

## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCO	VERY (CI)		
RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT	NO:		
AIRS ID#: 0110017 DATE: <u>1/7/2010</u>	ARRIVE: <u>0840</u>	DEPART: <u>1130</u>		
FACILITY NAME: PRE-CAST SPECIALTIES, INC				
FACILITY LOCATION: 1380 NE 48 ST				
POMPANO BEACH 33	3064			
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: Fred Cianelli	РНС	ONE: (954)781-4040		
CONTACT NAME: David Moss	РНС	ONE: (		
REMITTANCE YEAR: ENTITLI	EMENT PERIOD: 12/18/			
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (che	eck 🗹 only one box)			
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMP	PLIANCE SIGNIFIC	CANT Non-COMPLIANCE		
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREM (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	<u> //ENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414	, F.A.C.		
Stack Emissions				
1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this 62-297, F.A.C.)?	site visit according to EPA	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter		
2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers	s), and other enclosed storag	ge and conveying equipment		
controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?				
at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice? \overline{\text{Ves}} \overline{\text{No}} No				
unless such rate is unachievable in practice?	ading rate, or at least at the	\ \ Yes \ \ No		
4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) open	ading rate, or at least at the error controlled by the sil			
4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) oper to this question is "Yes", then continue on to quest skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.)	ading rate, or at least at the eration controlled by the sil tions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If			
<ul><li>4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) opto this question is "Yes", then continue on to quest skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.)-a) Was the batching operation in operation during b) During the visible emissions test, was the batch</li></ul>	peration controlled by the siltions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If the visible emissions test?-ning rate representative of the	lo dust collector? (If answer f answer is "No" then		
<ul><li>4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) opto this question is "Yes", then continue on to quest skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.)a) Was the batching operation in operation during</li></ul>	peration controlled by the siltions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If the visible emissions test?-ning rate representative of the			
<ul> <li>4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) opto to this question is "Yes", then continue on to quest skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.)-a) Was the batching operation in operation during b) During the visible emissions test, was the batch duration?</li> </ul>	peration controlled by the silting the visible emissions test?- ing rate representative of the visible and a duple on the tests of the weigh hopper.	lo dust collector? (If answer f answer is "No" then		

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)  1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of th annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	ne ⊠Yes □ No
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  2. Did this facility demonstrate:  a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?  b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	⊠Yes □ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)  4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	÷ 🗆
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————</li></ol>	ng
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————</li></ol>	ng

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)				
(check  appropriate box(es))				
<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)				
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant tak	e reasonable precautions to control unconfined			
emissions by:				
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and y		lowing:		
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? \( \subseteq Yes \)				
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-s				
	emissions?			
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other	paved areas under control of the owner/operator	r to		
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to	reduce airborne particulate matter?	⊠Yes □ No		
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of win	d breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of			
particulate matter from stock piles?		☐Yes ☐ No		
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate				
	1 1			
PART IV: <u>SPECIAL</u> <u>CONDITIONS</u> <u>AND</u> <u>PROCEDURES</u> – 1	Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.			
A. New or Modified Process Equipment				
Since the last inspection has there been				
a) installation of any new process equipment?		☐Yes ⊠ No		
b) alterations to existing process equipment without rep	placement?	☐Yes ⊠ No		
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most				
recent notification form?		□Yes ⊠ No		
d) If you answered <b>YES</b> to any of the above, did the ov				
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050				
local program office?		□Yes □ No		
local program office:				
Art Pennetta	1/7/2010			
		_		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
	1/2011			
	<del></del>	_		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection			
COMMENTS:				
COMMENTS:				