CHINERTAL PROTECTION	
our Van	
FLORIDA	

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVE RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO				
AIRS ID#: 0250006 DATE: <u>3/19/2013</u> ARRIVE: <u>12:00 PM</u>	DEPART: <u>12:06 PM</u>			
FACILITY NAME: FLORIDA ROCK INDUSTRIES INC DIVISION				
FACILITY LOCATION: 12201 NW 25TH ST				
MIAMI 33182-1504				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE:GAIL RIDGEWAYPHONIEmail:RIDGEWAYG@VMCMAIL.comMobile:CONTACT NAME:JOSE`SILVERIOPHONIEmail:silverioj@vmcmail.comMobile:ENTITLEMENT PERIOD:10/16/2011 / 10/16/2016(end date)	E: (305)592-4100			
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING (check only one box for each question) 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): box for each question) Brief Notes:				
 Is the Authorized Representative still GAIL RIDGEWAY? If no, who is?: 	YesNo			
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still JOSE` SILVERIO?				
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection? If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 days in advance?				

<u>1-CCB Plant-Block Plant, silo (cement) w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>		
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
 Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by:	ned	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	- 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No
 owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? 	_	□ No □ No
 b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: 	- 🗌 Yes	🗌 No

a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? ------ Yes b. If tested: (_____)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? ------ Yes

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

□ No □ No

2 - CCB Plant-RM Plant, silo (cement) w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions		
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
 Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by: 	ned	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of 	- 🗌 Yes	 No No No
 b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 		□ No
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	🗌 Yes	No No

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

8 – CCB Plant-RM Plant, silo (slag) w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions			
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)	
 Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)	
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by: 	ned		
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	🗌 Yes	□ No □ No	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	—	□ No □ No	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No	

2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:		
	a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	Yes	🗌 No
	b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	Yes	🗌 No
	c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		

9 - CCB Plant-RM Plant, silo (flyash) w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions		
PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check down only one box for each question)	
 Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	Yes No	
DADT IL FIELD OBSERVATIONS Dule 62 206 414(2) E A C		
<u>PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.</u> <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	(check ☑ only one box for each question) ds	
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control u emissions by:	unconfined	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessar control emissions?	sary to Yes No	
 owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainme particulate matter from stock piles? 	ment of	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the tru	ruck? 🗌 Yes 🗌 No	
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? 		

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

10 - CCB Planter-RM Plant btchr/mxr w/grnd mtd cent.dustcollector subject to Reasonable Precautions		
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	
 Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	- 🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ned	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? 	- 🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	 No No No No
 b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	- 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No □ No

Emissions Unit Section ale w/individual dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

<u>11 – CCB Plant-RM Plant weigh scale w/individual dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>		
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
 Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 		☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards 1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfir	box for each	only one question)
 emissions by: a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? 	- 🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	 No No No No
 b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	- 🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C</u> (ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? Yes No b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? Yes No c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? Yes No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? Yes Yes No If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?
	 b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? Yes No If YES, what other general permit units or activities?
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? Yes No b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? Yes No c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? Yes No d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? Yes No e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? Yes No
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumption for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years? Yes

GENERAL CONDITIONS

1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control		
	devices?	Yes	□ No
2.	Does the owner or operator:		
	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	Yes	🗌 No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	_	
	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	Yes	No No
3.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access		
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general	—	—
	permit and Department rules?	Yes	∐ No

	✓ only one ach question)2.)
 2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? Yes (<i>If YES, answer 2. a and 2 .b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.</i>) a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, 	🗌 No
 a. Did the owner of operator horry the appropriate Department of Eocar Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? Yes b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] 	🗌 No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? Yes c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	🗌 No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation? 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation permit,	
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? Yes If YES, what was the purpose?	🗌 No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility? Yes	□ No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration? Yes	

	HANGES	(check ☑ box for each	•
1. 2.	Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions ur operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?	nits or 🗌 Yes	D No
	 w or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? d. A change in ownership? 	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	No No No No No No
4.	If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee sub 30 days prior to the change?	omitted 🗌 Yes	No

FRANK DELGADO

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

3/19/2013

Date of Inspection

3/2014

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: THE FACILITY IS TEMPORARILY CLOSED.

REVIEWED By Ray Gordon at 10:21 am, Apr 05, 2013