

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

<u>IN</u>		ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	,	AINT/DISCOVER	Y (CI)		
ΑI	RS ID#: 0250478 DAT	E: <u>7/3/2014</u>	ARRIVE:	<u>10:41 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>10:4</u>	<u>8 AM</u>	
FA	FACILITY NAME: CEMEX - SOUTH DADE (KROME) READY-MIX						
FACILITY LOCATION: 18501 S. W. 88TH ST EXTENSION							
		MIAMI 33196					
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JEFFREY PORTER Email: jefferyr.porter@cemex.com CONTACT NAME: DEAN SCHWARZ Email: deanm.schwarz@cemex.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 10/18/2012 / 10/18/2017 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (561)820-8415 Mobile: (561)718-7564 PHONE: (305)947-8678 Mobile: (786)229-5922							
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE							
PA	ART II: <u>ONSITE INTR</u>	ODUCTORY MEETING			(ch	neck 🗹	only one
1.	Name(s) of facility repre	esentative(s):			box	for each	question)
	Brief Notes:						
2.	Is the Authorized Repres	sentative still JEFFREY POR	TER?		🖂	Yes	□No
3.		ity provide an administrative II DEAN SCHWARZ?				Yes Yes	□No ⊠No
4.		ing VE test(s) during today's ace authority notified at least 1				Yes Yes	⊠No □No

Emissions Unit Section Subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 only one			
1. Date of last inspection: <u>7/19/2014</u>	box for each question)			
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:				
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	Yes No			
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?				
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing				
operation?	N/A Yes No			
d. Date of last VE test:				
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the	test?			
f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?				
g. What was the actual silo loading rate? tons/hour				
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report st	tate			
whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? 🛛 1				
i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?	Yes No			
j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour				
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last	VE test?			
If not, what was the problem (if known)?				
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	(check 🗹 only one			
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each question)			
	4			
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit	t?			
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?				
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute av				
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?				
If not, what was the problem (if known)?				
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate				
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? \square Yes \square No \square N/A - s				
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?				
f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour				
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust coll	lector? Yes No			
If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$?) and go to h.			
1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?				
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal				
duration?				
3) What was the batching rate?tons/hour. What was the batching duration?				
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust coll from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher).				
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate ar				
2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration?				
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visible.				
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?				
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute a				
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?				
d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.				
•				

Emissions Unit Section Subject to Reasonable Precautions

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
Date of last inspection: 7/19/2014 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unco emissions by: 	nfined
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary t control emissions? removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment particulate matter from stock piles? 	Yes
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	

Facility Section (continued)

CO	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹 or for each q			
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No		
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No		
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No		
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	-	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No		
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal proparents		0?		
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		☐ No		
GI	GENERAL CONDITIONS (check only one box for each question)				
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	\ Yes	□ No		
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?		□ No		
3.	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?	- Yes	☐ No		
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		☐ No		

 RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable □ 			(check ☑ only one box for each question)	
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the following question 2.</i>)				
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? (<i>If YES, answer 2. a and 2 .b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.</i>)		- Yes	☐ No	
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or L e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day p b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification 	orior to changing location?tion Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(5)]_	□ No	
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busine c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifica to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five	tion Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	□ No	
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate a and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purp If YES, what was the purpose?	in that separate permit: loose (i.e, there is no repeated usage		☐ No	
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it co-located at the permitted facility?		X Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No	
CHANGES Administrative Changes:		(check ☑ box for each o	•	
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of t associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admir If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 	of the facility or any emissions un istrative change at the facility?	its or - 🔲 Yes	⊠ No □ No	
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? d. A change in ownership?			NoNoNoNoNo	
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration 30 days prior to the change?	n form and the appropriate fee sub	mitted -	☐ No	
FRANK DELGADO	7/3/2014			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
	7/2015			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Ins	pection		

COMMENTS: THE FACILITY IS CLOSED. CEMEX IS USING IT AS A STAND-BY CONCRETE BATCH PLANT. THE PLANT IS LOCATED INSIDE THE CEMEX CROME QUARRY.

REVIEWED

By Ray Gordon at 9:08 am, Jul 28, 2014