

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 0250416 DATE: <u>12/12/2012</u> ARRIVE: <u>10:40 AM</u> DEPART:	<u>11:16 AM</u>		
FACILITY NAME: CENTRAL CONCRETE SUPERMIX, INC.			
FACILITY LOCATION: 9825 NW 117 WAY			
MEDLEY 33178			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: ORLANDO CASTANEDA PHONE: (305 Email: Mobile: CONTACT NAME: ORLANDO CASTANEDA PHONE: (305)262-325 Email: Mobile: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 10/26/2012 / 10/26/2017 (effective date) (end date)			
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	() . I [7]		
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>ORLANDO CASTANEDA</u>	(check ✓ only one box for each question)		
Brief Notes: 2. Is the Authorized Representative still ORLANDO CASTANEDA? If no, who is?:	⊠ Yes □No		
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still ORLANDO CASTANEDA? If no, who is?:	☐ Yes ☐No ☐No		
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	Yes ⊠No ☐ Yes ☐No		

Emissions Unit Section 1 –86.5 T/HR CONCRETE READY-MIX PLANT W/ A STEPHENS SV-170 subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		eck 🗹 for each q	only one question)
 Date of last inspection: 12/13/2011 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?			☐ No ☑ No ☐ No
DARTH, FIELD ORGEDVATIONS Dl. (2.20(414(2)) E.A.C.			
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storac Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock</u>	ge and	eck 🗹 for each q	only one question)
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable preca emissions by:	utions to control unconfined		
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall in 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yar 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemi 	ds? 🖂 icals when necessary to	Yes	□ No
control emissions?3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas unde	er control of the	Yes	☐ No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to re particulate matter?	· 🖂	Yes	☐ No
particulate matter from stock piles?		Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the dr	cop point to the truck?	Yes	☐ No
 If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 		Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No

Emissions Unit Section 2 –Baghouse for Weight Hopper subject to Reasonable Precautions

2 - Dagnouse for Weight Hopper Subject to Reasonable Precautions			
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each		
Date of last inspection: 12/13/2011 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☑ No ☐ No	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)	
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:			
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	X Yes	□ No	
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	. -	□ No□ No	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No	
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No	

Emissions Unit Section 3 –ADDITIONAL 600 BBL STORAGE SILO WITH BAGHOUSE subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	<u>N</u>	(check ☑ box for each	
 Date of last inspection: 12/13/2011 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions du If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE to b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visc c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	est (20% opacity)?ible emissions $<$ 20% opacity? \boxtimes N/A		☐ No ☑ No ☐ No
DARTH FIELD ODGEDNATIONG D.1 (4.40)	414(A) F.A. C		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296. <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unl</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Road</u>	oading, <u>Hoppers, Storage</u> and	(check v box for each	only one question)
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching pl emissions by:	ant take reasonable precautions to control unconf	ined	
2) application of water or environmentally saf	and yards, which shall include one or more of the areas, stock piles, and yards?e dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to	X Yes	□ No
 removal of particulate matter from roads ar owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from bu 	nd other paved areas under control of the		□ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installatio	n of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	. —	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to m	itigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emit c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	o opacity)?issions < 20% opacity?		☐ No ☐ No

Facility Section (continued)

9	CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY			
	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?		Yes Yes Yes	□ No□ No□ No
2	2. Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		Yes	⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		Yes	⊠ No
3	3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	 No No No No No No
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propare 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propare		<u>:</u> < 1.00?	?
4	4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumption each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	otion	Yes	□ No
_				
9	GENERAL CONDITIONS			
	 Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control 			
	devices?	- 🗌	Yes	⊠ No
4	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?		Yes	☐ No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?		Yes	☐ No
3	3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general			
	permit and Department rules?		Yes	∐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both st	ationary and relocatable	(check 🗹 o	•
 concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If</i> Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?		question 2.) ☐ Yes	☐ No
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or L e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day pb. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notificat to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notificat to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five	rior to changing location?tion Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] ss days following a relocation?ion Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	Yes	□ No□ No□ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate at and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit i a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purp If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it co-located at the permitted facility?	n that separate permit: ose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? was	☐ Yes	□ No□ No□ No
CHANGES Administrative Changes:		(check 🗹 o	
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on the New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 	of the facility or any emissions units istrative change at the facility?		⊠ No □ No
Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substated. A change in ownership?	ntially different?	☐ Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNo
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registratio 30 days prior to the change?		itted Yes	☐ No
-			
FRANK DELGADO	12/12/2012		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
	12/2013		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspe	ection	

COMMENTS: THE FACILITY IS CLOSED TODAY. ON 12/11/2012 WILLIAM ARLINGTON PERFORMED VE TESTS ON THE TWO SILOS.

REVIEWED

By Ray Gordon at 1:49 pm, Dec 21, 2012