

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District Branch Office 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard, MS 55 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-3000 Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll
Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr. Secretary

July 15, 2011

SENT VIA E-MAIL Chumleyk@vmcmail.com

Kathy Chumley Environmental Manager Florida Rock Industries Route 6 Box 1403 Havana, Florida 32333

Dear Ms. Chumley:

A Department representative inspected your facility to determine compliance with the Air Quality Operating Permit. The Air Program identification number for this facility is **0390026**. Your permit **expires on August 7, 2013**. This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program.

The Tallahassee Branch Office reported a status of In Compliance for your facility. The inspection checklist is enclosed. Your facility compliance status may be subject to further review by the District Program Office.

The assistance you provided is appreciated. If you have any questions, your local contact is Tracy White at 850/ 245-2960 or tracy.a.white@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Maclane Castellano

Marlane Castellanos Branch Manager

MC/tw Enclosures

cc: Jeremy Swinyer, Florida Rock Industries (Swinyerj@vmcmail.com)

Rick Bradburn, Mary Beth Curle, Carol Melton (FDEP, Pensacola)



CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1 RE-INSPECTION		NT/DISCOVERY	Y (CI)				
AIRS ID#: 0390026 DATE: 6/08/11	ARRIVE: 1:	<u>00</u>	DEPART:				
FACILITY NAME: FLORIDA ROCK-HAVANA RM FACILITY							
FACILITY LOCATION: RT 6, BOX	X 1403						
HAVANA	32333						
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTA Email: CONTACT NAME: JEREMY SWINYE Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 8/7/2008 / (effective date)		Mobile:	PHONE: (904)380-0130 (850)328-0418				
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE							
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY M 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Brief Notes:			(check 🗹 box for each	•			
2. Is the Authorized Representative still KA If no, who is?:	ATHERINE CHUMLEY?		X Yes	□No			
If different, did the facility provide an ad 3. Is the facility contact still JEREMY SWI If no, who is?:				□No □No			
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) du If yes, was the compliance authority noti	ring today's inspection?fied at least 15 days in advance	e?	Yes Yes	⊠No □No			

Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-silos#1, & truck loadout w/cent. dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ only one box for each question)	
Date of last inspection: 6/29/2010 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes No	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check ☑ only one box for each question)	
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by: a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	e following:	
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 2. If reasonable precautions not being taken:	Yes No	
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check box for each	only one h question)
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		□ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		☐ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	-	□ No□ No□ No□ No□ No
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared 1.3 MM gal propared 1.5 MM gal		00?
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		☐ No
Gl	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check vbox for each	only one h question)
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	□ No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all		□ No
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?		☐ No
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general		□ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:		(check 🗹	only one			
		box for each				
1. Is the facility: stationary \(\sigma\); relocatable \(\sigma\); or consisting of both stationary and relocatable \(\sigma\) concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the following question 2.</i>)						
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?		☐ Yes	□ No			
(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)			☐ No			
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or L	ocal Air Program by telephone.					
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day p		☐ Yes	☐ No			
b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifica)]	_			
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busine			☐ No			
c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?			☐ No			
		•				
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate a		nit,				
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purp		2 □ Ves	☐ No			
If YES, what was the purpose?	ose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)	1 cs	140			
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it	was					
co-located at the permitted facility?		- Yes	☐ No			
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?		∐ Yes	∐ No			
CHANGES		<u> </u>	1			
CIMITOLIS		(check ☑ box for each				
Administrative Changes:		box for each	question)			
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of t						
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation						
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admir			⊠ No			
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	or the change?	□ i es	∐ No			
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been						
a. Installation of any new process equipment?		- Yes	⊠ No			
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?			⊠ No			
		Yes	⊠ No			
d. A change in ownership?		Yes	⊠ No			
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registratio	n form and the appropriate fee subr	nitted				
30 days prior to the change?		Yes	☐ No			
Tracy White	6/08/2011					
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection					
Inspector's Signature						
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Insp	pection				
COMMENTS. The cote was leaded for iller staff did not	among and the facility area and in	omanation N	o changes to			
COMMENTS: The gate was locked, facility staff did not appear to be present, and the facility was not in operation. No changes to equipment were noted. The facility appears to be in "long-term reserve shut down status."						
The last compliance test record indicated a test date review of 6/20/2006. When the facility resumes operation, please notify the NW						
District office Air program.						
Note: Certain sections of this inspection checklist could not be complet	ed due to insufficient information.					