	WEITUL PROTECTION	
NG.BI	1 Car	
E FI	ORIDA	

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:				
AIRS ID#: 0250373 DATE: <u>1/23/2013</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:55AM</u>	DEPART: <u>11:35AM</u>		
FACILITY NAME: SOUTH MIAMI READY MIX	X CONCRETE PLANT			
FACILITY LOCATION: 7355 SW 48TH ST	ſ			
MIAMI 33155				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Email: CONTACT NAME: ABIGAIL DIAZ Email: adiaz@titanamerica.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 1/22/2009 / 1/22/ (effective date) (end d	Mobile: PHONE: Mobile: 2/2014	(561)504-6787		
Facility Section				
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check				
 PART II: <u>ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING</u> 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>Paul Colem</u> Brief Notes: 		(check \square only one box for each question)		
 Is the Authorized Representative still TERRY LA If no, who is?: <u>Muhammad Khan</u> 	ANCASTER?	Yes 🖾No		
If different, did the facility provide an administrat 3. Is the facility contact still ABIGAIL DIAZ? If no, who is?: <u>Muhammad Khan</u>				
 Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during toda If yes, was the compliance authority notified at le 				

Emissions Unit Section

<u>1 – CCB Plant-3comp.silo,1standalone silo,weighhop.&cement scale subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>				
PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)		
 Date of last inspection: <u>11/28/2011</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	Yes	□ No □ No □ No		
DADT IL EIELD ODSEDVATIONS Deda 62 206 414/2) E A C				
<u>PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.</u> <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)		
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:				
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 		🗌 No		
 control emissions?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No		
particulate matter?	_	□ No □ No		
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?		🗌 No		
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	Yes	D No No		

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(abaalt 🔽 anly and
	(check $\mathbf{\nabla}$ only one box for each question)
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:	box for each question)
a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?	Xes No
b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?	
c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	
 Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the except 	ion of
units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or	
Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?	
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air g	eneral
permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?	
If YES, what other general permit units or activities?	
3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:	
a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline?	
c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas?	
d. 1.3 million gallons of propane?	
e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	Yes No
gal diesel/yr +gal gasoline/yr +MM SCF nat. gas/yr +MM gal p	ropane/vr < 1.00?
275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal pro	
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel con	
for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	Yes No

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control		
devices?	X Yes	∐ No
a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
terms and conditions of the air general permit?Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, acce		🗌 No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		🗌 No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check	
1. Is the facility: stationary \boxtimes ; relocatable \square ; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable \square	box for each	question)
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If only stationary, skip the following	ng question 2.)
 2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900] 	(6)]	D No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?	6)]	📙 No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation per and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage If YES, what was the purpose? 		🗌 No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No
CHANGES	(check 🗹	•
Administrative Changes:	box for each	question)
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represent associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions u	nits or	
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?		□ No ⊠ No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been		
a. Installation of any new process equipment?	🗌 Yes	🖂 No
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	🗍 Yes	🛛 No
c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?	🗌 Yes	No No
d. A change in ownership?	🗌 Yes	🛛 No

4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee submitted 30 days prior to the change? ----- Yes No

MARUFUL MALIK

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection

1/2014

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

1/23/2013

COMMENTS: On January 23, 2013 I visited this facility to conduct the annual compliance inspection. On site I met Paul Coleman, the Plant Manager of the facility. This facility produces approximately 6,000 yards of concrete pere month. I did not observe any fugitive particulate inside or outside the facility. The sprinkler system is turned-on every couple of hours. The visible emissions test was performed by Abigail Diaz of Tarmac America LLC on December 28, 2012.

REVIEWED By Ray Gordon at 10:25 am, Jan 24, 2013