WHENTIAL PROTECTION
Some Cane
FLORIDA

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVE ARMS COMPLAINT NO					
AIRS ID#: 0250300 DATE: <u>8/12/2008</u> FACILITY NAME: RINER MATERIALS - NORTH M FACILITY LOCATION: 2001 NE 146TH ST NORTH MIAMI 3318 OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JEFF	1 FREY PORTER PHON	DEPART: <u>12:00 PM</u> E: (561)820-8415				
CONTACT NAME: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 10/14/2006 / 10/13/20 (effective date) (end date)	PHON 11	E:				
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
 PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREM (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions Were visible emissions tests conducted during this 62-297, F.A.C.)? Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible en During visible emissions tests of the silo dust colle at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loa unless such rate is unachievable in practice? Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) opt to this question is "Yes", then continue on to quest skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.)a) Was the batching operation in operation during b) During the visible emissions test, was the batch duration? If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation the silo dust collector, are the visible emission conducted while batching at a rate that is represented to the silo dust collector. 	site visit according to EPA M), and other enclosed storage a missions to 5 percent opacity? ector exhaust points was the loa ading rate, or at least at the min- eration controlled by the silo c ions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If an the visible emissions test?	ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter 				

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)
(check 🗹 appropriate box(es)
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.) Yes Yes No
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)
2. Did this facility demonstrate:
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? [Yes] No
 Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Xest Complexity Action form Submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?
 Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? Xero Xero Xero Xero Xero Xero Xero Xero

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check \mathbf{M} appropriate box(es))
1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ⊠; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ⊠only one box.</i>)
2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (If your answer to this auestion is YES)

 <i>then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.</i>)	Yes No Yes No
 3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for: a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis? b) material processed on a monthly basis? c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)? 	□Yes □ No □Yes □ No □Yes □ No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1)	paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? XYes No
	2)	application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control
		emissions? 🖾 Yes 🗌 No
	3)	removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to
		re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? XYes No
	4)	reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of
		particulate matter from stock piles? 🖾 Yes 🗌 No
b)	use	e of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Xes No

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL</u> <u>CONDITIONS</u> <u>AND</u> <u>PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>

1.	Sinc	the last inspection has there been		
	a)		Yes	🛛 No
	b)	alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	Yes	🛛 No
	c)	replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most		
		recent notification form?	Yes	🛛 No
		If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		
		notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or		
		local program office?	□Yes	🗌 No

FRANK DELGADO

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

8/12/2008

Date of Inspection

8/2009

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: MR. GEOFF JAMES, PLANT OPERATIONS MANAGER ATTENDED ME. THE ANNUAL VISIBLE EMISSIONS TEST WAS CONDUCTED ON MAY 13, 2008. THE FACILITY WAS NOT OPERATIONAL AT THE TIME OF THE INSPECTION. THIS PLANT HAS FOUR (4) BAGHOUSES. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY FUGITIVE PARTICULATES AROUND THE PLANT.