CHARTER PROTECTION
Same Carles
FLORIDA

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER	Y (CI)
AIRS ID#: 0110030 DATE: <u>1/26/11</u>	ARRIVE: <u>0830</u>	DEPART: <u>1130</u>
FACILITY NAME: TARMAC FLORIDA		
FACILITY LOCATION: 1385 NW HAMMO	ONDVILLE RD	
POMPANO BEAC	ЕН 33064	
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: Terry Lancaster	PHONE:	(954)425-4167
CONTACT NAME: Abagail Diaz	PHONE:	
REMITTANCE YEAR: 2010 ENT	TITLEMENT PERIOD: <u>5/6/2010</u> (effective date)	/ <u>5/6/2015</u> (end date)
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATU	\underline{S} (check $\underline{\square}$ only one box)	
IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-C	COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICAN	T Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQU</u> (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	<u>IREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A	C.
 Stack Emissions Were visible emissions tests conducted during 62-297, F.A.C.)? Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (bat controlled to the extent necessary to limit visition 3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust at a rate that is representative of the normal signaless such rate is unachievable in practice?4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batchet to this question is "Yes", then continue on to skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question a) Was the batching operation in operation d b) During the visible emissions test, was the duration?	tchers), and other enclosed storage and ible emissions to 5 percent opacity? t collector exhaust points was the load ilo loading rate, or at least at the minin er) operation controlled by the silo dus questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answ n 5.)	

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (<i>continued</i>) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
 <u>Compliance Demonstration</u> - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
 New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form 	⊠Yes □ No
 submittal date? Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the	

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check 🗹 appropriate box(es))

1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ⊠; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (*Please check ⊠only one box.*)

2.	 If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processis plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, <i>then proceed to questions 2.a</i>), <i>thru 2.d</i>),) <i>below</i>.)	ing ☐Yes │No ☐Yes │No ☐Yes │No ☐Yes │No ☐Yes │No
3.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for: a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis? b) material processed on a monthly basis? c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1)	paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No
	2)	application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control
		emissions?
	3)	removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to
		re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? Xes No
	4)	reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of
		particulate matter from stock piles? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No
b)	use	e of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Xes No

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>	
 Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?	Tyes No

Art Pennetta

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

1/26/11

Date of Inspection

1/2012

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: VE testing by Tarmac personnel. Block plant is currently inactive and no VE testing was done on it.