

# Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District Branch Office 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard, MS 55 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-3000 Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard, Jr. Secretary

March 4, 2011

SENT VIA E-MAIL dalford@mckenzietank.com

Donnie Alford Vice President Operations Terminal Service Company, Inc. 2778 West Tharpe Street Tallahassee, Florida 32302

Dear Mr. Alford:

A Department representative inspected your facility to determine compliance with the Air Quality Operating Permit. The Air Program identification number for this facility is **0730052**. Your facility permit expires on **March 26**, **2012**. This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program.

The Tallahassee Branch Office reported a status of In Compliance for your facility. Your facility compliance status may be subject to further review by the District Program Office.

The assistance you provided is appreciated. The inspection checklist and its comments section are enclosed. If you have any questions, your local contact is Tracy White at 850/245-2984 or <a href="mailto:tracy.a.white@dep.state.fl.us">tracy.a.white@dep.state.fl.us</a>.

Sincerely,

Marlane Castellanos

Maclane Castellanon

Branch Manager

MC/tw Enclosures

cc: Rick Bradburn, FDEP, Pensacola

Mary Beth Curle, FDEP Carol Melton, FDEP



## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/D ARMS COMPL	OISCOVERY (CI)						
AIRS ID#: 0730052 DATE: <u>2/25/2011</u>	ARRIVE: <u>9:15</u>	DEPAR	Г:					
FACILITY NAME: TERMINAL SERVICE COMPAN	ſΥ							
<b>FACILITY LOCATION:</b> 2778 W. THARPE STR	REET							
TALLAHASSEE 323	02							
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: DOI Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 3/26/2007 / 3/26/2012 (effective date) (end date)		PHONE: (904)576-1 Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	330					
Facility Section								
PART I: <u>INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> (check ☑ only one box)  ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE								
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING  1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Donnie Alford  Brief Notes:		(check <b>✓</b> box for each	(check ✓ only one box for each question)					
Is the Authorized Representative still DONNIE ALFO If no, who is?:	)RD?		- Xes	□No				
If different, did the facility provide an administrative u  3. Is the facility contact still?  If no, who is?:	update within 30 days?	·		□No □No				
<ol> <li>Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's in If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 1.</li> </ol>				□No □No				

# Emissions Unit Section 5 – Cement Silos, rail & truck loading racks subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
Date of last inspection: 7/28/2010     Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?   c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes No
DARTH BY DONGERYATIONS DA (A ANG ALAS) DA G	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check <b>☑</b> only one box for each question)
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards  1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unc	•
emissions by:	
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary</li> </ul>	to No
control emissions?	\(\) Yes \(\) No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	
particulate matter from stock piles?	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck	?
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	

### **Facility Section (continued)**

<u>C</u> (	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check			
		box for each	question)		
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:  a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?  b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?  c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	☐ Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No		
2.	Does this facility include:  a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No		
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No		
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	-	<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>		
4.	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal proparation gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr + 1.3 MM gal proparation maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	ne/yr	0? ⊠ No		
			-		
G	GENERAL CONDITIONS (check ✓ only one box for each question)				
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No		
2.	Does the owner or operator:	_			
	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	- 🛚 Yes	☐ No		
3	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?		☐ No		
٥.	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		□ No		

RI	RELOCATABLE PLANT: (check ☑ only one					
1.	Is the facility: stationary $\boxtimes$ ; relocatable $\square$ ; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable $\square$ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>If only stationary, skip the following</i>	box for each of question 2.)	question)			
	Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	- Yes	☐ No			
	<ul> <li>a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone,</li> <li>e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?</li> <li>b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)</li> </ul>		☐ No			
	to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	Yes Yes	☐ No			
	to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation? -	Yes	☐ No			
3.	If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation per and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:  a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)		☐ No			
	If YES, what was the purpose?  b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?	Yes - Yes	□ No			
	HANGES Iministrative Changes:	(check 🗹 box for each				
1. 2. <u>Ne</u>	Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represental associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions unit operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?	its or - 🔲 Yes	⊠ No □ No			
3.	Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? d. A change in ownership?	- Yes - Yes	<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>			
4.	If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee subs 30 days prior to the change?	mitted -	☐ No			
Tra	acy White 2/25/2011					
	Inspector's Name (Please Print)  Date of Inspection					
	Inspector's Signature Approximate Date of Next Inspector					
	Inspector's Signature Approximate Date of Next Insp	pection				

### **COMMENTS:**

I met with Dustin Travagln, Air Compliance Testing consultant. He was performing annual compliance testing for the facility. His Method 9 compliance test record sheet indicated that he was reading silos No. 1, 2 and 3 (loading only). Also, an unloading procedure was occuring for silo 3 (droppoint). Mr. Travagln was also preparing a test sheet for this operation. According to site staff, No. 3 silo was being unloaded (droppoint -into a truck) and No. 2 silo was being loaded by a supply truck (25 tons product).

Afterwards I met with Donnie Alford at the front office. Mr. Alford indicated that silos 1 and 2 were to be tested (w/ filter units-silo loading procedure). Silo No. 3 was not to be tested. I asked about the droppoints for the silos and the associated baghouse located between the North and South silos. Mr. Alford did not indicate if the baghouse was in operation today, but he did explain that it was still functional.

I did not note any excess emissions during the inspection. No equipment modifications were noted. Department records indicated 2010 annual testing was performed on 4/20/2010.

#### Additional inspection notes:

Silo No. 1 = North silo Silo No. 2 = South silo

Silo No. 3 = West (tall) silo

Emission points noted:

Silo 1 and 2: One dust collector exhaust

Silo 3: One dust collector exhaust

Silo 1, 2 and 3 Droppoints: One central baghouse exhaust