

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

FACILITY NAME: FLORIDA ROCK INDUSTRIES, INC. FACILITY LOCATION: 6589 Bostick Road				
FACILITY NAME: FLORIDA ROCK INDUSTRIES, INC. FACILITY LOCATION: 6589 Bostick Road	—			
OTIMON				
QUINCY				
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: HUGH PERRY PHONE: (904)355-1781	-			
CONTACT NAME: PHONE:				
REMITTANCE YEAR: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/6/2003 / 9/6/2008 (effective date) (end date)				
(circuite dute) (circ dute)	۳			
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))				
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?				
2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?				
3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice?				
4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.)				
b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration?				
from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?				

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	ne ⊠Yes □ No
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	☐Yes ☐ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	e 🗌
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
H			
<u>Unconfined</u> Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)	t take researchle pressutions to control unconfined		
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:			
	nd yards, which shall include one or more of the following:		
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Yes No			
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control			
emissions?			
	ther paved areas under control of the owner/operator to		
	as to reduce airborne particulate matter? \bigsim Yes \bigsim No		
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of	wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of		
particulate matter from stock piles?	🖂 Yes 🗌 No		
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitig	gate emissions at the drop point to the truck? \Big Yes \Big No		
DADT IV. CDECIAL CONDITIONS AND DEOCEDIDES	C. D1. (2.210.200(4)(J)/4. E.A. C.		
PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> A. New or Modified Process Equipment	<u>5</u> – Ruie 62-210.300(4)(a)4., F.A.C.		
A. New or Mounted Frocess Equipment			
Since the last inspection has there been			
	it replacement?		
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially			
	Yes No		
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did th	<u> </u>		
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4			
1 0			
Tracy White	7/6/07		
·			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection		
[F			
COMMENTS:			
Contacts on-site: A.J. Parker			
Two silos were present, but both used one filter unit. One batch baghouse was present. The curtain and dust boot was in place. The			
equipment was not in operation during the inspection. The yard was somewhat dry. Recommend increased yard maintenance.			
The last compliance test report review was performed on 6/24/07 and was in-compliance.			