

### **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)  RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER  ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	Y (CI)	
AIRS ID#: 0250300 DATE: <u>6/4/2013</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:41 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>11:09 AM</u>	
FACILITY NAME: CEMEX-NORTH MIAMI R	EADY-MIX		
FACILITY LOCATION: 2001 NE 146th St			
NORTH MIAMI	33181-1441		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Email: CONTACT NAME: RICHARD TAMBORRING Email: richardj.tamborrino@cemex.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/13/2012 / 9/13 (effective date) (end	Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	(305)947-8678 (786)295-3027	
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETIN  1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): RICHARI  Brief Notes:		(check ☑ only one box for each question)	
2. Is the Authorized Representative still JEFFREY If no, who is?:	PORTER*?		
If different, did the facility provide an administra  3. Is the facility contact still RICHARD TAMBOR If no, who is?:			
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during tod If yes, was the compliance authority notified at 1			

## Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-weigh hopper & truck loadout w/cent.baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
Date of last inspection: 5/9/2012     Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	🔲 Yes	☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No
DADE H. FIELD ODGEDWATIONG D. L. (2.20(.414/2) E.A. C.		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check <b>☑</b> box for each of	only one
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	box for each c	question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by:	ned	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the  1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		☐ No
application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	X Yes	☐ No
removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	🛛 Yes	☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No

## Emissions Unit Section 2 –CCB Plant-silo(slag/flyash)w/silotop baghouse dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	
Date of last inspection: 5/9/2013     Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☑ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.  Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by:	ned	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the  1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?  2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?  3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?		<ul><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li></ul>
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	□ No □ No

## ${\bf Emissions~Unit~Section} \\ {\bf \underline{3-CCB~Plant-splitsilo(cement)compart \#1w/cartridgedust collector~subject~to~Reasonable~Precautions} \\$

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ only one box for each question)	
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: 5/9/2013</li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last insp If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity) b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 2 c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ol>	? 🗌 Yes 🛛 No	)
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check ☑ only one	
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Area	box for each question) box for each question)	
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonab emissions by:	le precautions to control unconfined	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressar control emissions?	and yards? X Yes No nt chemicals when necessary to	
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved are owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work are particulate matter?      4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks	eas under control of the eas to reduce airborne   Yes No	)
particulate matter from stock piles?  b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions		
If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:     a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?     b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		

# $Emissions\ Unit\ Section \\ {4-CCB\ Plant-splitsilo(cement)compart \#2w/cartridge dust collector\ subject\ to\ Reasonable\ Precautions}$

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check $\square$ only one box for each question)
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: 5/9/2013</li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?</li></ol>	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.  Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yard	<u>ls</u>
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control emissions by:	unconfined
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or mor  1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?  2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necess control emissions?	Yes No ary to
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	nent of
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the true	
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes

### **Facility Section (continued)**

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY			
Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:     a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?     b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?     c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?		Yes Yes Yes	<ul><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li></ul>
2. Does this facility include:  a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		Yes	⊠ No
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		Yes	⊠ No
3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>
gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propagation of the second secon	ane/y: ne/yr	<u>r</u> < 1.00	1?
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consur for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	nption	Yes	☐ No
GENERAL CONDITIONS			
Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control			<b>-</b>
devices?	📙	Yes	⊠ No
a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	🛛	Yes	☐ No
b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?		Yes	☐ No
3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		Yes	☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	· ·	only one
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plan		,
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c beld a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Departme e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one busines	Yes  ow. )  nt or Local Air Program by telephone, s day prior to changing location?   Yes	<ul><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li></ul>
<ul> <li>b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Note to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Note to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least</li> </ul>	business days following a relocation? Yes otification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	☐ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separand the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routing If YES, what was the purpose?  b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how leads to the purpose of the purpose of the purpose of the purpose.	s unit in that separate permit: ne purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)?  Yes ong it was	☐ No
co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration	Yes on? Yes	☐ No ☐ No
CITANICEC		_
<u>CHANGES</u>		only one
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone numb associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relo operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	box for each per of the facility or authorized representative not acation of the facility or any emissions units or administrative change at the facility? Yes	
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone numb associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relo operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	box for each ber of the facility or authorized representative not exaction of the facility or any emissions units or administrative change at the facility? Yes days of the change?	n question)
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone numb associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relo operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30  New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?  b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacer c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is d. A change in ownership?	box for each ser of the facility or authorized representative not scation of the facility or any emissions units or administrative change at the facility? Yes days of the change?	n question)  No No No No No No
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone numb associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relo operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	box for each ser of the facility or authorized representative not scation of the facility or any emissions units or administrative change at the facility? Yes days of the change?	n question)  No No No No No No No
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone numb associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relo operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	box for each box for each box for each box for each ber of the facility or authorized representative not ecation of the facility or any emissions units or administrative change at the facility? Yes days of the change? Yes	n question)  No No No No No No No
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone numb associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relo operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	box for each box for each box for each ber of the facility or authorized representative not exaction of the facility or any emissions units or administrative change at the facility? Yes days of the change? Yes ment?	n question)  No No No No No No No

**COMMENTS:** THE FACILITY IS OPERATIONAL. A VISIBLE EMISSIONS TEST WAS PERFORMED BY BEATTY ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES ON 5/31/2013. THERE ARE ONE SPLIT SILO AND ONE SINGLE SILO ON SITE. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE EMISSIONS OR FUGITIVE EMISSIONS AROUND THE FACILITY.

REVIEWED

By Ray Gordon at 12:12 pm, Jul 08, 2013