CHUEFTAL PROTECTION	
our Maria	
FLORIDA	

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOV		
AIRS ID#: 0250011 DA	TE: <u>5/15/2012</u>	ARRIVE: <u>12:52 PM</u>	DEPART: <u>12:57 P</u>	M
FACILITY NAME: CE	MEX-DOWNTOWN MIAN	/II READY-MIX		
FACILITY LOCATION	1600 N. MIAMI AV	Έ		
	MIAMI 33136			
OWNER/AUTHORIZE Email: Jeffreyr.porte CONTACT NAME: M Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIO	IICHAEL BLANCO	Mobi PHO Mobi 2/2013	ile: (561)718-7564 NE: (305)358-2981	
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
	RODUCTORY MEETING	r <u>r</u>		$\mathbf{\nabla}$ only one each question)
 Name(s) of facility rep Brief Notes: 	presentative(s):			and Anonon)
2. Is the Authorized Repu If no, who is?:	resentative still JEFFREY PO	ORTER*?	Ye	s 🗌No
		ve update within 30 days?		
		st 15 days in advance?		=

<u>1 – CCB Plant-twinmixertruckloadouts w/cent.cart.dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>			
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	•	
 Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)	
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ned		
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne 	- 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No	
particulate matter?	—	□ No	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- 🗌 Yes	🗌 No	
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No	

|--|

2 – CCB Plant-weigh hopper w/cartridge batcher vent subject to Reasonable Precautions			
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)	
 Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)	
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by: 	ined		
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? 		 No No No No 	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No	
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? 		□ No □ No	

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

<u>3 – CCB Plant-silo (flyash/slag) w/silotop baghousedustcollector subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>				
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION 1. Date of last inspection:	(check \square only one box for each question)			
 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? 2. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? 3. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? 4. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? 4. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	🗌 Yes 🔲 No			
<u>PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.</u> <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	(check 🗹 only one box for each question)			
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unemissions by:	confined			
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary control emissions? 	Yes No			
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	Yes 🗌 No			
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment particulate matter from stock piles?				
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truch	s? 🗌 Yes 🗌 No			
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	Yes No Yes No			

4 - CCB Plant-split silo comp #1(cement)w/cart. dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions				
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each q	only one uestion)		
 Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	- 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No □ No		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each q	only one uestion)		
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfigentiations by: 	ned			
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	- 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No		
 a) removal of particulate matter from rotats and only parted areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?		□ No □ No		
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- 🗌 Yes	🗌 No		
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 		□ No □ No		

5 - CCB Plant-split silo comp #2(cement)w/cart. dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions				
PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check 🗹 only one box for each question)			
 Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? [] N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 				
<u>PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.</u> <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	(check \square only one box for each question)			
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ned			
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? 	- Yes No			
 b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No			

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	
 Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? Yes c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? 	□ No □ No □ No
 2. Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	🗌 No
 b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? If YES, what other general permit units or activities? 	🗌 No
 3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? Yes b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? Yes c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? Yes d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? Yes e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? Yes 	□ No □ No □ No □ No □ No
$\frac{\text{gal diesel/yr}}{275,000 \text{ gal diesel/yr}} + \frac{\text{gal gasoline/yr}}{23,000 \text{ gal gasoline/yr}} + \frac{\text{MM SCF nat. gas/yr}}{44 \text{ MM SCF nat. gas/yr}} + \frac{\text{MM gal propane/yr}}{1.3 \text{ MM gal propane/yr}} \le 1.00?$	
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumption for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years? Yes	🗌 No

GENERAL CONDITIONS

1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control		
	devices?	T Yes	□ No
2.	Does the owner or operator:		
	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	Yes	🗌 No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all		
	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	Yes	No No
3.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access		
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general	_	_
	permit and Department rules?	Yes	∐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary]; relocatable]; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable] concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If only stationary, skip the following)	(check 🗹) box for each o question 2.)	
 Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	Yes	🗌 No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6) 	Yes	🗌 No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6) to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?	☐ Yes]	□ No
 If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation pern and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage) 	_	
 a. was the refocatable batch plant being used for a hon-routine purpose (i.e., there is no repeated usage) If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?		
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	Yes	

<u>CHANGES</u>	(check $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ only one box for each question)	
 Administrative Changes: Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any e operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	missions units or facility?	
 <u>New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership</u>: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? d. A change in ownership? 	Yes No Yes No Yes No	
 If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate 30 days prior to the change? 		

FRANK DELGADO

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

5/15/2012

Date of Inspection

5/2013

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: THE FACILITY IS TEMPORARILY CLOSED. THERE IS NO ONE ON SITE AND THE PLANT IS FENCED IN AND LOCKED.

REVIEWED By Ray Gordon at 10:05 am, May 31, 2012