

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVE	RY (CI)			
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO) :			
AIRS ID#: 0250416 DATE: <u>12/13/2011</u> ARRIVE: <u>8:45 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>9:00 AM</u>			
FACILITY NAME: CENTRAL CONCRETE SUPERMIX, INC.				
FACILITY LOCATION: 9825 NW 117 WAY				
MEDLEY 33178				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: ORLANDO CASTANEDA PHONE: (305)262-3250 Email: Mobile: CONTACT NAME: ORLANDO CASTANEDA PHONE: (305)262-3250 Email: Mobile: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 11/12/2007 / 11/12/2012				
(effective date) (end date)				
Facility Section				
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): ORLANDO CASTANEDA	(check ☑ only one box for each question)			
Brief Notes:				
2. Is the Authorized Representative still ORLANDO CASTANEDA?				
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still ORLANDO CASTANEDA?				
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?				

Emissions Unit Section 1 –86.5 T/HR CONCRETE READY-MIX PLANT W/ A STEPHENS SV-170 subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each q	only one question)			
Date of last inspection: 10/29/2010 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☑ No ☐ No			
DARTH, FIELD ORGEDVATIONS Duly (2.20(.414(2)) E.A.C.					
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and	box for each q	only one question)			
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: 					
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when 	recessary to	☐ No			
control emissions?	of the	☐ No			
particulate matter?	X Yes	☐ No			
particulate matter from stock piles?		☐ No			
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to	to the truck? X Yes	☐ No			
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No			

Emissions Unit Section 2 –Baghouse for Weight Hopper subject to Reasonable Precautions

	2 -Bagnouse for Weight Hopper subject to Reasonable Precautions			
PA	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)	
	Date of last inspection: 10/29/2010 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	TYes	☐ No ☑ No ☐ No	
Uı	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. nconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Onveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)	
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: 				
	 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 		□ No□ No□ No	
	4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	□ No□ No	
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?		□ No	

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

Emissions Unit Section 3 –ADDITIONAL 600 BBL STORAGE SILO WITH BAGHOUSE subject to Reasonable Precautions

PA	RT I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)		
2.	Date of last inspection: 10/29/2010 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		□ No □ No □ No		
PA	RT II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(alon alo 1 /1			
Un	confined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and nveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check ✓ box for each	only one question)		
1.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:				
	 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 	X Yes	☐ No		
	control emissions?	X Yes	☐ No		
	particulate matter?	_	□ No		
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	<u> </u>	□ No		
	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No		

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY			
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?		Yes Yes Yes	 No No No No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		Yes	⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		Yes	⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	 No No No No No No
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propare 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propane		<u> < 1.00</u> ?	?
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consump for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	tion	Yes	□ No
_				
Gl	ENERAL CONDITIONS			
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control			
,	devices? Does the owner or operator:	- 🔲	Yes	⊠ No
۷.	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?		Yes	☐ No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?		Yes	☐ No
3.				
	permit and Department rates.		105	

RI	RELOCATABLE PLANT: (check only one box for each question)				
1.	Is the facility: stationary \boxtimes ; relocatable \square ; or consisting of both station concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only</i>	ary and relocatable		•	uestion)
	Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?		<u> </u>	l'es	☐ No
	 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification 	to changing location?	_	l'es	☐ No
	to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business da c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification I	ys following a relocation?	_ \	l'es	☐ No
	to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five busin			l'es	□ No
3.	If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air con and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in the a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (If YES, what was the purpose?	at separate permit:		l'es	☐ No
	b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?		Y	les les	□ No □ No
	HANGES			ck 🗹 o r each q	
1. 2. <u>Ne</u>	Iministrative Changes: Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the fa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administra If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the two Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	ne facility or any emissions unit tive change at the facility?	s or	les les	⊠ No □ No
3.	Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantial d. A change in ownership?	lly different?	Y	les les les les	No No No No No No
4.	If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration for 30 days prior to the change?			l'es	☐ No
FR	ANK DELGADO	12/13/2011			
	Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
	12/2	2012			
	Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Insp	ection		

COMMENTS: William Arlington performed visible emissions tests on the two silos' dust collectors (east and west). I did not observe any visible or fugitives emissions around the facility.

REVIEWED

By Ray Gordon at 1:05 pm, Dec 22, 2011