

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

NUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVE	ERY (CI)	
INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO	D:	
9/24/2008	ARRIVE: <u>9:45 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>10:25 AN</u>	<u>M</u>
R MATERIALS CORP			
4508 SW 72ND AVE			
SOUTH MIAMI 3315:	5		
EPRESENTATIVE: JEFF	FREY PORTER PHON	E: (561)820-8415	
	PHON	E:	
(checuve date) (che date)			
MPLIANCE STATUS (ch	neck 🗹 only one box)		
MINOR Non-COMP	PLIANCE SIGNIFICA	NT Non-COMPLIANCE	
RDKEEPING REQUIREN (x(es))	<u>MENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F	A.C.	
os, weigh hoppers (batchers it necessary to limit visible ens tests of the silo dust collentative of the normal silo loachievable in practice?e weigh hopper (batcher) op ", then continue on to quest continue on to question to question in operation during emissions test, was the batchers.	s), and other enclosed storage a missions to 5 percent opacity? ector exhaust points was the loading rate, or at least at the miseration controlled by the silo of tions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If an the visible emissions test?	and conveying equipment ading of the silo conducted nimum 25 tons per hour rate dust collector? (If answer aswer is "No" then	
	9/24/2008 R MATERIALS CORP 4508 SW 72ND AVE SOUTH MIAMI 3315 EPRESENTATIVE: JEFF 12/8/2005 / 12/8/2010 (effective date) (end date) MPLIANCE STATUS (cffective date) (end date) MINOR Non-COMF RDKEEPING REQUIRED (x(es)) A tests conducted during this tests of the silo dust collection to the continue on to question to questi	ARRIVE: 9:45 AM R MATERIALS CORP 4508 SW 72ND AVE SOUTH MIAMI 33155 EPRESENTATIVE: JEFFREY PORTER PHON 12/8/2005 / 12/8/2010 (effective date) (end date) MPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box) MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICA ROKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, Fox(es)) 4 tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA M os, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage at necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity? Instead of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the lonative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the michievable in practice? weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo of the continue on to question 5.)— perention in operation during the visible emissions test?—— weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust of the propertion in operation during the visible emissions test?—— weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust of the propertion o	ARRIVE: 9:45 AM DEPART: 10:25 AM R MATERIALS CORP 4508 SW 72ND AVE SOUTH MIAMI 33155 EPRESENTATIVE: JEFFREY PORTER PHONE: (561)820-8415 PHONE: 12/8/2005 / 12/8/2010 (effective date) (end date) MPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box) MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE ROKEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. x(es)) Letests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter only one box) Letests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter only one box) Letests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter only one box) Letests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter only one box) Letests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter only one box) Letests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter only one box) Letests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter only one box)

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of t annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	□Yes □ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	le 🗌
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing □Yes ⊠ No □Yes ⊠ No
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing □Yes ⊠ No □Yes ⊠ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)				
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	<u>EMEN15</u> – Kuie 02-270.414(2)(a) and (0), 1	(Сопиниеи)		
(check in appropriate con(co))				
<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)				
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plan				
emissions by:	-	•		
	and yards, which shall include one or more of the foll			
		⊠Yes □ No		
	dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control			
	other paved areas under control of the owner/operator			
		⊠Yes □ No		
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation o		Mxr . Mxo		
	itigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?			
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mit	itigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	⊠Yes □ No		
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURE	FS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.			
A. New or Modified Process Equipment	<u>10</u>	I		
1		I		
1. Since the last inspection has there been		ı		
a) installation of any new process equipment?		□Yes ⊠ No		
b) alterations to existing process equipment witho	☐Yes 🖾 No			
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially				
recent notification form?	☐Yes ⊠ No			
d) If you answered \underline{YES} to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete				
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-				
local program office?		☐Yes ☐ No		
FRANK DELGADO	9/24/2008			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	_		
	•			
	9/2009			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	_		
COMMENTS: BILL ARLINGTON CONDUCTED THE V	JISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTS ON THE SPLIT SILC	(EAST AND		
WEST) AND THE WEIGH/HOPPER DUST COLLECTORS		(12.10.1.1		

THE VISIBLE EMISSIONS TEST STARTED AT 10:14 AM. THE SILOS WERE LOADED WITH CEMENT AT 10 PSI. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE OR FUGITIVE EMISSIONS WHILE I WAS ON SITE.