

# Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District Branch Office 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard, MS 55 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-3000 Charlie Crist Governor

Jeff Kottkamp Lt. Governor

Mimi A. Drew Secretary

November 9, 2010

SENT VIA E-MAIL
Chumleyk@vmcmail.com
Swinyerj@vmcmail.com

Kathy Chumley Environmental Services Manager Florida Rock Division 155 East 21<sup>st</sup> Street Jacksonville, Florida 32306

Dear Ms. Chumley:

A Department representative inspected your facility to determine compliance with the Air Quality Operating Permit. The Air Program identification number for this facility is **0730046**. The permit **expires on August 10, 2011**. This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program.

The Tallahassee Branch Office reported a status of **In Compliance** from your facility. Your facility compliance status may be subject to further review by the District Program Office.

The assistance you provided is appreciated. The inspection report is enclosed. If you have any questions, your local contact is Tracy White at 850/245-2960 or <a href="mailto:tracy.a.white@dep.state.fl.us">tracy.a.white@dep.state.fl.us</a>.

Sincerely,

Marlane Castellanos

Maclane Castellanos

Branch Manager

MC/tw Enclosures

cc: Rick Bradburn, FDEP, Pensacola

Mary Beth Curle, FDEP



### **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



#### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSP	PECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	_	COMPLAINT/D		(CI)		
AIRS	<b>S ID#:</b> 0730046 <b>DA</b>	ΓΕ: <u>11/01/2010</u>	A	RRIVE: <u>1:21</u>		DEPART: _		
FAC	ILITY NAME: TA	LLAHASSEE READY-MI	X & BLC	OCK PLANT				
FAC	ILITY LOCATION	: 1872 Mills Street						
		TALLAHASSEE	32310					
Eı CON Eı	NER/AUTHORIZE mail:  TACT NAME: mail:  ITLEMENT PERIC	<b>D REPRESENTATIVE: DD:</b> 8/10/2006 / 8/10/ (effective date) (end date)	2011	SYKES	PHONE: Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	(904)376-2182		
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE								
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING  1. Name(s) of facility representative(s):  Brief Notes:								
	the Authorized Repr	resentative still LUCIEN S	YKES?				⊠ Yes	□No
3. Is		ility provide an administrat					☐ Yes ☑ Yes	□No □No
4. W	Vill facility be conductive, was the compliant	eting VE test(s) during toda ance authority notified at lea	y's inspec ast 15 day	etion?s in advance?			☐ Yes ☐ Yes	⊠No □No

## Emissions Unit Section 1 -CONCRETE BATCH PLANT subject to Reasonable Precautions

	1 - CONCRETE BATCH PLANT Subject to Reasonable Precautions		
	RT I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
	Date of last inspection: 10/07/2009  Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☑ No ☐ No
Un	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.  acconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Solveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
1.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfidenissions by:	ined	
	<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to</li> </ul>		☐ No
	control emissions?3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	X Yes	☐ No
	owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?		☐ No
	particulate matter from stock piles?		☐ No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes Yes	⊠ No □ No

### Emissions Unit Section 6 -CONCRETE BLOCK PLANT subject to Reasonable Precautions

	0-CONCRETE BLOCK I LANT Subject to Reasonable I recautions		
PA	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check <b>✓</b> box for each	only one question)
	Date of last inspection: 10/07/2009  Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☑ No ☐ No
Uı	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.  nconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and onveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfigurations by:	ined	
	<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to</li> </ul>		☐ No
	control emissions?	X Yes	☐ No
	owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?		☐ No
	particulate matter from stock piles?	X Yes	☐ No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No

#### **Facility Section (continued)**

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY		only one ch question)
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:  a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?  b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?  c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include:  a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?  If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	-	<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal proparents		.00?
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		⊠ No
Gl	ENERAL CONDITIONS		✓ only one ch question)
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?		⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator:  a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	- X Yes	□ No
3	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?		☐ No
٥.	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general		□ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check <b>☑</b> only one
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both state concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>If o</i>	
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? (If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)  a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Locatable for a project of the stable	cal Air Program by telephone,
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prib. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business.	ion Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] days following a relocation? Yes No on Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose If YES, what was the purpose?  b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?	construction or air operation permit, that separate permit: se (i.e, there is no repeated usage)?  Yes No as
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	Yes No
CHANGES  Administrative Changes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adminis</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> </ol>	f the facility or any emissions units or strative change at the facility? Yes No
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substan d. A change in ownership?	
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration 30 days prior to the change?	
Tracy White	11/01/2010
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection
I ray Evilue	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection
<b>COMMENTS:</b> The facility was in operation. A truck was being loaded changes to equipment were noted. Brief, unconfined yard-dust emissions indicate the last compliance testing was performed on 9/27/2010.	

Recommendations: The facilty should possibly increase application of reasonable precautions for unconfined yard emissions.

5