

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

FACILITY LOCATION: 15900 SW 408TH ST FLORIDA CITY 33034-
FLORIDA CITY 33034-
FLORIDA CITY 33034-
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JEFFREY PORTER PHONE: (561)820-8415
CONTACT NAME: Edward Williams PHONE: (305)247-7329
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 10/12/2008 / 10/12/2013 (effective date) (end date)
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)				
1. 1	iance <u>Demonstration</u> - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) s each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the unnual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	e ⊠Yes □ No		
2. 1	acilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?			
3. 1	g Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) n order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to he AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	Yes □ No		
4. V	eports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed?			
1	est was completed?			
PART II	I: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. k ☑ appropriate box(es))			
PART II (chec	I: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.			
PART II (chec	I: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. It is a propriate box(es)) this facility: 1) a stationary ⊠; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable oncrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check ☑ only one box.) this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing ants using individual air general permits at the same location? (If your answer to this question is YES, ten proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	ng ⊠Yes □ No □Yes ⊠ No		

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)					
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))					
H (* 15 1 (2000 200 (1) () 5 1 ()					
	Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)				
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:					
	ards which shall include one or more of the fol	lowing.			
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?					
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-su		⊠Yes □ No l			
emissions?		⊠Yes ☐ No			
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other	paved areas under control of the owner/operato	r to			
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to	reduce airborne particulate matter?	⊠Yes □ No			
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind	d breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of				
particulate matter from stock piles?					
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate	emissions at the drop point to the truck?	⊠Yes □ No			
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES - F	Pule 62-210 300(4)(d)4 F A C				
A. New or Modified Process Equipment	Ture 02-210.500(4)(u)4., 1.71.C.				
110 110 01 110 uniou 110 cosso Equipmonio					
1. Since the last inspection has there been					
a) installation of any new process equipment?					
b) alterations to existing process equipment without rep	lacement?	☐Yes ⊠ No			
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially diffe		□Yes ⊠ No			
recent notification form?					
d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete					
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or					
local program office?		∐Yes ∐ No			
M (1M)	10/20/2000				
Maruful Malik	10/28/2008				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	_			
	10/2009				
	10/2007				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	_			
COMMENTS: Frank Dalgado & Lyant to this facility to conduct	on annual inspection On site was mot Educad	William Dlant			

COMMENTS: Frank Delgado & I went to this facility to conduct an annual inspection. On site we met Edward William, Plant Manager. Ryan Petterson performed three visible emissins tests on the concrete Batch and Block plant. I did not observe any visible emissions while I was on site.