

HUMAN CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER	
AIRS ID#: 0250537 DATE: <u>1/10/2012</u>	ARRIVE: <u>12:20 PM</u>	DEPART: <u>12:45 PM</u>
FACILITY NAME: VAN ORSDEL FUNERAL CHA	\PEL	
FACILITY LOCATION: 3333 NE 2ND AVE		
MIAMI 33137-3804	ł	
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: DO Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 7/29/2010 / 7/29/20 (effective date) (end date)	Mobile: PHONE Mobile: 15	:
r	Facility Section	
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS		
IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COM	MPLIANCE SIGNIFICAN	VT Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING		(check 🗹 only one
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>FRANK LOPI</u>	EZ	box for each question)
Brief Notes:		
 Is the Authorized Representative still DONALD OR If no, who is?: 	SDEL?	YesNo
If different, did the facility provide an administrative 3. Is the facility contact still ?	update within 30 days?	☐ Yes ☐No ☐ Yes ⊠No
 Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 	inspection? 15 days in advance?	Yes XNo YesNo

Emissions Unit Section 2 – HumanCrematory-prim/2ndarychmbrNGfired,temp/opac.mon150lb/hr

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
 a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received o after August 30, 1989? b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in 	Xes	□No
 secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit? 2. Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007? 3. Date of last inspection: 11/19/2010 	s residence time	□No ⊠No
 4. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commenci 	Yes	⊠No ⊠No
operation? d. Date of last VE test: 11/19/2010		No
 e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?		□No □No
PART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check ☑ box for each	only one a question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one adult-sized ab. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	cadaver? Yes	⊠No □No □No
 c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six r d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	Yes)No
 2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit? a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one (1) adult-siz b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	zed cadaver? Yes	⊠No □No □No
d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	Yes	No
3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the	PM and CO standards?	🖾No
If yes, what reason?		
PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1. Were there any objectionable odors detected?		🖾No
An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed param Downwind odor level detected- Wind direction - Upwind odor	eters were: r level detected- (1-10)	

2. **Continuous Monitoring Systems** – a Is a continuous temperature monitorin

b

Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the		
secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	🛛 Yes	No
Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence		
time at \Box 1,800 ¹ \boxtimes 1,600 ² degrees was determined?	🛛 Yes	No
(Application or initial notification: ¹ received on or after 8/30/89; ² received before 8/30/89)		

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS (continued)

c.	Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years?		
	1) All temperature measurements	🛛 Yes	No
	 all continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements; monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations	 X Yes X Yes X Yes X Yes X Yes X Yes 	No No No No No No
d.	Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of		
	when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings	Yes Yes	No
e.	Was the crematory unit installed after $2/1/07$? If no, skip e.(1) – (3)	Yes	🖾No
	(1) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatica	<u> </u>	—
	control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	Yes	LNo
	(2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity 150 (specify 2)		
	exceeds 15% opacity ?	Yes	L.No
	(3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	Yes	No

PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES

(check \square only one box for each question)

1.	If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? Yes b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the cremation process begins in the primary chamber? Yes	□No
2.	If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? X Yes b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cremation process begins in the primary chamber? X Yes	□No

PA	ART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	
1.	<i>Other than</i> human or fetal remains with appropriate containers or clothing, are any materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?	Yes	No
2.	Do cremation containers contain no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer?	Yes Yes	□No □No

PART VI: EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE	(check ☑ box for each	
1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications?	- 🛛 Yes	No
2. Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction?	- 🛛 Yes	No
3. Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?	🗌 Yes	XNo
If no, skip a. – b. a. Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift? b. Was the flame adjusted when necessary?		□No □No

IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE	PART VII: <u>EU INSPECTIO</u>	N COMPLIANCE STATUS (check	\checkmark only one box)
	IN COMPLIANCE	MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE	SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

Emissions Unit Section <u>3 – HumanCrematory-prim/2ndarychmbrNGfired,temp/opac.mon200lb/hr</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ for each	only one box n question)			
 a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989? b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the 	Xes Yes	No			
 secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit? 2. Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007? 3. Date of last inspection: 11/19/2010 	- Xes - Xes	□No □No			
 4. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of concretion, was a VE test performed within 20 days of commencing. 		⊠No ⊠No			
 c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation? N/A d. Date of last VE test: 11/19/2010 	Xes Yes	No			
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?If no, what was the problem (if known)?		□No □No			
PART II: VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING (check I only one box for each question)					
1 Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	🗌 Yes	No.			

1.	a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one adult-sized cadaver? Yes b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? Yes	□No □No □No
	 c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six minute average. d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? Yes (5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes in any one-hour) 	No
2.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit? Yes a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one (1) adult-sized cadaver? Yes b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? Yes c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six minute average. Yes d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? Yes	⊠No □No □No
3.	Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standards?	No
	If yes, what reason?	

PA	ART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS		only one box question)
1.	Were there any objectionable odors detected?	🗌 Yes	🖾No
	Downwind odor level detected- Wind direction - Upwind odor level detected-	(1-10)	
2.	Continuous Monitoring Systems –		
a b	Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	🛛 Yes	No
U	time at $\ge 1,800^1$ \square 1,600 ² degrees was determined?	- 🛛 Yes	□No

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS (continued)

c.	Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years?		
	1) All temperature measurements	🛛 Yes	No
	2) all continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements;		
	monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations	🛛 Yes	No
	3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on ()	Yes	No
	4) Adjustments	Yes	No
	5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	Yes	No
	6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	Yes	No
d.	Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of		
	when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings	🛛 Yes	No
e.	Was the crematory unit installed after $2/1/07$? If no, skip e.(1) – (3)	🛛 Yes	No
	(1) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatica	ılly	
	control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	🛛 Yes	No
	(2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity		
	exceeds 15% opacity ?	🛛 Yes	No
	(3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in		
	accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	🛛 Yes	No

PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES			only one box a question)
1.	 If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	ation	□No
2.	 If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	ation	□No □No

PART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u>		•	only one box question)
1.	<i>Other than</i> human or fetal remains with appropriate containers or clothing, are any materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?	🗌 Yes	XNo
2.	Do cremation containers contain no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer?		□No □No

PART VI: <u>EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE</u>		only one box 1 question)
1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications?	🛛 Yes	No
 Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction? 		No
 3. Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics? If no, skip a. – b. a. Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift? b. Was the flame adjusted when necessary? 	🗌 Yes	□No □No □No
b. was the frame aujusted when necessary?		

PART VII: <u>EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> (check 🗹 only one box)							
IN COMPLIANCE	MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE	SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					

Facility Section (continued)

SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
Administrative Changes:		
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representativassociated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? 	s or Yes	⊠No □No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		
 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been	 ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes 	⊠No ⊠No ⊠No ⊠No ⊠No

FRANK DELGADO

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection

1/2013

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

1/10/2012

COMMENTS: THE TWO (2) HUMAN CREMATORIES WERE NOT IN USE AT THE TIME OF THE INSPECTION. THEY WERE USED YESTERDAY. RECORDS WERE REVIEWED AND FOUND UP-TO-DATE AND IN COMPLIANCE. A NOTICE OF VIOLATION (NOV) WAS ISSUED TO THE OWNER FOR FAILURE TO PERFORMED A VISIBLE EMISSIONS TEST FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2011. FRANK LOPEZ IS THE FUNERAL HOME MANAGER AND NESTOR ALFONSO IS THE CREMATORY OPERATOR. I DID NOT DETECT ANY OBJECTIONABLE ODORS AROUND THE FACILITY.