

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/	DISCOVERY (CI)	
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPI	LAINT NO:		
AIRS ID#: 0730046 DAT	ΓΕ: <u>4/12/2007</u>	ARRIVE:	_	DEPART:	
FACILITY NAME: TAI	LLAHASSEE READY-MIX	X & BLOCK PLANT			
FACILITY LOCATION	: 1872 Mills Street				
	TALLAHASSEE 3	32310			
RESPONSIBLE OFFICE	AL: HUGH PERRY		PHONE: (90	04)355-1781	
CONTACT NAME:			PHONE:		
REMITTANCE YEAR:	ENT	ITLEMENT PERIOD:	8/10/2006 (effective date)	/ 8/10/2011 (end date)	
<u> </u>	COMPLIANCE STATUS	(check 🗹 only one box	ι)		
☐ IN COMPLIANC	CE MINOR Non-CO	OMPLIANCE SI	GNIFICANT N	on-COMPLIANCE	
PART II: TESTING/REG (check ☑ appropriate	CORDKEEPING REQUI e box(es))	REMENTS – Rule 62-2	296.414, F.A.C.		
Stack Emissions					
1. Were visible emiss 62-297, F.A.C.)?	sions tests conducted during	this site visit according	to EPA Method	9 (Ref.: Chapter	∏Yes ⊠ No
2. Are emissions from	n silos, weigh hoppers (batc xtent necessary to limit visil	chers), and other enclosed	d storage and co	nveying equipment	_
3. During visible emi	ssions tests of the silo dust resentative of the normal sil	collector exhaust points	was the loading	of the silo conducted	d
unless such rate is	unachievable in practice? n the weigh hopper (batcher				
to this question is '	"Yes", then continue on to o	questions 4.a) and 4.b) be	elow. If answer i	is "No" then	Dv Mar
a) Was the batchir	and continue on to question ag operation in operation du	iring the visible emission	ns test?		☐Yes ⊠ No ☐Yes ☐ No
duration?	ble emissions test, was the b				
from the silo dust of	the weigh hopper (batcher) collector, are the visible ematching at a rate that is represented.	issions tests of the weigh	n hopper (batche	r) dust collector	

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)	
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)	
1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of t	
annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	☐Yes ☐ No
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)	
2. Did this facility demonstrate:	
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?	□Yes □ No
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form	n
submittal date?	- ∐Yes ∐ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)	
3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior	to
the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	⊠Yes □ No
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)	
4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after	the
test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	le \square
	le 🗌
 (check propriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ; 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check Donly one box.</i>) 	
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i>) 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant. 	
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, 	ing
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing □Yes ⊠ No
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	ing □Yes ⊠ No □Yes □ No
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	ing Yes No No Yes No No Yes No No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	ing Yes No No Yes No No Yes No No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)				
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))				
<u>Unconfined Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.) 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant	take reasonable precautions to control unconfined			
	s, stock piles, and yards?	⊠Yes □ No		
emissions?	st-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control	☐Yes ☐ No		
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of		⊠Yes □ No		
particulate matter from stock piles?b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitig	gate emissions at the drop point to the truck?			
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES A. New or Modified Process Equipment	S – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.			
1. Since the last inspection has there been				
a) installation of any new process equipment?b) alterations to existing process equipment without				
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially of				
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the	e owner submit a new and complete	TIES MINO		
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4. local program office?	.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or	□Yes □ No		
Tracy White	4/12/2007			
Tracy White Inspector's Name (Please Print)	4/12/2007 Date of Inspection	_		
		_		
		_		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	_		
Inspector's Name (Please Print) Inspector's Signature	Date of Inspection Approximate Date of Next Inspection and met with Earnest Graham, Operator. Mr. Graham			
Inspector's Name (Please Print) Inspector's Signature COMMENTS: The inspector arrived at the site, proceeded to the main office a all equipment was "ok." He showed the inspector a sweeper log	Date of Inspection Approximate Date of Next Inspection and met with Earnest Graham, Operator. Mr. Graham of for the yard (last swept on April 4, 2007), and a manifold of the process of	aintenance I a large amount		
Inspector's Name (Please Print) Inspector's Signature COMMENTS: The inspector arrived at the site, proceeded to the main office a all equipment was "ok." He showed the inspector a sweeper log checklist for the dust collectors. According to Mr. Graham, new bags were installed in the silo f of dust accumulation stuck to the sides of the units. Mr. Graham	Date of Inspection Approximate Date of Next Inspection and met with Earnest Graham, Operator. Mr. Graham of for the yard (last swept on April 4, 2007), and a manifold of the properties of th	aintenance I a large amount , but the units		
Inspector's Name (Please Print) Inspector's Signature COMMENTS: The inspector arrived at the site, proceeded to the main office a all equipment was "ok." He showed the inspector a sweeper log checklist for the dust collectors. According to Mr. Graham, new bags were installed in the silo f of dust accumulation stuck to the sides of the units. Mr. Graham were subsequently serviced. During the inspection, a supply truck was loading the cement si	Date of Inspection Approximate Date of Next Inspection and met with Earnest Graham, Operator. Mr. Graham of for the yard (last swept on April 4, 2007), and a magnitude of the work of the units about a month ago. The inspector noticed on explained that the units appeared to have a failure, ito, and a batch truck was being loaded from the dro	a large amount, but the units		
Inspector's Name (Please Print) Inspector's Signature COMMENTS: The inspector arrived at the site, proceeded to the main office a all equipment was "ok." He showed the inspector a sweeper log checklist for the dust collectors. According to Mr. Graham, new bags were installed in the silo f of dust accumulation stuck to the sides of the units. Mr. Graham were subsequently serviced. During the inspection, a supply truck was loading the cement si operation was noted as having excess emissions. The dust boot and apron were present at the drop point and app	Approximate Date of Next Inspection Approximate Date of Next Inspection and met with Earnest Graham, Operator. Mr. Graham ag for the yard (last swept on April 4, 2007), and a magnitude of the work of the same	a large amount, but the units op point. Neither tain enclosed		

RECOMMENDATIO No recomm	ONS: endations at this time.			
TWO recommin	sidations at this time.			