

## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

NSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) 🗵 COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) 🗌		
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:		
IRS ID#: 0250546 DATE: <u>2/18/2009</u> ARRIVE: <u>11:10 AM</u> DEPART: <u>12:00 PM</u>		
ACILITY NAME: QUICKCRETE READY MIX, INC.		
ACILITY LOCATION: 9150 NW 87 AVE		
MEDLEY 33178		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: SANTOS MUNOZ PHONE: (305)887-3250		
CONTACT NAME: PHONE:		
NTITLEMENT PERIOD: 3/22/2008 / 3/21/2013 (effective date) (end date)		
ART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)		
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE		
ART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))		
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))  Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter		
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))  Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?		
Stack Emissions         1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?		
Stack Emissions         1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?		
Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?		
Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?		
Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?		
Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?		
Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?		

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)  1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of tannual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  2. Did this facility demonstrate:  a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?  b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	☐Yes ☐ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)  4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	e 🗌
<ol> <li>(check  appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary  ; 2) a relocatable  ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check  only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d),) below.)</li></ol>	ing
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d),) below.)</li></ol>	ing □Yes ⊠ No □Yes ⊠ No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIR</u> (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	REMENTS - Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)	
(cneck v appropriate box(es))		
<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)		
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching pla		
emissions by:		
	, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:	
<ol> <li>paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control</li> </ol>		
2) application of water of environmentary said c	dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control	
	other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to	
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? \overline{\text{Yes}} \overline{\text{D}} \text{No}		
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of		
particulate matter from stock piles?		
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mi	itigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? \bigsymbol{\times} Yes \bigsymbol{\times} No	
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURI	PC Dula 62 210 200(A)(A)A F A C	
A. New or Modified Process Equipment	<u>23</u> – Kuit U2-21V.5VV(4)(u)4., r.A.C.	
A. Itth of Mountain 1100000 2-quipment	'	
Since the last inspection has there been	'	
a) installation of any new process equipment?	□Yes ⊠ No	
b) alterations to existing process equipment without	out replacement?	
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most		
recent notification form?		
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-		
locai program office :		
FRANK DELGADO	2/18/2009	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
	1/2010	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	
CONTRACTOR A MIGIPLE EMIGGIONG TEST WAS CON	STANCES ON THE OHOLOGOUST COLLECTOR DV DV AM	
PETERSON OF ARLINGTON ENVIRONMENTAL SERVI	NDUCTED ON THE SILO'S DUST COLLECTOR BY RYAN	
THE VE TEST STARTED AT 11:18 A.M., THE SILO WAS		
I DID NOT ORSERVE ANY VISIRI E EMISSIONS DURIN		

I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE EMISSIONS DURING THE THIRTY (30) MINUTES TEST. FUGITIVE PARTICULATES ARE CONTROLLED BY APPLYING WATER WITH A HOSE.