

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCO ARMS COMPLAINT	· / <u> </u>		
AIRS ID#: 1190008 DATE: 5/22/14	ARRIVE: 1:00	DEPART: <u>1:30</u>		
FACILITY NAME: CEMEX BUSHNELL READ				
FACILITY LOCATION: 7388 CR 745				
BUSHNELL 335	513-9042			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Email: sigurdm.bo@cemex.com CONTACT NAME: SIGURD BO Email: sigurdm.bo@cemex.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 8/2/2013 / 8/2/20 (effective date) (end date)	Mob PHO Mob	ONE: (407)312-7119		
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
DADT H. ONCITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Mike Ranso		(check ✓ only one box for each question)		
Brief Notes: 2. Is the Authorized Representative still SIGURD B If no, who is?:	O?			
If different, did the facility provide an administrat 3. Is the facility contact still SIGURD BO? If no, who is?:				
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during toda If yes, was the compliance authority notified at le				

Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-RM silo (cement) w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

1—CCD Frant-Kivi sno (cement) wishotop bagnouse subject to Reasonable Freeautions				
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)		
Date of last inspection: 7/15/09 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	No No No		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)		
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ned			
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	X Yes	□ No□ No		
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	_	□ No□ No		
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🛚 Yes	☐ No		
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No		

Emissions Unit Section 2 –CCB Plant-RM silo (flyash/slag) w/cartridge dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	
Date of last inspection: 7/15/09 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ned	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? 	-	 No No No No No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	□ No □ No

Emissions Unit Section 3 –CCB Plant-RM weigh hopper w/baghouse batcher vent subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	
Date of last inspection: 7/15/09 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ned	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? 	-	 No No No No No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	□ No □ No

Emissions Unit Section 4 –CCB Plant-BLOCK silo (cement)#1 w/4 cartridge dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each q	
Date of last inspection: 7/15/09 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Tyes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each q	only one question)
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by:	ned	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	- X Yes	□ No□ No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	_	□ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🛚 Yes	□ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		□ No □ No

Emissions Unit Section 5 -CCB Plant-BLOCK weigh hopper/mxr#1 w2 cart. dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	
Date of last inspection: 7/15/09 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfir emissions by: Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	following: Yes Yes Yes	 No No No No No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	-	No No No

Emissions Unit Section 6 –CCB Plant-BLOCK silo (cement)#2 w/4 cartridge dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	
Date of last inspection: 7/15/09 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfir emissions by: Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	following: Yes Yes Yes	 No No No No No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	-	No No No

Emissions Unit Section 7 –CCB Plant-BLOCK weigh hopper/mxr#2 w2 cart. dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each q	
Date of last inspection: 7/15/09 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Tyes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each q	only one question)
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by:	ned	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	- X Yes	□ No□ No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	_	□ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🛚 Yes	□ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		□ No □ No

Facility Section (continued)

CO	NFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY			
<u></u>			eck 🗹 (
		box 1	or each q	[uestion)
	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:			
	a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?			∐ No
	5. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?			∐ No
(c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	\boxtimes	Yes	∐ No
2. 1	Does this facility include:			
	a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception	of		
ι	units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or			
]	Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	- 🔲	Yes	⊠ No
	If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?			
. 1	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene	ra1		
	permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		Yes	⊠ No
1	If YES, what other general permit units or activities?		105	
	·			
	s the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:		• •	
	a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?			∐ No
	5. 23,000 gallons of gasoline?			∐ No
	e. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas?			∐ No □ No
	e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?		Yes	□ No
,	2. Of all equivalent profated amount if martiple facts are used offsite (use equation below).		103	
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal prop	ane/yr	<u>≤ 1.00?</u>	?
2	275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propar	ne/yr		
4 1		4		
4. J	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumers of each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	iption	Vac	☐ No
	of each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	- 🖂	105	☐ NO
CF	NERAL CONDITIONS		. 🗖	_
OL.	NEKAL CONDITIONS		eck 🗹 (•
		box 1	or each q	[uestion]
	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed			
t	he emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control			
	devices?	🗌	Yes	⊠ No
	Does the owner or operator:		3 7	
	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	- 凶	Yes	∐ No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?	- 🖂	Vec	☐ No
3 1	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, acces	- 🖂 s	105	☐ 140
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general	-		
	permit and Department rules?		X 7	☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check 🗹	only one
1. Is the facility: stationary \(\); relocatable \(\); or consisting of both stationary and relocatable \(\) concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the following</i>)	box for each g question 2.)	question)
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2 .b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)	- Yes	☐ No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] 		☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	Yes	☐ No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation? -		☐ No
 3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation perr and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage) If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?)? Yes	□ No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	- Yes	□ No
CHANGES Administrative Changes:	(check ☑ box for each	
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represental associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions uni operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	its or - 🔲 Yes	⊠ No □ No
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? d. A change in ownership?	-	NoNoNoNoNoNo
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee subs 30 days prior to the change?	mitted -	☐ No
Patrick Farris 5/22/14		
Inspector's Name (Please Print) Date of Inspection		
Inspector's Signature Approximate Date of Next Inspector	nection	

COMMENTS: The Ready Mix Plant was not in operation and had not been for some time. All equipment and EUs are still onsite. The block plant was in operation during the inspection. There were no visual emmisions noted. The yard was clean and free of particulate. The most recent VE test was not onsite, but was e-mailed to me on 5/22/14.