26/2012					
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection					
I may Evilue						
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Insp	ection				
COMMENTS, I mot with Downia Alfand I and J.M. Alfand I and	ros arrons that the feetile arrows	Id omnini in 3	March 2012			
COMMENTS: I met with Donnie Alford. I asked Mr. Alford if he was aware that the facility permit would expire in March 2012. He indicated that he was aware and had already submitted his renewal application to the Department.						
Afterwards I observed the facility equipment. A supply truck was loading the silo(s). The operator explained that the truck contained						
22 tons of product and took approximately 45 minutes to unload. I observed the silo filter units. No excess emissions were noted.						
The last compliance test review was performed on 4/07/2011.						