

## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER	RY (CI)		
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	:		
<b>AIRS ID#:</b> 0250011 <b>DA</b> ′	ΓΕ: <u>6/17/2008</u>	ARRIVE: <u>9:50 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>11:07 AM</u>		
FACILITY NAME: RINKER MATERIALS CORPORATION					
FACILITY LOCATION: 1600 N. MIAMI AVENUE					
	MIAMI 33136				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JEFFREY PORTER PHONE: (561)820-8415					
CONTACT NAME:		PHONE	:		
<b>ENTITLEMENT PERIOD:</b> 10/11/2007 / 10/10/2012					
	(effective date) (end date)				
PART I: INSPECTION	COMPLIANCE STATUS (	check <b>only</b> one box)			
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
DADE W TEGERNIC DE CORRESPONDE DE CAMPANES. D. L. CAMPANAS DE CAMP					
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))					
Stack Emissions					
1. Were visible emiss 62-297, F.A.C.)?	sions tests conducted during th	is site visit according to EPA Met	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter 		
2. Are emissions from	m silos, weigh hoppers (batche	ers), and other enclosed storage an	d conveying equipment		
3. During visible em	controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?				
at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice?					
4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then					
skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.)					
b) During the visi	ble emissions test, was the bat	ching rate representative of the no			
5. If emissions from	the weigh hopper (batcher) op	eration are controlled by a dust co	ollector, which is separate		
		ions tests of the weigh hopper (ba entative of the normal batching rat	te and duration?		

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)  1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of t annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  2. Did this facility demonstrate:  a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?  b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	☐Yes ☐ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)  4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	le 🗌
<ol> <li>(check  appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary  ; 2) a relocatable  ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check  only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d),) below.)</li></ol>	ing
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d),) below.)</li></ol>	ing ☐Yes ⊠ No ☐Yes ⊠ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIRED (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	MENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)
paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas     application of water or environmentally safe due emissions?  3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other re-entrainment, and from building or work areas     reduction of stock pile height, or installation of	nd yards, which shall include one or more of the following: s, stock piles, and yards? st-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control
<ul> <li>b) alterations to existing process equipment without</li> <li>c) replacement of existing equipment substantially of recent notification form?</li> <li>d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.</li> </ul>	
FRANK DELGADO	6/17/2008
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection
	6/2009
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection

MIKE BLANCO, THE PLANT MANAGER ATTENDED ME.

I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY FUGITIVE PARTICULATES AROUND THE FACILITY.

VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTS WERE CONDUCTED BY ARLINGTON ENVIRONMENTAL IN MAY AND JUNE, 2008.