

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) \square COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) \square		
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:		
AIRS ID#: 0250399 DATE: <u>9/19/08</u> ARRIVE: <u>12:40 PM</u> DEPART: <u>1:10 PM</u>		
FACILITY NAME: RINKER MATERIALS CORP		
FACILITY LOCATION: 23820 SW 132 Avenue		
PRINCETON 33032		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JEFFREY PORTER PHONE: (561)820-8415		
CONTACT NAME: PHONE:		
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 10/27/2007 / 10/26/2012		
(effective date) (end date)		
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)		
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE		
DARTH, TESTING/DECORD/JEDING DECOUDEMENTS D1. (2.20/.414 E.A.C.		
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
Stack Emissions		
1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)? Yes No		
2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity? Yes No		
3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,		
unless such rate is unachievable in practice? \Begin{align*}\Bar{Y}\end{align*} Yes \Bar{\Bar{Y}}\Bar{N}\to		
4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then		
skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.)		
b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration?		
5. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector		
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? Yes No		

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)		
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of t annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)		
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	□Yes □ No n - □Yes □ No	
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?		
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?		
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
	le 🗌	
 (check papropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ; 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check Donly one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing □Yes ⊠ No □Yes ⊠ No □Yes □ No	
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing □Yes ⊠ No □Yes ⊠ No	

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)		
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.) 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plantemissions by: a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, at 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas 2) application of water or environmentally safe due to emissions?	and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: as, stock piles, and yards?	
0) uso of spray out, share, 12 p	igute emissions at the crop point to and a series	
 b) alterations to existing process equipment without c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4) 	Yes ⊠ No out replacement? □Yes ⊠ No y different than that noted on the most □Yes ⊠ No the owner submit a new and complete	
FRANK DELGADO	9/19/08	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
	9/2009	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	
VISIBLE EMISSIONS TEST. THE NORTH AND SOUTH (S THE SILOS WERE LOADED WITH CEMENT AT 10 PSI. V	N THIS COMPLIANCE INSPECTION AND TO WITNESS A (SPLIT SILO) SILOS' BAGHOUSES WERE TESTED TOGETHER. WE DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE EMISSIONS DURING FESTED AT A LATER DATE. THERE WERE NO CONCRETE	

WE DID NOT OBSERVE ANY FUGITIVE PARTICULATES AROUND THE FACILITY.