| NUMERICAL PROTECTION |
|----------------------|
| Some Carte |
| FLORIDA |
| |

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

| INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO: | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| AIRS ID#: 0250377 DATE: 7/14/2008 ARRIVE: 11:27 AM DEPART: 12:35 PM FACILITY NAME: CENTRAL CONCRETE SUPERMIX, INC. FACILITY LOCATION: 4300 SW 74 AVE MIAMI 33155 OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: FRANK PEREZ PHONE: (305)666-5735 CONTACT NAME: PHONE: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 8/2/2007 / 8/1/2012 | | | | | | | |
| (effective date) (end date) PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☑ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☑ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE | | | | | | | |
| PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)? | | | | | | | |

| PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C (continued) | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| (check ☑ appropriate box(es) | | | | |
| <u>Compliance Demonstration</u> - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.) | | | | |
| New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) | | | | |
| 2. Did this facility demonstrate: | | | | |
| a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? | | | | |
| b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? [Yes] No | | | | |
| Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Xest Complexity Action form Submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? | | | | |
| Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? ∑Yes ∑No | | | | |

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

| (check \mathbf{M} appropriate box(es)) |
|---|
| 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ⊠; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ⊠only one box.</i>) |
| 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing |

| . If this is a stationary coherete bacening pland, is there one of more relocation holdine and processing | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (If your answer to this question is YES, | | | | | | | |
| then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.) | 🗌 Yes 🖾 No | | | | | | |
| a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? | 🗌 Yes 🖾 No | | | | | | |
| b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per | | | | | | | |
| calendar year? | · 🗌 Yes 🗌 No | | | | | | |
| c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year? | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No | | | | | | |
| d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less? | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No | | | | | | |
| 3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for: | | | | | | | |
| a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis? | □Yes □ No | | | | | | |
| b) material processed on a monthly basis? | \square Yes \square No | | | | | | |
| c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)? | \square Yes \square No | | | | | | |
| c) the summe content of the fuel being burned (fuel supplier certifications): | | | | | | | |

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

| | 1) | paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? XYes No |
|----|-----|--|
| | 2) | application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control |
| | | emissions? 🖾 Yes 🗌 No |
| | 3) | removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to |
| | | re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? XYes No |
| | 4) | reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of |
| | | particulate matter from stock piles? 🖾 Yes 🗌 No |
| b) | use | e of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Xes No |

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>

| 1. | Sinc | the last inspection has there been | | |
|----|------|---|-----|------|
| | a) | installation of any new process equipment? | Yes | |
| | b) | alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? | Yes | 🛛 No |
| | c) | replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most | | |
| | | recent notification form? | Yes | 🛛 No |
| | d) | If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete | | |
| | | notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or | | |
| | | local program office? | Yes | 🗌 No |
| | | | | |

FRANK DELGADO

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

7/2009

Date of Inspection

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

7/14/2008

COMMENTS: ON JULY 14, 2008 AT 11:27 A.M., I VISITED THIS FACILITY TO WITNESS A VISIBLE EMISSIONS TEST ON THE TWO (2) READY-MIXED CONCRETE BATCH PLANTS. ON SITE I MET FRANK PEREZ, THE FACILITY'S GENERAL MANAGER, ANTONIO MAZPULE, THE FACILITY'S ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANT AND RYAN PETERSON, THE VISIBLE EMISSIONS OBSERVER FROM ARLINGTON ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES. ONE CONCRETE BATCH PLANT HAS TWO SILOS, EACH WITH A DUST COLLECTOR AND THE SECOND CONCRETE BATCH PLANT HAS ONE SILO SPLIT IN TWO. EACH SIDE HAS EACH OWN DUST COLLECTOR. THE LOAD OUT/WEIGH HOPPER PARTICULATE EMISSIONS FOR BOTH PLANTS ARE CONTROLLED BY A CENTRAL DUST COLLECTOR.

I WITNESSED VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTS ON THE TWO SILOS DUST COLLECTORS FROM PLANT #1 AND THE NORTH SILO DUST COLLECTOR FROM PLANT #2. ALL SILOS WERE LOADED AT 10 PSI. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE OR FUGITIVE EMISSIONS WHILE I WAS ON SITE.