

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERS ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	Y (CI)		
AIRS ID#: 0250011 DATE: <u>10/9/2012</u>	ARRIVE: <u>9:17 AM</u>	DEPART: 9:21 AM		
FACILITY NAME: CEMEX-DOWNTOWN MIA	MI READY-MIX			
FACILITY LOCATION: 1600 N Miami Ave	2			
MIAMI 33136-2	017			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Email: Jeffreyr.porter@cemex.com CONTACT NAME: RUSSELL EAVENSON Email: russellb.eavensoniii@cemex.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/14/2012 / 9/14 (effective date) (end d	Mobile: PHONE: Mobile: /2017	(561)820-8415 (561)718-7564 (305)324-8100 (786)295-2629		
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETIN 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Brief Notes:	<u>G</u>	(check ☑ only one box for each question)		
2. Is the Authorized Representative still JEFFREY I If no, who is?:	PORTER*?			
If different, did the facility provide an administra 3. Is the facility contact still RUSSELL EAVENSO If no, who is?:				
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during toda If yes, was the compliance authority notified at le				

Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-twinmixertruckloadouts w/cent.cart.dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
Date of last inspection: 5/15/2012 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by: Meanward of such and increase to be illustrated as the ball in the latest and the ball in the latest are such as the ball in the ball in the latest are such as the ball in t	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? 	Yes No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	

Emissions Unit Section 2 –CCB Plant-weigh hopper w/cartridge batcher vent subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
Date of last inspection: 5/15/2012 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
DADEN FILE DADGEDVATIONS DA GARGANIA DA G		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by: 	ned	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	Yes	□ No
removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	Yes	 □ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?		☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes Yes	□ No □ No

Emissions Unit Section 3 –CCB Plant-silo (flyash/slag) w/silotop baghousedustcollector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ✓ only one box for each question)
Date of last inspection: 5/15/2012 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by: Management of reads, perking areas stock piles, and words, which shall include one or more of the	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	- Yes No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	_
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- Yes No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	

Emissions Unit Section 4 -CCB Plant-split silo comp #1(cement)w/cart. dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
Date of last inspection: 5/15/2012 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by: Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the	e following: Yes No Yes No Yes No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	

Emissions Unit Section 5 -CCB Plant-split silo comp #2(cement)w/cart. dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART	I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check v box for each	
2. Did	the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? bt: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART	II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check 🗹	only one
	Fined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Pring Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	box for each	•
	s the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfissions by:	ned	
a. N	Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	- Yes	□ No
	owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	_	□ No
b. U	Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	☐ No
a. E b. I	asonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? f tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? Vhat caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY		
Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2. Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the except units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		□ No
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		☐ No
3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	Yes Yes Yes	 No No No No No No
gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal pro 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal pro	ropane/yr < 1.00 pane/yr)?
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel confor each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	sumption Yes	☐ No
GENERAL CONDITIONS		
OET MARIE OUT DITTO THE		
Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?		☐ No
a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	1 _	☐ No
terms and conditions of the air general permit?	cess	☐ No
permit and Department rules?		☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both so	tationary and relocatable	(check ☑ box for each	•
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Ij</i>		g question 2.)	
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?		- Yes	☐ No
(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.) a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or L e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day		Yes	□ No
b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notificato the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busine	ess days following a relocation?	- Yes	☐ No
c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifica to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five			☐ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate a and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit	in that separate permit:		
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purp If YES, what was the purpose?b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it		e)?	☐ No
co-located at the permitted facility?			☐ No ☐ No
<u>CHANGES</u>		(check ☑ box for each	
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of t associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admir 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	of the facility or any emissions un nistrative change at the facility?	itive not its or - Yes	□ No □ No
Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substated. A change in ownership?	antially different?		 No No No No No
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registratio 30 days prior to the change?	on form and the appropriate fee sub	omitted Yes	□ No
FRANK DELGADO	10/9/2012		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
	10/2013		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Ins	pection	
COMMENTS: THE FACILITY IS CLOSED. NO ONE ON SITE. A			

REVIEWED

By Ray Gordon at 10:09 am, Oct 23, 2012