WHENTIAL PROTECTION
Some Cane
FLORIDA

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)					
AIRS ID#: 0250373 DATE: <u>12/11/08</u> FACILITY NAME: TARMAC FLORIDA, INC WES FACILITY LOCATION: 7355 SW 48TH ST MIAMI 33155 OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: SO CONTACT NAME:						
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 2/26/2004 / 2/26/20 (effective date) (end date)	009					
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☑ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☑ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
 62-297, F.A.C.)?	EMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. his site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter					

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)				
(check 🗹 appropriate box(es)				
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)				
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)				
2. Did this facility demonstrate:				
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?				
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? [Yes] No				
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to				
the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? [Yes X No				
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the				
test was completed? 🗌 Yes 🗌 No				

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check M appropriate box(es))
1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ⊠; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ⊠only one box.</i>)
2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (If your answer to this question is VES)

prante using matriceau an general permits at the same recently (1) your answer to this 4 recent to 120,	
then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d),) below.)	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per	
calendar year?	Yes No
c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?	□Yes □ No
3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:	
a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
b) material processed on a monthly basis?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	Yes No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1)	paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? [Yes] No
	2)	application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control
		emissions? Tyes No
	3)	removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to
		re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? [Yes] No
	4)	reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of
		particulate matter from stock piles? [Yes] No
b)	use	e of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🗌 Yes 🗌 No

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment?-----

b)	alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	<u>Yes</u>	∐ No
c)	replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most		
	recent notification form?	Yes	🗌 No
d)	If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		
	notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or		
	local program office?	Yes	🗌 No

MARUFUL MALIK

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

12/11/08

11/09

Date of Inspection

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: I visited this facility to observe visible emissions test. On site I met Abby Diaz, the tester for TARMAC. During my visit, she conducted two tests, one on the Eastern Silo and another one on the Western Silo. Both tests passed.