

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)				
AIRS ID#: 0250376 DATE: <u>8/7/2012</u> ARRIVE: <u>9:52 AM</u> DEPART:	11:27 AM			
FACILITY NAME: CEMEX-CARD SOUND MINE				
FACILITY LOCATION: 15900 SW 408TH ST				
FLORIDA CITY 33034				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: DEVON COPPOCK Email: dcoppock@cemexusa.com CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/9/2011 / 9/9/2016 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (305)818-495 Mobile: PHONE: Mobile: Mobile:	55			
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING				
Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>DEVON COPPOCK</u>	(check ✓ only one box for each question)			
Brief Notes:				
2. Is the Authorized Representative still DEVON COPPOCK?	⊠ Yes □No			
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still? If no, who is?:	☐ Yes ☐No ☐ Yes ☐No			
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	Yes			

Emissions Unit Section 1 –1ISC Impact&1Universal mobileCrusher,300&500T/hrrespectively subject to Reasonable Precautions

	RT I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
2. I	Date of last inspection: 6/22/2011 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Tyes	☐ No ☑ No ☐ No
DAI	DT II. FIELD ODSEDVATIONS Dule (2.204.414(2)) E.A.C.		
Unc	RT II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. confined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and enveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfiemissions by:	ned	
á	 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 	X Yes	☐ No
	control emissions?	X Yes	☐ No
	owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?		☐ No
	particulate matter from stock piles?		☐ No
ł	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No
a l	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No

Emissions Unit Section 2 –One (1) Universal Impactor Crusher not subject to OOO subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
Date of last inspection: 6/22/2011 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacit c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Tes	☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No
DARTH FIELD ORGEDVATIONG D.L. (2.40(.414/2) E.A. C.		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock P</u>	and box for each o	only one question)
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop romes, Roads, Farking Areas, Stock 1	nes, and rarus	
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precauti emissions by:	ons to control unconfined	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	? \(\times\) Yes	☐ No
application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemical control emissions? removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under c	Yes	☐ No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to redu particulate matter?	ice airborne X Yes	☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate particulate matter from stock piles?		☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop	point to the truck? X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No

Emissions Unit Section 3 –2-Deister,1-Hi Robbins Triple Deck Screen/1-Universal Hopper subject to Reasonable Precautions

PA	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check v box for each	
	Date of last inspection: 6/22/2011 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☑ No ☐ No
PA	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check 🗹	only one
Un	nconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Onveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	box for each	•
1.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfidenissions by:	ned	
	 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? 	X Yes	□ No
	3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	_	□ No
	4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	X Yes	☐ No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🛚 Yes	☐ No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No

$Emissions\ Unit\ Section \\ \underline{4-1-In-HseFeedhopr/1-UniversalFeedhopr/1-UniversalCrushrHopper\ subject\ to\ Reasonable\ Precautions}$

PA	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check v box for each	
	Date of last inspection: 6/22/2011 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☑ No ☐ No
PA	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check 🗹	only one
Un	nconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Onveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	box for each	•
1.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfidenissions by:	ned	
	 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? 	X Yes	□ No
	3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	_	□ No
	4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	X Yes	☐ No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🛚 Yes	☐ No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No

Emissions Unit Section <u>5 –2-Custom & 3-Universal Conveyor Belts subject to subpart OOO subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PA	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check v box for each	
	Date of last inspection: 6/22/2011 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☑ No ☐ No
PA	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check 🗹	only one
Un	nconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Onveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	box for each	•
1.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfidenissions by:	ned	
	 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? 	X Yes	□ No
	3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	_	□ No
	4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	X Yes	☐ No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🛚 Yes	☐ No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No

Emissions Unit Section 6 –7-Custom Conveyor Belts not subject to subpart OOO subject to Reasonable Precautions

0 – 7- Custom Conveyor Betts not subject to subject to Reasonable Frecautions			
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)	
Date of last inspection: 6/22/2011 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☑ No ☐ No	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)	
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control uncontemissions by:	fined		
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of th 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	X Yes	□ No	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	 f	□ No□ No	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No	
If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?		□ No	

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

Emissions Unit Section 7 –1-Cummins Diesel Engine, 570 Hp subject to Reasonable Precautions

7-1-Cummins Dieser Engine, 570 Hp subject to Reasonable I recaute	0113
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
1. Date of last inspection: 6/22/2011 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/ c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check only one box for each question)
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unce emissions by:	onfined
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary control emissions?	Yes No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment particulate matter from stock piles?	⊠ Yes □ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck	
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	

Emissions Unit Section 8 –CCB Plant-R-Mix silo (cement) w/baghouse dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ on box for each que	aly one estion)
Date of last inspection: 6/22/2011 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	□ No ☑ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
	(check ☑ on box for each que	lly one estion)
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	•	
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control uncoremissions by:	nfined	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of t 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		No
application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?		No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	⊠ Yes	□No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	of] No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?		No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes [No No

Emissions Unit Section 9 - CCB Plant-R-Mix silo (flyash) w/baghouse dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

	RT I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
2.	Date of last inspection: 6/22/2011 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Tyes	☐ No ☑ No ☐ No
DA	DT II. FIELD ODGEDVATIONG Dale (2 200 414/2) E A C		
Un	RT II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. confined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and nveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check v box for each	only one question)
	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by:	ned	
	 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 	X Yes	□ No
	control emissions?	X Yes	☐ No
	particulate matter?		☐ No
	particulate matter from stock piles?		☐ No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	□ No
	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No

Emissions Unit Section 10 –CCB Plant-R-Mix silo (slag) w/cartridge dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PAR	T I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	•
2. D	Date of last inspection: 6/22/2011 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? Finot: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☑ No ☐ No
DAD	THE FIELD ODGEDY/ATIONG D. L. (2.40/.414/2) E. A. C.		
Unco	onfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and veying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
	Ooes the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinissions by:	ned	
a.	. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	X Yes	□ No
	3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	X Yes	□ No
	4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?		☐ No
b	. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🛚 Yes	☐ No
a. b	f reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No

Emissions Unit Section 11 –CCB Plant-R-Mix weigh hopper w/baghouse batcher vent subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each c	only one question)
Date of last inspection: 6/22/2011 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	- Tes	□ No □ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	(check ☑ box for each o	only one question)
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfir emissions by: 	ned	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	Yes	□ No
control emissions?	⊠ Yes	∐ No
particulate matter?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	- 🛭 Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No

Emissions Unit Section 14 –CCB Plant-R-Mix trk loadoutw/cent.cartr.dust collector(new) subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ✓ only one box for each question)
 Date of last inspection: 6/22/2011 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yard	(check 🗹 only one box for each question)
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control u emissions by:	unconfined
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary 	Yes No ary to
control emissions? 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne	⊠ Yes
particulate matter?	nent of
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the tru	
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes No No No

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY			
Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?		Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2. Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		Yes	□ No
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		Yes	□ No
3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	- - -	Yes Yes Yes Yes	☐ No
gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal prop 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propar	ane/yı ıe/yr	<u>r</u> < 1.00)?
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	iption	Yes	☐ No
GENERAL CONDITIONS			
GENERAL CONDITIONS			
Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control			► 71 3.7.
devices?2. Does the owner or operator:	_		⊠ No
a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	- 🖂	Yes	☐ No
terms and conditions of the air general permit?		Yes	☐ No
3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, acces to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		Yes	☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	totionomy and male	(check 🗹 box for each	•
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both s concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (A			- ′
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.) a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or 1.		- Yes	☐ No
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notific	prior to changing location?		☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busing c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification.	ess days following a relocation? tion Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6	Yes	□ No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five			☐ No
 3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate a and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine pur If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it 	in that separate permit: pose (i.e, there is no repeated usage	<u></u>	□ No
co-located at the permitted facility?		- Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No
CHANGES		(check v box for each	•
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admi 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days	n of the facility or any emissions uninstrative change at the facility?	tive not its or - Yes	⊠ No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substituted in ownership?	antially different?	- Yes - Yes	⋈ No⋈ No⋈ No⋈ No
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration 30 days prior to the change?	on form and the appropriate fee sub	mitted - Yes	☐ No
FRANK DELGADO	8/7/2012		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)			
inspector 5 Name (Flease Film)	Date of Inspection		
inspector s runte (Fleuse Frint)	Date of Inspection 8/2013		
Inspector's Signature	-	pection	

COMMENTS: THE FACILITY WAS OPERATIONAL AT THE TIME OF THE INSPECTION. A VISIBLE EMISSIONS TEST WAS PERFORMED BY ARLINGTON ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES THIS YEAR.

I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE OR FUGITIVE EMISSIONS AROUND THE FACILITY.

THE ROCK QUARRY WAS NOT OPERATIONAL TODAY; THE QUARRY OPERATES ONE DAY EVERY OTHER WEEK.

REVIEWED

By Ray Gordon at 9:56 am, Aug 14, 2012