CHINERTAL PROTECTION	
our Van	
FLORIDA	

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) [RE-INSPECTION (FUI) [COMPLAINT/DISCO	· · · <u> </u>	
AIRS ID#: 0250006 DA	TE: <u>7/12/2012</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:19 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>10:25 AM</u>	
FACILITY NAME: FL	ORIDA ROCK INDUSTRIE	S INC DIVISION		
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 12201 NW 25TH ST			
	MIAMI 33182-150	14		
OWNER/AUTHORIZE Email: RIDGEWAY CONTACT NAME: Jo Email: silverioj@vm ENTITLEMENT PERIO	OSE` SILVERIO acmail.com	Mobi PHO Mobi	ile: (786)367-4819 DNE: (305)592-4100	
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)				
IN COMPLIAN	CE MINOR Non-CO	MPLIANCE SIGNIFIC	CANT Non-COMPLIANCE	
PART II: ONSITE INT 1. Name(s) of facility rep Brief Notes:	RODUCTORY MEETING presentative(s):		(check 🗹 only one box for each question)	
 Is the Authorized Rep. If no, who is?: If different, did the fac Is the facility contact s If no, who is?: 	 cility provide an administrativ still JOSE` SILVERIO? -	EWAY?	YesNo YesNo YesNo	
4. Will facility be conduc If yes, was the compli-	cting VE test(s) during today' ance authority notified at leas	's inspection? t 15 days in advance?	YesNo YesNo	

<u>1-CCB Plant-Block Plant, silo (cement) w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>				
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)		
 Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 		☐ No ☐ No ☐ No		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. (check ☑ only one box for each question) Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards (check ☑ only one box for each question)				
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by:	ined			
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? 	🗌 Yes	□ No		
 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	🗌 Yes	□ No		
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?		□ No		

	2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:	
	a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? [] Yes	🗌 No
	b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? [Yes	🗌 No
	c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	
I		

2 - CCB Plant-RM Plant, silo (cement) w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions				
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)		
 Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 		☐ No ☐ No ☐ No		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. (check 🗹 only one box for each question) Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards (check 🗹 only one box for each question)				
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by:	ned			
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No		
particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?		□ No □ No		
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No		
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 		☐ No ☐ No		

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

8 - CCB Plant-RM Plant, silo (slag) w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions			
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)	
 Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	🗌 Yes	□ No □ No □ No	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)	
 <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u> 1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfiemissions by: 	ned		
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne 	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No	
particulate matter?		∐ No □ No	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No	
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:		Ĩ	

a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? ------ Yes b. If tested: (_____)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? ----- Yes

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

D No

|--|

9 - CCB Plant-RM Plant, silo (flyash) w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions			
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)	
 Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? [] N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No	
DADT IL FIELD OBSERVATIONS Del $(2, 20, 414/2) \in \Lambda$			
<u>PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.</u> <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	(check \square only one box for each question)		
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by:	ned		
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the 	- 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	_	□ No □ No	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- 🗌 Yes	🗌 No	
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? 	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No	

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

<u>10 – CCB Planter-RM Plant btchr/mxr w/grnd mtd cent.dustcollector subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>			
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION 1. Date of last inspection:	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)	
 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? 2. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? 3. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? 4. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? 4. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? 4. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	- 🗍 Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No	
DADT IL FIELD OBSERVATIONS Dels (2 20/ 414/2) E A C			
<u>PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.</u> <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)	
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfir emissions by: 	ned		
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? 	- Yes	□ No	
 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 	_		
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?		□ No	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- 🗌 Yes	🗌 No	
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	- 🗌 Yes - 🗌 Yes	D No No	

<u>11 – CCB Plant-RM Plant weigh scale w/individual dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>				
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each			
 Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 		□ No □ No □ No		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. (check only one box for each question) Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards (check only one box for each question)				
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ned			
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? 	- Yes	□ No		
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?		No No		
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No		
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- 🗌 Yes	🗌 No		
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No		

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? Yes No b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? Yes No c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? Yes No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? Yes No If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?
	 b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? Yes No If YES, what other general permit units or activities?
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? Yes No b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? Yes No c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? Yes No d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? Yes No e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? Yes No
	$\frac{\text{gal diesel/yr}}{275,000 \text{ gal diesel/yr}} + \frac{\text{gal gasoline/yr}}{23,000 \text{ gal gasoline/yr}} + \frac{\text{MM SCF nat. gas/yr}}{44 \text{ MM SCF nat. gas/yr}} + \frac{\text{MM gal propane/yr}}{1.3 \text{ MM gal propane/yr}} \le 1.00?$
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumption for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years? Yes No

GENERAL CONDITIONS

1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control		
	devices?	Yes	□ No
2.	Does the owner or operator:		
	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	Yes	No No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all		
	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	Yes	No No
3.			
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general	_	_
	permit and Department rules?	Yes	└ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary []; relocatable []; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable [] concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If only stationary, skip the following)	(check ☑ box for each on <i>question 2.</i>)	•
 2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?		 No No No
c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?		🗌 No
 If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation pe and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usag If YES, what was the purpose? 		🗌 No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No

	IANGES ministrative Changes:	(check ☑ box for each	-
1. 2.	Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions ur operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	its or - 🗌 Yes	D No
3.	Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No □ No □ No
	If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee sub 30 days prior to the change?		🗌 No

FRANK DELGADO

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

7/12/2012

Date of Inspection

7/2013

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: BOTH THE CONCRETE READY MIX AND BLOCK PLANTS ARE TEMPORARILY CLOSED. THERE IS NO ONE ON SITE.

REVIEWED By Ray Gordon at 12:03 pm, Jul 16, 2012