

## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: AN	NUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCO	VERY (CI)			
RE	-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT	NO:			
AIRS ID#: 0112061 DATE:	9/15/09	ARRIVE: <u>0850</u>	DEPART: <u>1000</u>			
FACILITY NAME: FLORII	OA ROCK INDUSTRIES II	NC.				
FACILITY LOCATION: 1480 SW POWERLINE RD						
DEERFIELD BEACH 33442-						
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL	: HUGH PERRY	РНО	<b>NE:</b> (904)355-1781			
CONTACT NAME: same PHONE:						
REMITTANCE YEAR:	ENTITL	EMENT PERIOD: 6/5/200 (effective				
PART I: <u>INSPECTION CO</u>	MPLIANCE STATUS (ch	eck 🗹 only one box)				
IN COMPLIANCE	MINOR Non-COME	PLIANCE SIGNIFIC	CANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))						
Stack Emissions						
1. Were visible emissions	tests conducted during this	site visit according to EPA	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter	⊠Yes □ No		
62-297, F.A.C.)?						
controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?   Yes  No  During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted						
at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice?						
4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then						
skip 4.a) and 4.b) and	continue on to question 5.)					
b) During the visible of	emissions test, was the batch	the visible emissions test? ning rate representative of th	e normal batching rate and			
		ration are controlled by a dus				
from the silo dust colle	ector, are the visible emissio	ons tests of the weigh hopper tative of the normal batching	(batcher) dust collector			

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)  1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of t annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  2. Did this facility demonstrate:  a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?  b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	☐Yes ☐ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)  4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	le 🗌
<ol> <li>(check  appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary  ; 2) a relocatable  ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check  only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)</li></ol>	ing
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)</li></ol>	ing ☐Yes ☑ No ☐Yes ☐ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)					
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))					
W # 15 1 (2 20 ( 220 ( 1 ) ) ) E A C )					
Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)	and the control was a fine of				
Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant takes a missions have	te reasonable precautions to control uncommed				
emissions by:					
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the follow 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?					
		∑Yes ☐ No			
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-s					
emissions? \(\simeg Yes \) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to					
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to		⊠Yes □ No			
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of win particulate matter from stock piles?		⊠v <sub>aa</sub> □ No			
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate	emissions at the drop point to the truck (	⊠Yes ∐ No			
PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> –	Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.				
A. New or Modified Process Equipment					
Since the last inspection has there been					
a) installation of any new process equipment?		☐Yes ⊠ No			
b) alterations to existing process equipment without re		□Yes ⊠ No			
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially diff					
recent notification form?	Yes No				
d) If you answered $\underline{YES}$ to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete					
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.05)					
local program office?		Yes No			
Art Pennetta	9/15/09				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	_			
	9/2010				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	_			
COMMENTS:					