

### **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER	Y (CI)	
RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO:		
AIRS ID#: 0111013 DATE: <u>03/13/2014</u>	ARRIVE: <u>1300</u>	DEPART: <u>1430</u>	
FACILITY NAME: FT LAUDERDALE PLANT			
<b>FACILITY LOCATION:</b> 5512 NW 10TH TE	ERR		
FORT LAUDERDA	ALE 33309-2810		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Email: dmontalvan@adonelconcrete.com CONTACT NAME: ANAMARIA GARCIA* Email: amgarcia@adonelconcrete.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 5/28/2012 / 5/28/ (effective date) (end date)	Mobile: PHONE: Mobile: '2017	PHONE: (305)392-5416 (786)258-1173 (305)392-5416 (786)251-0429	
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  □ IN COMPLIANCE □ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE □ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
DAREN ONGRE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	9		
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING  1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Deyanirah I		(check ✓ only one box for each question)	
Brief Notes:			
2. Is the Authorized Representative still DEYANIRI If no, who is?:	HAH MONTALVAN*?	YesNo	
If different, did the facility provide an administrat  3. Is the facility contact still ANAMARIA GARCIA If no, who is?:			
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during toda. If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least			

# Emissions Unit Section 3 -CCB Plant-SE silo (flyash) w/individual baghouse, 70T subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
	Yes ☐ No Yes ☐ No Yes ☐ No	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:		
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	es No	
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?   Y	es No	
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? Y	Yes No	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🖂 Y	Yes No	
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	es ⊠ No Ves ⊠ No	

# Emissions Unit Section 4 –CCB Plant-NW silo (cement) w/individual baghouse, 82T subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
1. Date of last inspection: 06/13/2013 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? \[ \] N/A  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	- 🔲 Yes	□ No □ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and		
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:</li> </ol>	ed	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the	following:	ļ
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	X Yes	☐ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne		
particulate matter?	X Yes	☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	⊠ Vas	□ No
particulate matter from stock piles:	· 🖂 168	No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	☐ No
<ul> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:</li> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul>	Yes Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No
c. what caused the problem(s) (II known):		

# Emissions Unit Section 5 -CCB Plant-weigh hopper/batcher w/ central dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
1. Date of last inspection: 06/13/2013  2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	□ No ⊠ No ⊠ No
DADEN FIELD ODGDDYA FYONG D. L. (2.40/.414/2). F. A. G.		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>		
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control un emissions by:</li> </ol>	nconfined	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more		
<ol> <li>paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessar</li> </ol>		☐ No
control emissions?	Yes	☐ No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne		
particulate matter?		∐ No
particulate matter from stock piles?		☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the true	ck? X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No

### **Facility Section (continued)**

	<u> </u>		
<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check <b>b</b> ox for each	•
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:  a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?  b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?  c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	⊠ Yes	<ul><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li></ul>
2.	Does this facility include:  a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	- X Yes - Yes - Yes	<ul><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li></ul>
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared	<u>ane/yr</u> < 1.00 ne/yr	0?
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		☐ No
GENERAL CONDITIONS (check only one			
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	box for each ☐ Yes	question)
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	_	□ No
3.	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?		☐ No
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	X Yes	□No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	•
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>If only stationary, skip the followin</i>		1
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)	- Yes	☐ No
<ul> <li>a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone,</li> <li>e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?</li> <li>b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900]</li> </ul>		☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6	)]	□ No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?  3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation per		☐ No
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:  a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage If YES, what was the purpose?		☐ No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?	Yes	□ No □ No
CHANGES		
Administrative Changes:	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions un operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?</li></ol>	its or - 🔲 Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No
a. Installation of any new process equipment?  b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?  c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?  d. A change in ownership?		<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee sub 30 days prior to the change?	mitted -	⊠ No
Elizabeth F. Susky 03/13/2014		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)  Date of Inspection		
Inspector's Signature Approximate Date of Next Ins	pection	

**COMMENTS:** In a compliance inspection on 03/13/2014, AQD staff (E Susky) observed operations at Adonel Concrete. The facility is a concrete batch plant. Houskeeping was okay and the facility does utilize a truck wash out to remove concrete from the tires before the trucks leave the yard. However, AQD staff told management that they would need to work on sweeping the yard more to prevent concrete drag out.