	WHENTIL PROTECTION
No.	and Come
DENTING	FLORIDA

**CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT** 



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:       ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)       COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)         RE-INSPECTION (FUI)       ARMS COMPLAINT NO:					
AIRS ID#: 0110017 DATE: 7/8/13	ARRIVE: <u>1415</u>		DEPART: <u>16</u>	<u>00</u>	
FACILITY NAME: PRE-CAST SPECIALTIES					
FACILITY LOCATION: 1380 NE 48TH ST					
POMPANO BEACH 3	3064-4903				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: STAN Email: stan@precastspecialties.com CONTACT NAME: STAN MORA Email: stan@precastspecialties.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 2/14/2011 / 2/14/2016 (effective date) (end date)	N MORA	Mobile:	(954)781-4040 (954)781-4040		
Fa	acility Section				
PART I: <u>INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> (ch	_		Non-COMPLIAN	NCE	
<ul> <li>PART II: <u>ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING</u></li> <li>1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>Stan Mora</u> Brief Notes:</li> </ul>				check ☑ x for each	only one question)
<ol> <li>Is the Authorized Representative still STAN MORA? If no, who is?:</li> </ol>			D	Yes Yes	□No
If different, did the facility provide an administrative up 3. Is the facility contact still STAN MORA?				] Yes ☑ Yes	□No □No
<ol> <li>Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's ins If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15</li> </ol>	spection? days in advance?		[ [	Yes Yes	⊠No □No

5-CCB Plant-No.Plant,silo001(cement)w/silotopbaghouse,215T subject to Reasonable Precautions

5 - CCD Hant-No.1 Iant, snooo1(Cement/w/snoopbaghouse, 2151 Subject to Reasonable Freeautions				
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)		
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>6/27/12</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity? N/A</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ol>	🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No		
<u>PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.</u> <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)		
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconf emissions by:</li> </ol>	ined			
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the	e following:			
<ol> <li>i) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to</li> </ol>		🗌 No		
<ul><li>control emissions?</li></ul>	Xes	🗌 No		
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne				
particulate matter?		🗌 No		
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No		
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No		

2.	. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:	
	a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes	🗌 No
	b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? [ Yes	🗌 No
	c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	

<u>6 – CCB Plant-N.Plant,002weighhopr/batchr/2mixrsw/centralb-house subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>				
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)		
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>6/27/12</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ol>	- 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No □ No		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.         Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and         Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards         1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined	box for each	only one question)		
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the <ol> <li>paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?</li> <li>removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?</li> <li>reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?</li> </ol> </li> <li>b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?</li> </ul>	following: - 🛛 Yes 🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	<ul> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>b. Use of spray bar, chute, of partial enclosure to initigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?</li> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: <ul> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No		

<u>7 – CCB Plant-So.Plant, silo003 (cement) w/silotopbaghouse, 215 T subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>		
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>6/27/12</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity? N/A</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ol>	🗌 Yes	□ No □ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by:	ned	
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?</li> </ul>	- Xes	□ No
<ul> <li>3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?</li> <li>4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of</li> </ul>	🗌 Yes	🗌 No

particulate matter from stock piles? ----- Yes

b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? ---- 🗌 Yes

a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? ------ Yes b. If tested: (\_\_\_\_\_)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? ------ Yes

2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

No No

No No

No No D No

8 – CCB Plant-S.Plant,004weighhopr/batchr/1mixrw/centralbaghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions		
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check $\blacksquare$ only one box for each question)	
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>6/27/12</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ol>	Yes No	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Ya	(check ☑ only one box for each question)	
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to contr emissions by:	rol unconfined	
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or n <ol> <li>paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when nec control emissions?</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	Yes No cessary to	
<ul> <li>3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?</li> <li>4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entra particulate matter from stock piles?</li></ul>	ne e Yes No ainment of	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the	e truck? 🗌 Yes 🗌 No	
<ul> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:</li> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul>	Yes No Yes No	

# Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹	only one
	box for each	-
<ol> <li>Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:         <ul> <li>a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?</li> <li>b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?</li> <li>c. 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	Yes	□ No □ No □ No
<ol> <li>Does this facility include:         <ul> <li>a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?</li> <li>If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?</li> </ul> </li> </ol>		🛛 No
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		🛛 No
<ul> <li>3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:</li> <li>a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?</li> <li>b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline?</li> <li>c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas?</li> <li>d. 1.3 million gallons of propane?</li> <li>e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?</li> </ul>	Yes   Yes   Yes	<ul> <li>□ No</li> <li>□ No</li> <li>□ No</li> <li>□ No</li> <li>□ No</li> </ul>
gal diesel/yrgal gasoline/yrMM SCF nat. gas/yrMM gal prop275,000 gal diesel/yr23,000 gal gasoline/yr44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr1.3 MM gal propa		)?
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consume for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		🗌 No

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ onl box for each que	-
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution	n control	7
devices? 2. Does the owner or operator:	Yes	No
a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?		No
<ul><li>b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and compterms and conditions of the air general permit?</li><li>3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Depterment.</li></ul>	Xes	] No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with t permit and Department rules?	the air general	] No

<b>RELOCATABLE PLANT:</b> 1. Is the facility: stationary 🖾; relocatable □; or consisting of both st	box for eac	only one only one only one
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>If</i>		2.)
(If YES, answer 2. a and 2 .b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)	Yes	🗌 No
<ul> <li>a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or L e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day p</li> <li>b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifica</li> </ul>	rior to changing location?  Yes	🗌 No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busines c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notificat	ss days following a relocation? Yes	🗌 No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five b		🗌 No
<ul> <li>3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate ai and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purp If YES, what was the purpose?</li> <li>b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was a separate and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purp If YES, what was the purpose?</li> </ul>	n that separate permit: ose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)?  Yes was	🗌 No
co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	Ves	D No No
CHANGES Administrative Changes:		only one only one only one
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> </ol>	of the facility or any emissions units or istrative change at the facility? Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No
<ul> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been         <ul> <li>a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li> <li>b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No
<ul> <li>c. Replacement of existing process equipment with equipment that is substa d. A change in ownership?</li> </ul>	ntially different? Yes	$\square$ No $\square$ No
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration 30 days prior to the change?	n form and the appropriate fee submitted	🗌 No
Art Pennetta	7/8/13	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
	7/2014	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	
<b>COMMENTS:</b> Facility uses no fuel oils. Facility has multiple silos to	dispense concrete for structual concrete formi	ng.