

### **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	]			
AIRS ID#: 0250300 DATE: <u>5/9/2012</u> ARRIVE: <u>8:47 AM</u> DEPART: <u>9:05 AM</u>				
FACILITY NAME: CEMEX-NORTH MIAMI READY-MIX				
FACILITY LOCATION: 2001 NE 146TH ST				
NORTH MIAMI 33181				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JEFFREY PORTER* Email: Jeffreyr.porter@cemex.com CONTACT NAME: GEOFFREY JAMES Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 10/12/2008 / 10/12/2013 (effective date) (end date)  PHONE: (561)820 Mobile: (561)718 PHONE: (305)947 Mobile:	-7564			
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING  1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): JEFFREY PORTER  Brief Notes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)			
2. Is the Authorized Representative still JEFFREY PORTER*?	- ⊠ Yes □No			
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days?  3. Is the facility contact still GEOFFREY JAMES? If no, who is?: RICK TAMBORINO				
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?				

# Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-weigh hopper & truck loadout w/cent.baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check <b>✓</b> box for each	only one question)
Date of last inspection: 5/24/2011     Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Tyes	☐ No ☑ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.  Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ined	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	X Yes	□ No
removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	⊠ Yes	□ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?		☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No

# Emissions Unit Section 2 –CCB Plant-silo(slag/flyash)w/silotop baghouse dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	
	Date of last inspection: 5/24/2011  Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☑ No ☐ No
PA	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check 🗹	only one
Un	nconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	box for each	•
	onveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
1.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfigurations by:	ned	
	<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to</li> </ul>		☐ No
	control emissions?	X Yes	☐ No
	owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?		☐ No
	4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	X Yes	☐ No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No

# ${\bf Emissions~Unit~Section} \\ {\bf \underline{3-CCB~Plant-splitsilo(cement)compart \#1w/cartridgedust collector~subject~to~Reasonable~Precautions} \\$

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	
Date of last inspection: 5/24/2011     Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☑ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check ☑	only one
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, at</u>	box for each	•
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to emissions by:	control unconfined	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include on  1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?  2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals whe control emissions?		☐ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airly particulate matter?	oorne X Yes entrainment of	□ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point		□ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No

# $Emissions\ Unit\ Section \\ {4-CCB\ Plant-splitsilo(cement)compart \#2w/cartridgedust collector\ subject\ to\ Reasonable\ Precautions}$

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check <b>☑</b> on box for each que	
Date of last inspection: 5/24/2011     Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	No No No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.  Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	(check ☑ on box for each que	ly one stion)
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Y	<u>Yards</u>	
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to coremissions by:	ntrol unconfined	
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when no control emissions?</li></ul>	ecessary to	] No ] No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of towner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborn particulate matter?  4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entraparticulate matter from stock piles?	ne 	] No ] No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to t	the truck? Yes	] No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Tes Yes	No No

### **Facility Section (continued)**

9	CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY			
	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:     a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?     b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?     c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?		Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2	2. Does this facility include:  a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		Yes	⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		Yes	⊠ No
3	3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	<ul><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li></ul>
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propare 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propare		<u>:</u> ≤ 1.00°	?
4	4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumption each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	otion	Yes	☐ No
_		<u> </u>		
9	GENERAL CONDITIONS	_		
	<ol> <li>Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control</li> </ol>			
	devices?	- 🔲	Yes	⊠ No
4	Does the owner or operator:     a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	☐ No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?		Yes	☐ No
3	3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general	·		
	permit and Department rules?		Yes	∐ No

<ul> <li>RELOCATABLE PLANT:</li> <li>1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both sconcrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (I</li> </ul>			
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	Local Air Program by telephone, prior to changing location? Yes No ation Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] ess days following a relocation? Yes No ation Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]		
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate a and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine pur If YES, what was the purpose?  b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it co-located at the permitted facility?	ir construction or air operation permit, in that separate permit: pose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)?   Was  Yes  No		
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?			
FRANK DELGADO	5/9/2012		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection 5/2013		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection		
COMMENTS: WILLIAM ARLINGTON PERFORMED FOUR (4)	VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTS ON THE FACILITY'S		

COMMENTS: WILLIAM ARLINGTON PERFORMED FOUR (4) VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTS ON THE FACILITY'S SILOS. I WITNESSED ONE TEST ON THE SPLIT SILO.
I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE OR FUGITIVES EMISSIONS.

REVIEWED

By Ray Gordon at 10:07 am, May 31, 2012