

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER	RY (CI)		
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 0250376 DA	TE: <u>10/17/2007</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:25 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>12:25 PM</u>		
FACILITY NAME: FLO	FACILITY NAME: FLORIDA ROCK & SAND				
FACILITY LOCATION	I: 15900 SW 408 ST				
	FLORIDA CITY 3303	34			
RESPONSIBLE OFFIC	IAL: DANIEL BEATTY	PHONE	: (239)267-4275		
CONTACT NAME:		PHONE:			
REMITTANCE YEAR:	ENTITI	LEMENT PERIOD: 9/8/2006 (effective date	/ 9/8/2011 e) (end date)		
PART I: <u>INSPECTION</u> <u>COMPLIANCE</u> <u>STATUS</u> (check ✓ only one box)					
IN COMPLIANC	CE MINOR Non-COM	PLIANCE SIGNIFICAN	T Non-COMPLIANCE		
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))					
Stack Emissions					
1. Were visible emiss	1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?				
2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?					
3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,					
unless such rate is unachievable in practice?					
to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.)					
a) Was the batchi	ng operation in operation durin	g the visible emissions test?	\(\big Yes \(\bigcap \) No		
b) During the visi	ble emissions test, was the batc	thing rate representative of the no	ormal batching rate and		
5. If emissions from from the silo dust	5. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?				

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of t annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	☐Yes ☐ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	le 🗌
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing ☐Yes ⊠ No ☐Yes ⊠ No
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing □Yes ⊠ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)				
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))				
~ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)	11			
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant tak	ce reasonable precautions to control unconfined			
emissions by: a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and y	wards, which shall include one or more of the following:			
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and y				
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?				
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other				
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to				
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wir				
particulate matter from stock piles?	\(\text{Yes}\) \(\text{No}\)			
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate				
PARTY CRECKLY COMPUTANCIAND BROCEDURES	77 - 78 840 800/A/DA - T- A - C			
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES - I	Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.			
A. New or Modified Process Equipment				
1. Since the last inspection has there been				
b) alterations to existing process equipment without re	placement?			
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially diff				
recent notification form?	Yes No			
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the ov				
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050				
	Yes No			
FRANK DELGADO	10/17/2007			
	·			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
	10/2008			
	10/2008			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection			
	- Tr			
COMMENTS: DAN BEATTY PERFORMED SEVERAL VISII	DI E EMISSIONS TESTS ON THE CONCRETE BATCH AND			
BLOCK PLANTS, ALSO ON THE ROCK QUARRY.I DID NOT				
SITE.	I OBSERVE ANT VISIBLE EMISSIONS WHILE I WAS ON			
SITE.				