

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	LAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) COMPLAINT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 0250416 DATE: <u>12/5/2013</u> ARRIVE	: <u>10:44 AM</u> DEPART: <u>10:50 AM</u>			
FACILITY NAME: CENTRAL CONCRETE SUPERMIX, INC.				
FACILITY LOCATION: 9825 NW 117 WAY				
MEDLEY 33178				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: ORLANDO CASE Email: CONTACT NAME: ORLANDO CASTANEDA Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 10/26/2012 / 10/26/2017 (effective date) (end date)	STANEDA PHONE: (305)262-3250 Mobile: PHONE: (305)262-3250 Mobile:			
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Brief Notes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)			
2. Is the Authorized Representative still ORLANDO CASTANEDA? If no, who is?:				
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 3. Is the facility contact still ORLANDO CASTANEDA?				
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection? If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 days in adv				

Emissions Unit Section 1 –86.5 T/HR CONCRETE READY-MIX PLANT W/ A STEPHENS SV-170 subject to Reasonable Precautions

PA	RT I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
2.	Date of last inspection: 12/12/2012 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Tyes	☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No
.			
Un	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. acconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Sorveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check ☑ box for each of	only one question)
1.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by:	ned	
	 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 		☐ No
	control emissions?	X Yes	☐ No
	owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?		☐ No
	particulate matter from stock piles?		☐ No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🛚 Yes	☐ No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		□ No □ No

Emissions Unit Section 2 -Baghouse for Weight Hopper subject to Reasonable Precautions

2 -Bagnouse for Weight Hopper subject to Reasonable Precautions			
PA	ART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	
	Date of last inspection: 12/12/2013 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No
Uı	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. nconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Onveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each	•
1.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfigurations by:	ined	
	 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne 	X Yes	☐ No
	particulate matter?	_	☐ No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?		☐ No ☐ No

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY				
	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?		Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception or units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?	_	Yes	⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		Yes	⊠ No
	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNo
	$\frac{\text{gal diesel/yr} + \text{gal gasoline/yr} + \text{gal gasoline/yr} + \text{MM SCF nat. gas/yr} + \text{MM gal propane/yr}}{275,000 \text{ gal diesel/yr}} \leq 1.00?$			
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consump for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	tion	Yes	☐ No
GF	ENERAL CONDITIONS			
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?		Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator:			INO
	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No
	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No
3.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general			
	permit and Department rules?	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No

(check ☑ only one box for each question) 1. Is the facility: stationary ☒; relocatable ☐; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If only stationary, skip the following question 2.)			
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?		•	☐ No
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notific	prior to changing location?ation Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6	5)]	□ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busing c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notificato to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five	ation Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	□ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate a and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine pur If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it co-located at the permitted facility?	in that separate permit: pose (i.e, there is no repeated usage was)?	□ No□ No□ No
CHANGES		(check 🗹	
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? Yes No 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? Yes No New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?			
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?		=	
c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substituted d. A change in ownership?	antially different?	- Yes	NoNoNoNo
c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substituted d. A change in ownership?	antially different? on form and the appropriate fee sub	- Yes - Yes	No No
d. A change in ownership?4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration	antially different? on form and the appropriate fee sub	Yes Yes Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No
d. A change in ownership? 4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration 30 days prior to the change?	on form and the appropriate fee sub	Yes Yes Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No
d. A change in ownership? 4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration 30 days prior to the change? FRANK DELGADO	on form and the appropriate fee sub	Yes Yes Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No
d. A change in ownership? 4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration 30 days prior to the change? FRANK DELGADO	on form and the appropriate fee sub 12/5/2013 Date of Inspection	Yes Yes Yes mitted Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No

REVIEWED

By Ray Gordon at 2:17 pm, Jan 06, 2014