| | WEITUL PROTECTION | |
|-------|-------------------|--|
| NG.BI | 1 Car | |
| E FI | ORIDA | |
| | | |

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

| INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) | COMPLAINT/DISC | | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| AIRS ID#: 0112174 DATE: 6/21/2012 | ARRIVE: <u>1100</u> | DEPART: <u>1130</u> | | |
| FACILITY NAME: CENTRAL CONCRETE PLANT | Г NO. 5 | | | |
| FACILITY LOCATION: 19703 SW 69TH PLA | лСЕ | | | |
| PEMBROKE PINES | 33024 | | | |
| OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE:FRANK PEREZPHONE:(305)262-3250Email:frank@supermix.comMobile:(305)525-2282CONTACT NAME:FRANK PEREZPHONE:(305)262-3250Email:frank@supermix.comMobile:(305)262-3250Email:frank@supermix.comMobile:(305)525-2282ENTITLEMENT PERIOD:1/22/2012 /1/22/2017(effective date) | | | | |
| Facility Section | | | | |
| PART I: <u>INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> (| | | | |
| IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COM | MPLIANCE SIGNI | IFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE | | |
| PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): | | (check 🗹 only one box for each question) | | |
| Brief Notes: | | | | |
| 2. Is the Authorized Representative still FRANK PERE If no, who is?: | EZ? | YesNo | | |
| If different, did the facility provide an administrative 3. Is the facility contact still FRANK PEREZ? If no, who is?: | | | | |
| Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least | s inspection? 15 days in advance? | YesNo YesNo YesNo | | |

Emissions Unit Section

| PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION | |
|---|---------------------------|
| | |
| | |
| 1. Date of last inspection: | |
| 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? Yes If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes | ∐ No □ No |
| b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A Yes | \square No \square No |
| c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? | |
| c. what caused the problem(s) (if known). | |
| | |
| DADT II. FIELD ODSEDVATIONS Dulo 62 206 $A1A(2)$ EAC | |
| PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. | |
| <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> | |
| Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards | |
| | |
| 1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined | |
| emissions by: | |
| | |
| a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: | |
| paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to | No No |
| control emissions? Yes | □ No |
| 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the | |
| owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne | |
| particulate matter? Yes | 🗌 No |
| 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of | |
| particulate matter from stock piles? Yes | No No |
| | — |
| b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🗌 Yes | ∐ No |
| 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: | |
| a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes | □ No |
| b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? Yes | |
| c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? | |

Emissions Unit Section

| <u>2 – CCB Plant-silo #2</u> | (cement) w/silotop | baghouse subject to | Reasonable Precautions |
|------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|
| | | | |

| | l |
|--|-------|
| PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u> | I |
| | I |
| | |
| 1. Date of last inspection: | |
| 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? [] Yes | No No |
| If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? | D No |
| b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions $< 20\%$ opacity? \square N/A \square Yes | No |
| c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? | |
| | |
| | |
| · | 1 |
| PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. | |
| | |
| Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and | |
| Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards | |
| | I |
| 1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined | |
| emissions by: | |
| | |
| a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: | |
| 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? [] Yes | 🗌 No |
| 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to | _ |
| control emissions? Yes | □ No |
| 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the | |
| owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne | |
| particulate matter? Yes | □ No |
| 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of | |
| particulate matter from stock piles? Yes | □ No |
| | |
| $b = U_{res} = f_{restrict} + c_{res} + b_{res} + b_{re$ | |
| b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? [] Yes | ∐ No |
| 2. If reasonable propagations not being takens | |
| 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: | |
| a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes | |
| b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? [] Yes | ∐ No |
| c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? | |

Emissions Unit Section <u>3 – CCB Plant-weigh hopper & batcher w/baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

| PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION | |
|--|----------|
| 1. Date of last inspection: | |
| 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? Yes | |
| If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A Yes | |
| b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A Yes c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? | ∐ No |
| c. what caused the problem(s) (if known): | |
| | |
| PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. | |
| | |
| Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and | |
| <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u> | |
| 1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined | |
| emissions by: | |
| | Ì |
| a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: | — |
| 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Yes | No No |
| 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? Yes | □ No |
| 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the | |
| owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne | |
| particulate matter? Yes | 🗌 No |
| 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of | |
| particulate matter from stock piles? Yes | No No |
| b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Yes | No |
| b. Use of spray bar, chute, of partial enclosure to initigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? T res | |
| 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: | |
| a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes | No No |
| b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? Type Yes | 🗌 No |
| c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? | |

Facility Section (continued)

| CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY | (check 🗹 only one |
|---|------------------------------|
| | box for each question) |
| | box for each question) |
| 1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: | |
| a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? | |
| b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? | |
| c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? | Yes No |
| 2. Does this facility include: | |
| a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with | the exception of |
| units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.3 | |
| Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? | Yes No |
| If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? | |
| | |
| | |
| b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such | |
| permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facili If YES, what other general permit units or activities? | ity? Yes No |
| If TES, what other general permit units of activities? | |
| | |
| 3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: | |
| a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? | Yes No |
| b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? | Yes No |
| c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? | |
| d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? | |
| e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below | $()? \dots$ Yes \square No |
| | |
| <u>gal diesel/yr</u> + <u>gal gasoline/yr</u> + <u>MM SCF nat. gas/yr</u> + <u>275,000 gal diesel/yr</u> 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 M | |
| 275,000 gai $(1050)/yi$ 25,000 gai gasolilie/yi 44 ivitvi SCI ildt. gdS/yi 1.5 iv | nvi gai propane/ yi |
| 4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthl | ly fuel consumption |
| for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years? | |
| | |

| GENERAL CONDITIONS | (check 🗹 box for each | • |
|---|--------------------------|------|
| 1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices? | 🗌 Yes | |
| a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? | | |
| b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access | | 🗌 No |
| to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules? | - 🗌 Yes | 🗌 No |

| RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary]; relocatable]; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable] concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If only stationary, skip the follow) | (check box for each <i>ing question 2.</i> | question) |
|---|--|-----------|
| Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? | 🗌 Yes | 🗌 No |
| a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900] | | 🗌 No |
| to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? - c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900 to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation | | □ No |
| 3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation p | | |
| and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usa If YES, what was the purpose? | ge)? 🗌 Yes | 🗌 No |
| b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration? | 🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes | D No No |
| | | |
| CHANGES Administrative Changes: | (check 🗹 box for each | • |

| Ac | <u>dministrative Changes</u> : | |
|----|---|-------|
| 1. | Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not | |
| | associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or | |
| | operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? 🗌 Yes | No No |
| 2. | If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? 🗌 Yes | No No |
| Ne | ew or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: | |
| 3. | Since the last registration form submittal has there been | |
| | a. Installation of any new process equipment? Yes | No No |
| | b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? Yes | 🗌 No |
| | c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? 🗌 Yes | 🗌 No |
| | d. A change in ownership? Yes | No No |
| | | |
| 4. | If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee submitted | |
| | 30 days prior to the change? Yes | 🗌 No |

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: