CHINERTAL PROTECTION	
our Van	
FLORIDA	

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:				
AIRS ID#: 0250478 DATE: <u>6/26/2012</u> ARRIVE: <u>11:00 AM</u> DEPART:	<u>11:10 AM</u>			
FACILITY NAME: CEMEX-S DADE (KROME) READY-MIX				
FACILITY LOCATION: 18501 SW 88TH ST EXTENSION				
MIAMI 33022				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE:JEFFREY PORTER*PHONE:(561)820-841Email:Jeffreyr.porter@cemex.comMobile:(561)718-756CONTACT NAME:LUCY CARDENASPHONE:(305)226-521Email:Jeffreyr.period:10/12/2008/10/12/2013(effective date)(end date)(end date)Image: Content of the second	4			
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Brief Notes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)			
 Is the Authorized Representative still JEFFREY PORTER*? If no, who is?: 	YesNo			
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still LUCY CARDENAS? If no, who is?:	☐ Yes ☐No ☐ Yes ☐No			
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	☐ Yes ⊠No □ Yes □No			

Emissions Unit Section

1 - CCB Plant-split silo(cement)comp#1,w/cartridge dustcollector subject to Reasonable Precautions			
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	•	
 Date of last inspection: <u>7/22/2011</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	🔲 Yes	☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)	
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ned		
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the 	- 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	—	□ No	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- 🗌 Yes	🗌 No	
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No	

Emissions Unit Section

2 - CCB Plant-splitsilo(cement)comp#2 w/cartridge dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions			
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check \square only one box for each question)		
 Date of last inspection: <u>7/22/2011</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	🗌 Yes 🛛 No		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check \square only one box for each question)		
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control uncon emissions by: 	nfined		
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of t 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	Yes No o Yes No		
 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? 	of		
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes No		
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	Yes No Yes No		

Emissions Unit Section

3 – CCB Plant-weigh hopper/batcher w/baghouse batcher vent subject to Reasonable Precautions			
 PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u> 1. Date of last inspection: <u>7/22/2011</u> 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? 	(check ☑ only one box for each question)		
If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? 🖾 N c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check 🗹 only one		
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u> 1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control und	box for each question)		
emissions by:			
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary control emissions? 	y to Yes No		
 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainmer 	Yes 🗌 No		
particulate matter from stock piles?	Yes No		
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck	k? 🗌 Yes 🗌 No		
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	Yes No Yes No		

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY		
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? Yes b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? Yes c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? Yes	א [א [א [lo
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? Yes If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?	<u>л</u>	Ιο
	 b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? Yes If YES, what other general permit units or activities? 	N	0
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? Yes b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? Yes c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? Yes d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? Yes e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? Yes	И И И И П	lo lo lo
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumption for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years? Yes] N	l o

GENERAL CONDITIONS

1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control		
	devices?	Yes	□ No
2.	Does the owner or operator:		
	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	Yes	No No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all		
	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	Yes	🗌 No
3.			
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general	_	
	permit and Department rules?	Yes	∐ No

 RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the followi</i>) 	(check ☑ box for each ing question 2.)	•
 2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900] 		🗌 No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900]	Ves	🗌 No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?		🗌 No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation pe and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:	ermit,	
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage If YES, what was the purpose?	ge)? 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?		🗌 No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No

CHANGES	(check \checkmark only one	
	box for each question)	
Administrative Changes:	1 /	
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility of		
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facil	lity or any emissions units or	
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative ch	hange at the facility? 🗌 Yes 🛛 🕅 No	
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the chang	ge? 🗌 Yes 🗌 No	
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been		
a. Installation of any new process equipment?		
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	Yes 🛛 No	
c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially diff	ferent? Yes Xo	
d. A change in ownership?	Yes 🛛 No	
4. If the answer to any question 3a d is VES was a new registration form and	the appropriate fee submitted	
4. If the answer to any question $3a - d$ is YES, was a new registration form and $20 \text{ days gright to the aburge?}$		
30 days prior to the change?	Yes No	

FRANK DELGADO

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

6/26/2012

Date of Inspection

6/2013

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: THE FACILITY IS CLOSED. USED AS A STAND-BY CONCRETE BATCH PLANT.

REVIEWED By Ray Gordon at 12:22 pm, Jul 16, 2012