	WEITUL PROTECTION	
NG.BI	1 Car	
E FI	ORIDA	

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) [RE-INSPECTION (FUI) [COMPLAINT/DISCOVER	Y (CI)	
AIRS ID#: 0250302 DATE: <u>7/25/2012</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:05AM</u>	DEPART: <u>12:25PM</u>	
FACILITY NAME: CENTRAL CONCRETE SUPE	ERMIX		
FACILITY LOCATION: 9795 SW 170TH ST			
MIAMI 33157-433	30		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: F Email: frank@supermix.com CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 2/18/2011 / 2/18/2 (effective date) (end date	Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	(305)262-3250 (305)525-2282	
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING			
 Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>Roberto Gon</u> Brief Notes: 	-	(check ☑ only one box for each question)	
 Is the Authorized Representative still FRANK PER If no, who is?: 	REZ?	XesNo	
If different, did the facility provide an administrativ 3. Is the facility contact still ? If no, who is?:	ve update within 30 days?	YesNo YesNo	
 Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today If yes, was the compliance authority notified at leas 			

Emissions Unit Section

1 – CCB Plant-silo (cement)w/silotopbaghse&weighhopperw/baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions		
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
 Date of last inspection: <u>6/21/2011</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	Xes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
DADTH, FITT D. ODCEDUATIONC, DL. (2.20(414/2) E.A.C.		I
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Y	(check ☑ box for each ¥ards	only one question)
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to cont emissions by: 	trol unconfined	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when new 	Yes	🗌 No
2) application of water of environmentary safe dust-suppressant chemicals when her control emissions?	Yes	🗌 No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	e 🏹 Yes	🗌 No
particulate matter from stock piles?		🗌 No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the	ne truck? 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	Yes Yes	□ No □ No

Emissions Unit Section

3 - CCB Plant-silo(slag),450 Bbl,w/silotopcartridgefilterbaghse subject to Reasonable Precautions			
PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)	
 Date of last inspection: <u>6/21/2011</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	- 🛛 Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards 1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions have	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)	
 emissions by: a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	Yes	□ No	
 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	_	□ No □ No	
 b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	- 🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No	

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	
	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
	box for each question)
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:	
a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?	
c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	
e roo tons per year of more of any other regulated an ponutant.	
2. Does this facility include:	
a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the except	ion of
units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or	
Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	Yes No
If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?	
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air g	eneral
permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?	
If YES, what other general permit units or activities?	
3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:	
a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	
b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline?	
c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas?	
e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	
e. Of an equivalent profated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below):	
gal diesel/yr +gal gasoline/yr +MM SCF nat. gas/yr +MM gal p	ropane/yr $< 1.00?$
275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal pro	
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel con	
for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	🗌 Yes 📋 No

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	•
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	🖂 Vas	□ No
 Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? 		□ No
 b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit? 3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access 		🗌 No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		🗌 No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If only stationary, skip the follow)	(check ☑ box for each ing question 2.	question)
 2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
 e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900 to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? - c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900 to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation 	D(6)] 	 □ No □ No □ No
 3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation per and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usan If YES, what was the purpose? 	ermit,	No No
 b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration? 	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No
CHANGES	(check ☑ box for each	•

Ad	Iministrative Changes:	box for cuch	question
1.	Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representation	tive not	
	associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions un	its or	
	operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?	- 🗌 Yes	🛛 No
2.	If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	- 🗌 Yes	🛛 No
Ne	ew or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		
3.	Since the last registration form submittal has there been		
	a. Installation of any new process equipment?	🗌 Yes	🛛 No
	b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	🗌 Yes	🛛 No
	c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?	- 🗌 Yes	🖂 No
	d. A change in ownership?	- 🗌 Yes	🛛 No
4.	If the answer to any question $3a d$. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee sub	mitted	
	30 days prior to the change?	- 🗌 Yes	🛛 No

MARUFUL MALIK

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection

7/2013

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

7/25/2012

COMMENTS: On July 25, 2012 I visited this facility to conduct the annual compliance inspection and to witness the Visible Emissions tests. On site I met Roberto Gomez, the manager of the facility.Tony Mazpule, Environmental Consultant, was on site. Matthew Welborn, Arlington Environmental Services, conducted the VE tests. The silo was loaded with cement at a rate of 12 PSI and the cement was pumping from outside.Facility operates once in two weeks and produces approximately 300 yards per month. No fugitive emissions were observed around the facility. VE test was conducted on cement silo. No VE test was conducted on the slug since it was almost full and the last refill was almost a year ago.

REVIEWED By Ray Gordon at 4:19 pm, Aug 15, 2012