

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUA	AL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCO	VERY (CI)			
RE-INS	SPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT	NO:			
AIDS ID#• 0112061 DATE• 4/6	INA	ADDIVE. Q.OO	DEDART. 0.55			
AIRS ID#: 0112061 DATE: 4/6/		ARRIVE: <u>9:00</u>	DEPART: <u>9:55</u>			
FACILITY NAME: FLORIDA I	ROCK INDUSTRIES IN	NC.				
FACILITY LOCATION: 1480 SW POWERLINE RD						
DEERFIELD BEACH 33442-						
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: H	UGH PERRY	PHC	ONE: (904)355-1781			
CONTACT NAME: same PHO		ONE:				
REMITTANCE YEAR:	ENTITL!	EMENT PERIOD: 6/5/20 (effective				
PART I: <u>INSPECTION</u> <u>COMP</u>	LIANCE STATUS (cho	eck v only one box)				
	MINOR Non-COMP	PLIANCE SIGNIFIC	CANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))						
Stack Emissions						
1. Were visible emissions test 62-297, F.A.C.)?	s conducted during this	site visit according to EPA	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter	∛Yes ∏ No		
2. Are emissions from silos, v	weigh hoppers (batchers	s), and other enclosed storag	ge and conveying equipment	_		
controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?						
at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice?						
4. Are emissions from the we to this question is "Yes". the		peration controlled by the siltions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If				
skip 4.a) and 4.b) and cont	inue on to question 5.)		· 🔀			
b) During the visible emis	sions test, was the batch	hing rate representative of th				
duration?5. If emissions from the weig			 st collector, which is separate]Yes ☐ No		
from the silo dust collector	r, are the visible emissio	ons tests of the weigh hopper]Yes 🗌 No		
				1		

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of tannual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	□Yes □ No n - □Yes □ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	le 🗌
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral process: plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, <i>then proceed to questions 2.a)</i>, <i>thru 2.d)</i>, <i>below</i>.)	ing □Yes ⊠ No □Yes □ No
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral process: plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, <i>then proceed to questions 2.a)</i>, <i>thru 2.d)</i>, <i>below</i>.)	ing ☐Yes ☑ No ☐Yes ☐ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)					
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	-				
<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)					
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take rea	asonable precautions to control unconfined				
emissions by:					
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards					
 paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock p 		∃Yes □ No			
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppr					
emissions?					
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other pave					
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to redu		□Yes □ No			
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind br					
particulate matter from stock piles?					
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emi	ssions at the drop point to the truck? L	∃Yes □ No			
DADE IV. SDECIAL CONDITIONS AND DEOCEDIDES Puls	- C2 210 200(A)(A)A FAC				
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule	: 62-210.300(4)(a)4., r.A.C.				
A. New or Modified Process Equipment					
Since the last inspection has there been					
a) installation of any new process equipment?	Г	$\neg_{\mathbf{v}_{\alpha\alpha}} \square_{\mathbf{N}_{0}}$			
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replace		_Yes			
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different		lies lino			
recent notification form?		Yes No			
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner	_	les lino			
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FA					
local program office?]Yes □ No			
local program office:		Tes Lino			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection				
COMMENTS:					