and the second
FLORIDA

**CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT** 



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)					
AIRS ID#: 0250478 DATE: <u>4/11/2007</u> FACILITY NAME: RINKER SOUTH DADE BATCH	ARRIVE:         8:59 AM         DEPART:         10:55 AM           PLANT					
FACILITY LOCATION: 18501 SW 88TH ST MIAMI 33022						
<b>RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL:</b> PORTER JEFF	PHONE:					
CONTACT NAME:	PHONE:					
REMITTANCE YEAR: ENTITL	EMENT PERIOD: 2/11/2006 / 2/11/2011 (effective date) (end date)					
IN COMPLIANCE       MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE       SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE         PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS       – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.         (check ☑ appropriate box(es))						
<ul> <li>62-297, F.A.C.)?</li></ul>	s site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter Solution of the enclosed storage and conveying equipment emissions to 5 percent opacity? □Yes □ No ector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted bading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, 					

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)
<ul> <li><u>Compliance Demonstration</u> - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)</li> <li>1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)</li></ul>
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)
2. Did this facility demonstrate:
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? [Yes ] No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)
<ul> <li>3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? ∑Yes ∑No</li> </ul>
<b>Test Reports</b> – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)
<ol> <li>Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? ⊠Yes □ No</li> </ol>

## PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check 🗹	appropriate	box(	(es)	))
----------	-------------	------	------	----

1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary : 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (*Please check Zonly one box.*)

2.	If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral process plants using individual air general permits at the same location? ( <i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , <i>then proceed to questions 2.a</i> ), <i>thru 2.d</i> ),) <i>below</i> .)	ing □Yes ⊠No
	a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
	b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per	
	calendar year?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
	c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
	d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?	Yes No
3.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:	
	a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
	b) material processed on a monthly basis?	🗌 Yes 🗍 No
	c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	□Yes □ No

## PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
  - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1)	paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? XYes No
	2)	application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control
		emissions? 🖾 Yes 🗌 No
	3)	removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to
		re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? Xes No
	4)	reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of
		particulate matter from stock piles? 🖾 Yes 🗌 No
b)	use	e of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Xes No

## PART IV: <u>SPECIAL</u> <u>CONDITIONS</u> <u>AND</u> <u>PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process</u> <u>Equipment</u>

1. Since the last inspection has there been		
a) installation of any new process equipment?	Yes	🛛 No
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	Yes	No
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most		
recent notification form?	Yes	🛛 No
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or		
local program office?	□Yes	🗌 No

FRANK DELGADO

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

4/11/2007

Date of Inspection

4/2008

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** BILL ARLINGTON PERFORMED VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTS ON THE SPLIT SILO DUST COLLECTORS. THE TESTS WERE PERFORMED AT THE SAME TIME. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE EMISSIONS FROM THE DUST COLLECTORS. RINKER KEEPS THIS PLANT AS A STAND-BY PLANT, THAT IS WHY THE HOPPER DUST COLLECTOR WAS NOT TESTED. THE TESTS STARTED AT 10:17 AM. THE SPLIT SILO WAS LOADED AT 10 PSI.