CHARDER MOTECTION	
on Real	
FLORIDA	

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER	Y (CI)			
AIRS ID#: 0250310 DA	TE: <u>7/17/2014</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:17 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>10:40 AM</u>			
FACILITY NAME: CE	MEX - SOUTH MIAMI READY	'-MIX				
FACILITY LOCATION	4508 SW 72nd Ave					
	MIAMI 33155-4515					
OWNER/AUTHORIZE Email: jefferyr.porter CONTACT NAME: M Email: michael.blanc ENTITLEMENT PERIC	IICHAEL BLANCO co@cemex.com	Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	(561)718-7564			
Facility Section						
PART I: INSPECTION	PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check 🗹 only one box)					
IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
PART II: <u>ONSITE INT</u>	RODUCTORY MEETING		(check \square only one box for each question)			

1.	Name(s) of facility representative(s): MICHAEL BLANCO	box for each question)		
	Brief Notes:			
2.	Is the Authorized Representative still JEFFREY PORTER?	Xes Yes	□No	
3.	If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? Is the facility contact still MICHAEL BLANCO?		□No □No	
4.	Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?		⊠No □No	

Emissions Unit Section <u>1 – EAST SIDE DUST COLLECTOR subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
 Date of last inspection: <u>5/8/2013</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	🗌 Yes	☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
 Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by: 	ned	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? 	- 🛛 Yes	□ No
 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of 	_	□ No
particulate matter from stock piles?b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?		 No No
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 		☐ No ☐ No

Emissions Unit Section <u>2-WEST SIDE DUST COLLECTOR subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
 Date of last inspection: <u>5/8/2014</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	🗍 Yes	□ No ⊠ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ned	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 		🗌 No
 control emissions?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
particulate matter?	—	□ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No

Emissions Unit Section <u>3 -CENTRAL DUST COLLECTOR subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	
 Date of last inspection: <u>5/8/2013</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	- 🗌 Yes	☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
Conveying Equipment Conveyor Drop Fonds, Rouds, Furning Hrous, Stoen Flies, and Furds		
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfig emissions by: 	ned	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the	following	
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		🗌 No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	🛛 Yes	\square No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the		
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	\bigtriangledown Var	□ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of		
particulate matter from stock piles?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:	—	
 a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? 	-	∐ No □ No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		

Emissions Unit Section <u>4 –SINGLE SILO subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	
 Date of last inspection: <u>5/8/2013</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	🗌 Yes	□ No ⊠ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		1
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfigencies by: 	ned	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 		🗌 No
 application of water of environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the 	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
 owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of 	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
a) reduction of stock pile neight, of instantion of while breaks to initigate while entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C</u> (ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? Yes b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? Yes c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? Yes	No No No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? Yes If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?	No
	 b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? Yes If YES, what other general permit units or activities? 	No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? Yes b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? Yes c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? Yes d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? Yes e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? Yes	No No No No
	$\frac{\text{gal diesel/yr}}{275,000 \text{ gal diesel/yr}} + \frac{\text{gal gasoline/yr}}{23,000 \text{ gal gasoline/yr}} + \frac{\text{MM SCF nat. gas/yr}}{44 \text{ MM SCF nat. gas/yr}} + \frac{\text{MM gal propane/yr}}{1.3 \text{ MM gal propane/yr}} \le 1.00?$	
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumption for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years? Yes	No

GENERAL CONDITIONS

1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control			
	devices?		Yes	🖂 No
2.	Does the owner or operator:			_
	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all			_
	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	\boxtimes	Yes	No No
3.				
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general			
	permit and Department rules?	\boxtimes	Yes	No No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check ☑ box for each	•
1. Is the facility: stationary 🖾; relocatable 🗔; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable 🗌 concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the follow</i>)		•
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
(If YES, answer 2. a and 2 .b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.) a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?		🗌 No
 b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900 to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? - c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900 	Ves	🗌 No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation		🗌 No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation per	ermit,	
 and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage If YES, what was the purpose? 	ge)? 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?	TYes	□ No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	Yes	
CHANGES	(check ☑ box for each	•
Administrative Changes:		question)
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represen		
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions u		
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? - 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?		⊠ No □ No

	in 126, the the method provide without motification within to days of the change?		
Ne	ew or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		
3.	Since the last registration form submittal has there been		
	a. Installation of any new process equipment?	Yes	🛛 No
	b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	Yes	🛛 No
	c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?	Yes	🖂 No
	d. A change in ownership?	Yes	🛛 No
4.	If the answer to any question 3a d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee subm	itted	
	30 days prior to the change?	Yes	🗌 No

FRANK DELGADO

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

7/17/2014

Date of Inspection

7/2015

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: THE FACILITY IS OPERATIONAL. ZACK BEATTY OF BEATTY ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES PERFORMED A VISIBLE EMISSIONS TEST ON MARCH 10. 2014. THE VE TEST REPORT WAS REVIEWED AND FOUND IN COMPLIANCE. I DID NOT FIND ANY VISIBLE OR FUGITIVE EMISISONS AROUND THE FACILITY. THE ENTIRE FACILITY IS PAVED.