CHINERTAL PROTECTION	
our Van	
FLORIDA	

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER	Y (CI)					
AIRS ID#: 0250310 DATE: <u>5/8/2013</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:15 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>11:15 AM</u>					
FACILITY NAME: CEMEX - SOUTH MIAMI READY	-MIX						
FACILITY LOCATION: 4508 SW 72nd Ave							
MIAMI 33155-4515							
Email: CONTACT NAME: MICHAEL BLANCO Email: michael.blanco@cemex.com	CONTACT NAME: MICHAEL BLANCO PHONE: (305)666-3305 Email: michael.blanco@cemex.com Mobile: (954)629-1492 ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 10/18/2012 / 10/18/2017						
Facility Section							
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (che	-						
IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPL	LIANCE SIGNIFICANT	[°] Non-COMPLIANCE					
PART II: <u>ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING</u>		(check $\mathbf{\square}$ only one box for each question)					
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>MICHAEL BLANCO</u>							
Brief Notes:							
2. Is the Authorized Representative still JEFFREY PORTE If no, who is?:	ER*?	YesNo					
If different, did the facility provide an administrative up 3. Is the facility contact still MICHAEL BLANCO? If no, who is?:							
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's ins If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 of							

Emissions Unit Section <u>1 – EAST SIDE DUST COLLECTOR subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)
 Date of last inspection: <u>10/26/2011</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	- 🗌 Yes	☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check 🗹	only one
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	box for each o	•
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by: 	ned	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 		🗌 No
 appreciation of water of environmentally safe dask suppressant encinears when necessary to control emissions? 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the 	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
 owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of 	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 		☐ No ☐ No

Emissions Unit Section <u>2-WEST SIDE DUST COLLECTOR subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
 Date of last inspection: <u>10/26/2011</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	🗍 Yes	□ No ⊠ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
<u>PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.</u> <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfin emissions by: 	ned	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 	- Xes	🗌 No
 control emissions?		🗌 No
particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	—	□ No □ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	- 🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No

Emissions Unit Section <u>4 –SINGLE SILO subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
TART I. THE RETRET FROM TO RUST BOTTON	(check ☑) box for each q	only one
		uestion)
1. Date of last inspection: <u>10/26/2011</u> 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precedutions during the last inspection?	⊠ v _{os}	
 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? 		∐ No ⊠ No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity'		
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	<u> </u>	
r		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check 🗹	only one
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Unloading (Margare Andrease and Storage Andrease An</u>	box for each q	uestion)
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Storage and		P
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precaution	ns to control unconfined	
emissions by:		
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall includ	le one or more of the following:	
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? -	Yes	🗌 No
 application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals control emissions? 		
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under con		∐ No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce		
particulate matter?	Xes	🗌 No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate v		
particulate matter from stock piles?	Ies	∐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop p	point to the truck? 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:		
 a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? 	Yes	∐ No □ No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY		
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? Yes b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? Yes c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? Yes	א [א [א [lo
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? Yes If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?	<u>л</u>	Ιο
	 b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? Yes If YES, what other general permit units or activities? 	3 N	0
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? Yes b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? Yes c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? Yes d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? Yes e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? Yes	И И И И П	lo lo lo
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumption for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years? Yes] N	[0

GENERAL CONDITIONS

1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control			
	devices?		Yes	🖂 No
2.	Does the owner or operator:			_
	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all			
	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No
3.				
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general	_		_
	permit and Department rules?	\bowtie	Yes	∐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check 🗹	only one
	box for each	•
1. Is the facility: stationary \boxtimes ; relocatable \square ; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable \square		1 /
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If only stationary, skip the following	ng question 2.)	
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and		
soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	🗌 Yes	No No
(If YES, answer 2. a and 2 .b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)		
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone,		
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?		∐ No
b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900		
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation?		∐ No
c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(_
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?	Ves	No No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation pe	rmit,	
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:		
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usag	e)? 🗌 Yes	No No
If YES, what was the purpose?		
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was		
co-located at the permitted facility?	TYes	□ No
co-located at the permitted racinty?		
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	🗋 Yes	∐ No
CHANGES		
CHANGES	(check 🗹	•
	box for each	question)
Administrative Changes:		
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represent		
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions u		_
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?	🗌 Yes	🖾 No

2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? ------ Yes

a. Installation of any new process equipment? ------ Yes

c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? ------ [] Yes

b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? -----

	d. A change in ownership?	 	T Yes
	4. If the answer to any question 3a. – 30 days prior to the change?	U .	
4			

FRANK DELGADO

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been

Date of Inspection

5/2014

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

5/8/2013

COMMENTS: ZACK BEATTY PERFORMED A VISIBLE EMISSIONS TEST ON THE WEST SILO AND ON THE SINGLE SILO. THE EAST SILO (FLYASH) WILL BE TESTED AT A LATER DATE. THE SILOS WERE LOADED WITH CEMENT AT APPROXIMATELY 10 PSI. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE EMISSIONS WHILE I WAS ON SITE.

> REVIEWED By Ray Gordon at 10:46 am, May 28, 2013

No No

 \bowtie No

 \boxtimes

No

No No

No No

No No

Yes