

## Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District Branch Office 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard, MS 55 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-3000 Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll
Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr. Secretary

December 8, 2011

SENT VIA E-MAIL RockyBevis@yahoo.com

R.J. Bevis, President North Florida Crematory 2710 North Monroe Street Tallahassee, Florida 32303

Dear Mr. Bevis:

A Department representative inspected your facility to determine compliance with the Air Quality Operating Permit. The Air Program identification number for this facility is **0390033**. Your facility permit expires on **February 25, 2016**. This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program.

The Tallahassee Branch Office reported a status of **In Compliance** for your facility. The inspection checklist is enclosed. Please note the following issue which may require your attention:

Please submit the container manufacturer's data sheet(s) within 15 days receipt of this report. *Rule* 62-296.401(5)(d) *Allowed Materials*.

The assistance you provided is appreciated. If you have any questions, your contact is Tracy White at (850) 245-2960 or <a href="mailto:tracy.a.white@dep.state.fl.us">tracy.a.white@dep.state.fl.us</a>.

Sincerely,

Marlane Castellanos

Marlane Castellanos

Branch Manager

MC/tw

Enclosures

cc: Rick Bradburn, Carol Melton, Mary Beth Curle (FDEP, Pensacola)



## **HUMAN CREMATORY**



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	NNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	Y (CI)					
AIRS ID#: 0390033 DATE:	: <u>11/30/2011</u>	ARRIVE: <u>11:00 A.M.</u>	DEPART:					
FACILITY NAME: BEVIS FUNERAL HOME								
FACILITY LOCATION:	20 S DUVAL ST							
	QUINCY 32351-3208							
OWNER/AUTHORIZED R Email: CONTACT NAME: LAM Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD:		Mobile:	(850)385-2193 (850)627-7535					
	(effective date) (end date)							
Facility Section								
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ✓ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE								
PART II. ONSITE INTRO	DUCTORY MEETING		(					
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>Lamar Alday</u> box for each question			only one question)					
Brief Notes:  2. Is the Authorized Represe If no, who is?:	ntative still R BEVIS?			□No				
		odate within 30 days?		□No □No				
		spection?days in advance?		⊠No □No				

## ${\bf Emissions~Unit~Section} \\ {\bf 2-Human~Crematory-prim/2ndarychmbr,opacity/tempM\&R,150lbs/hr}$

PA	RT I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1.	a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989?  b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the	⊠ Yes	□No
2.	secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
	Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:  a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?  b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?  c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
	operation?	⊠ Yes	□No
	e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?  f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?  If no, what was the problem (if known)?		□No □No
PA	RT II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?  a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one adult-sized cadaver?  b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	☐ Yes	<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>
	c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six minute average. d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? (5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes		□No
2.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?		⊠No □No
	c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six minute average. d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?		□No
	If yes, what reason?	Yes	⊠No
PA	RT III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	only one question)
1.	Were there any objectionable odors detected? An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were:	Yes	⊠No
	Downwind odor level detected-  Wind direction -  Upwind odor level detected-	(1-10)	
	Continuous Monitoring Systems –		
	Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	⊠ Yes	□No
b	Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at $\boxtimes 1,800^1$ $\square 1,600^2$ degrees was determined?	⊠ Yes	□No

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS (continued)					
c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years?					
1) All temperature measurements	Yes	□No			
2) all continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements;	<b>-</b>				
monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations  3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on ( )	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	∐No □No			
4) Adjustments	Yes	□No			
5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	Yes	□No			
6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	⊠ Yes	□No			
d. Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of					
when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings	∑ Yes	∐No			
e. Was the crematory unit installed after <b>2/1/07</b> ? If no, skip e.(1) – (3)(1) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatical	⊠ Yes	∐No			
control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	Yes	□No			
(2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity	<b>-</b>				
exceeds 15% opacity?  (3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in	⊠ Yes	∐No			
accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	⊠ Yes	□No			
PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	(check <b>☑</b>	only one			
FART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	box for each				
1. If the application to construct was <b>BEFORE</b> August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than <b>1400°F</b>					
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	☐ Yes	ПNо			
b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the cremati	on				
process begins in the primary chamber?	☐ Yes	□No			
2. If the application to construct <b>ON</b> or <b>AFTER</b> August 30, 1989 is the:					
a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	N 17				
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than <b>1600°F</b> before the cremati	⊠ Yes	∐No			
process begins in the primary chamber?	Yes	□No			
PART V: ALLOWED MATERIALS	(check 🗹	only one			
	box for each	question)			
1. Other than human or fetal remains with appropriate containers or clothing, are any materials,					
including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?	Yes	⊠No			
2. Do cremation containers contain no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated					
plastics as certified by the manufacturer?	☐ Yes	□No			
2. Do cremation containers contain no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated					

PART VI: EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE			(check ☑ only one box for each question)			
1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manu	⊠ Yes	□No				
2. Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction?  3. Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?  If no, skip a. – b.  a. Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift?  b. Was the flame adjusted when necessary?			□No □No □No □No			
PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (cf		JANCE				
Facility Section (continued)						
SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES		(check 🗹 box for each	-			
<ul> <li>Administrative Changes:</li> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocoperations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor</li> <li>If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 d</li> </ul>	cation of the facility or any emissions uni administrative change at the facility?	ts or Yes	⊠No □No			
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been			□No ⊠No ⊠No ⊠No ⊠No □No			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)  Tracy White	Date of Inspection					
Inspector's Signature Approximate Date of Next Insp		pection				
COMMENTS: I met with Lamar Alday, Crematory Operator. M	Mr. Alday provided temperature log recor	ds and mainte	nance			

**COMMENTS:** I met with Lamar Alday, Crematory Operator. Mr. Alday provided temperature log records and maintenance records for the crematory unit. Records were maintained. A body had been inserted into the crematory unit at approximately 10:20 A.M. The unit was in operation. I observed the stack and no excess emission was noted. Secondary temperature was approximately 1650 degrees F.

I asked Mr. Alday if any body bags, plastic containers, etc. were consumed in the cremation process. He indicated that body bags were received from some of the hospital shipments. I requested that he obtain the manufacturer's composition sheets for the containers and to send me a copy when he received them. He indicated he would work on the issue.

The last complaince test in Department records occurred on 11/23/2010. I also received a copy of the latest compliance test that occurred on 11/21/2011. The test is under District office review.