

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVE	ERY (CI)		
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO):		
AIRS ID#: 0250502 DAT	ГЕ: 4/16/2007	ARRIVE: <u>11:08 AM</u>	DEPART: 11:30 AM		
			<u> </u>		
FACILITY NAME: RIOS CONCRETE PUMPING & RENTAL, INC.					
FACILITY LOCATION	: 8750 N W 93RD STR	EET			
	MEDLEY 33178-				
RESPONSIBLE OFFICE	IAL: SERAFIN RIO	PHONI	E: (305)888-7407		
CONTACT NAME: PHONE:		E:			
REMITTANCE YEAR:	ENTIT	CLEMENT PERIOD: 3/23/2003 (effective da			
PART I: INSPECTION	COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)			
	CE MINOR Non-COM	MPLIANCE SIGNIFICAL	NT Non-COMPLIANCE		
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))					
Stack Emissions					
1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)? Yes No					
2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment					
controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?					
at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice?					
4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then					
skip 4.a) and 4.b) a	and continue on to question 5.)	\(\bigsymbol{\text{Yes}}\) \(\bigsymbol{\text{No}}\)		
		ng the visible emissions test? tching rate representative of the n	ormal batching rate and		
duration?					
from the silo dust of	collector, are the visible emiss	sions tests of the weigh hopper (b	•		
			li di		

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of t annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	□Yes □ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	le 🛚
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☐; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing □Yes ⊠ No □Yes ⊠ No
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☐; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing □Yes ⊠ No □Yes ⊠ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)						
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))						
Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)						
	1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined					
emissions by:	1					
	a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:					
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?						
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-supplemissions?	Zvos □ No					
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other pa						
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?						
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of						
particulate matter from stock piles?						
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate en	nissions at the drop point to the truck?	∐Yes □ No				
PART IV: <u>SPECIAL</u> <u>CONDITIONS</u> <u>AND</u> <u>PROCEDURES</u> – Ru	le 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.					
A. New or Modified Process Equipment						
1. Since the lest inspection has there been						
Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment?						
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?						
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?						
recent notification form? Tyes No						
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete						
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or						
local program office?						
FRANK DELGADO	4/16/2007					
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection					
	4/2008					
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection					

COMMENTS: PLANT IS OPERATIONAL. I OBSERVED A TRUCK BEING LOADED WITH CONCRETE. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE EMISSIONS. A VISIBLE EMISSIONS TEST WAS CONDUCTED ON DECEMBER 11, 2006.