

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

> Northwest District Branch Office 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard, MS 55 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-3000

Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr. Secretary

April 24, 2012

SENT VIA E-MAIL Chumleyk@vmcmail.com

Kathy Chumley Environmental Services Manager Florida Rock Division 155 East 21st Street Jacksonville, Florida 32306

Dear Ms. Chumley:

A Department representative inspected your facility to determine compliance with the Air Quality Operating Permit. The Air Program identification number for this facility is **0730046**. The permit **expires on August 8, 2016**. This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program.

The Tallahassee Branch Office reported a status of **In Compliance** from your facility. Your facility compliance status may be subject to further review by the District Program Office.

The assistance you provided is appreciated. The inspection report is enclosed. If you have any questions, your local contact is Tracy White at (850) 245-2960 or <u>tracy.a.white@dep.state.fl.us</u>.

Sincerely,

Marlane Castellano

Marlane Castellanos Branch Manager

MC/tw Enclosures cc: Rick Bradburn, Mary Beth Curle, Carol Melton (FDEP, Pensacola)

	-	L MOIECIO	1	-
er age		VA	C.	1
F	LOR	IDA		

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/E		CI) 🗌
AIRS ID#: 0730046 DA	ATE: <u>3/21/2012</u>	ARRIVE:	I	DEPART:
FACILITY NAME: TA	ALLAHASSEE READY-MI	X & BLOCK PLANT		
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 1005 KISSIMMEE	ST		
	TALLAHASSEE	32310-5324		
OWNER/AUTHORIZE Email: CONTACT NAME: A Email: weeksal@vm ENTITLEMENT PERI	nemail.com	2016	PHONE: (90 Mobile: (90 PHONE: (90 Mobile: (90	
Facility Section				
PART I: INSPECTION	N <u>COMPLIANCE STATUS</u>	$\underline{\mathbf{S}}$ (check $\mathbf{\nabla}$ only one box	x)	
IN COMPLIAN	ICE MINOR Non-Co	OMPLIANCE SIC	GNIFICANT No	on-COMPLIANCE
PART II: ONSITE INT	RODUCTORY MEETING	ч Т		(abaak 🗹 anly ana

	Name(s) of facility representative(s): Lee Jenkins	(check ⊻ box for each	2
	Brief Notes:		
2.	Is the Authorized Representative still KATHIE CHUMLEY?	Yes Yes	□No
3.	If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? Is the facility contact still ALAN WEEKS?	Yes Yes	□No □No
4.	Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	Yes Yes	⊠No □No

Emissions Unit Section <u>1-CONCRETE BATCH PLANT subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
 Date of last inspection: <u>11/01/2010</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	- 🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ied	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? 	Yes	□ No
 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of 	—	
 b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 		□ No
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	- 🗌 Yes	No No

Emissions Unit Section <u>6 -CONCRETE BLOCK PLANT subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)
 Date of last inspection: <u>11/01/2010</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	🗌 Yes	□ No ⊠ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check 🗹	only one
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	box for each o	
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by: 	ned	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or application processory to 		🗌 No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	⊠ No □ No

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹	only one
	box for each	•
	box for each	question)
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:	🗌 Yes	🖂 No
a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?		🖄 No 🕅 No
c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?		\boxtimes No
e roo tons per year of more of any other regulated an ponduant.		
2. Does this facility include:		
a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception	on of	
units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or		_
Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	Ves	🛛 No
If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air ger	veral	
permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		🖂 No
If YES, what other general permit units or activities?		
3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:		
a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?		
b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas?		∐ No □ No
d. 1.3 million gallons of propane?		
e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?		\square No
gal diesel/yr +gal gasoline/yr +MM SCF nat. gas/yr +MM gal pro	<u>pane/yr < 1.00</u>)?
275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propa	ane/yr	
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consu		
for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	🗌 Yes	No

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ box for each	•
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	🗌 Yes	🖂 No
 Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	Yes	□ No
 b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit? 3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, acces 		🗌 No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	- 🛛 Yes	No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary 🖾; relocatable 🗔; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable	(check ☑ box for each	•
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If only stationary, skip the followin	g question 2.)	
 Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	- 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?		🗌 No
 b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6) 	- 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?		🗌 No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation per and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:		
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage If YES, what was the purpose?	e)? 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No
If TES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?		
CHANGES		1
CHANGES	(check ☑ box for each	•
Administrative Changes:	box for each	•
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representation	box for each trive not	•
Administrative Changes:	box for each ative not atis <u>or</u>	•
 <u>Administrative Changes</u>: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions ur operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	box for each ative not hits or - Yes	question)
 <u>Administrative Changes</u>: Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions ur operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	box for each ative not hits or - Yes	question)
 <u>Administrative Changes</u>: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions ur operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	box for each ative not its or -	question)
 <u>Administrative Changes</u>: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions ur operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	box for each ative not its or - Yes Yes	question)
 <u>Administrative Changes</u>: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions ur operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	box for each tive not tits or - Yes Yes Yes Yes	question)
 <u>Administrative Changes</u>: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions ur operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	box for each ative not its or - Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	question)
 <u>Administrative Changes</u>: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions ur operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	box for each ative not its or -	question)
 <u>Administrative Changes</u>: Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions ur operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	box for each ative not tits or - Yes - Yes	question)

Tracy White

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

I may to here

Inspector's Signature

3/21/2012

Date of Inspection

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS:

I met with Lee Jenkins, Plant Operator. I informed Mr. Jenkins that I observed a temporary, large "puff" of particulate (i.e. dust) moving across the road in front of the facility, and originating from the facility area. Mr. Jenkins indicated that the emission may have been from yard dust. He explained that the facility uses a sweeper to control yard dust. I did not directly observe the source of the emission during the inspection.

A supply truck was loading a silo. I observed the silo filter unit. No excess emission was noted. No changes to equipment were noted. I also observed the nearby block plant. It was not in operation.

The last compliance test was on 8/29/2011. Annual compliance testing may be required.

Recommendations,

Please use reasonable precautions to control unconfined particulate emissions from the yard, etc.