	NUMER	IN PROTECTIC	W M	
Mar	Stear	VA	Jese	
DEMON	FLOF	RIDA	~	
-				

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)		DISCOVERY (CI)	
AIRS ID#: 1050247 DATE: <u>1/5/11</u>	ARRIVE: <u>9:25</u>	DEPART:	9:40
FACILITY NAME: MULBERRY FACILITY			
FACILITY LOCATION: 2005 INDUSTRIA	AL PKWY RD		
MULBERRY 33	3860		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE:	TONY SEGREE	PHONE: (863)425-555	55
Email: CONTACT NAME: TONY SEGREE		Mobile: PHONE: (863)425-555	55
Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 12/17/2009 / 12. (effective date) (end d	2/17/2014 date)	Mobile:	
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATE		;)	
IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-	COMPLIANCE SIG	GNIFICANT Non-COMPL	JANCE
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETIN	<u>1G</u>		(check 🗹 only one
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s):			box for each question)
Brief Notes:			
 Is the Authorized Representative still TONY SEC If no, who is?: 			YesNo
If different, did the facility provide an administra 3. Is the facility contact still TONY SEGREE? If no, who is?:	ative update within 30 days?	?	☐ Yes ☐No ∑ Yes ☐No
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during tod If yes, was the compliance authority notified at le	ay's inspection? east 15 days in advance?		

Emissions Unit Section

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION 1. Date of last inspection: 2/19/08	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
 2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing 	⊠ Yes □ Yes	□ No ⊠ No
operation? N/A	Yes	🗌 No
 d. Date of last VE test: <u>11/18/10</u> e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing? g. What was the actual silo loading rate? <u>25</u> tons/hour 		□ No □ No
 h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour 	Yes Yes	□ No ⊠ No
 k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)? 	Xes Yes	🗌 No
DADT IL STACK EMISSIONS from a sila weigh homen(hotohon) on other		
PART II: <u>STACK EMISSIONS</u> from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	🛛 No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	🗌 No
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? If not, what was the problem (if known)? 	Yes	🗌 No
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo co		
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? \Box Yes \Box No \Box N/A – silo not load e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?		No
 f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? 	Yes	🗌 No
If YES, then continue on to questions $g(1) - g(3)$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g(1) - g(3)$ and go to 1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?		🗌 No
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching ra duration?	- 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
 3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? minute. h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which 		
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust coll conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration ² 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minut	lector ? 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	⊠ No □ No
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? 		
d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.		

Emissions Unit Section

2 –	CCB	Plant-v	veigh	batcher	w/vent	dust	collector	subje	ect to	5%	Opacity	Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		only one
 Date of last inspection: <u>2/19/08</u> Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? 	box for each o Yes Yes	question) □ No ☑ No
 c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?	Yes	🗌 No
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?g. What was the actual silo loading rate? tons/hour	Yes Yes	D No No
 h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour 	Yes Yes	□ No ⊠ No
 k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)? 	Yes Yes	🗌 No
PART II: <u>STACK EMISSIONS</u> from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	🛛 No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	🗌 No
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	Yes	🗌 No
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo con		
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? \Box Yes \Box No \Box N/A – silo not loade e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?		ection.
f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour	_	
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? If YES, then continue on to questions $g(1) - g(3)$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g(1) - g(3)$ and go to h	\square Yes	🗌 No
1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	Yes	🗌 No
 2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate duration? 2) What was the batching rate? 	Yes	🗌 No
 3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? minut h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which 		
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust colle conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?	Yes	🗌 No
 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute. 2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? 	s.	🛛 No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	D No
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? d. What was the process rate? tons/hour. 	Yes	🗌 No

Emissions Unit Section

		1
PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check 🗹	only one
	box for each	•
1. Date of last inspection: $2/19/08$		1
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?		∐ No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	Yes	🖾 No
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing	—	—
operation? N/A	Yes	∐ No
d. Date of last VE test: $\frac{11/18/10}{11/18/10}$		—
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?		∐ No
f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?	- 🖂 Yes	∐ No
g. What was the actual silo loading rate? 25 tons/hour		
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state		
whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A	Yes	No No
i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?	- 🗌 Yes	No No
j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour		
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test?	🛛 Yes	No No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	(check 🗹	only one
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each	•
	bon for each	question,
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	- 🗌 Yes	🛛 No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	- 🗌 Yes	□ No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.		
c. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	- 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo co	onducted at a ra	ate
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? \Box Yes \Box No \Box N/A – silo not loa		
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?		
f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour		
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	T Yes	🗌 No
If YES, then continue on to questions $g(1) - g(3)$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g(1) - g(3)$ and go to		
1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?		□ No
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate		
duration?	\Box Yes	🗌 No
3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? min		
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which		
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust col	-	
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration		🗌 No
2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minut		
 Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? 		🛛 No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit.		
	_	
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.		\square No
		🗌 No

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹	only one
	box for each	
 Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c. 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? 	🛛 Yes - 🕅 Yes	□ No □ No □ No
 Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? 		🛛 No
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		🛛 No
 3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? 	🛛 Yes 🖾 Yes 🖾 Yes	No No No No No No No No
gal diesel/yrgal gasoline/yrMM SCF nat. gas/yr+MM gal propaga275,000 gal diesel/yr23,000 gal gasoline/yr44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr1.3 MM gal propaga		?
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consume for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		🗌 No

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	•
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	🗌 Yes	🖂 No
2. Does the owner or operator:a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?		No
b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, acces		🗌 No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary 🖂; relocatable]; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable]	(check 🗹 box for each	question)
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If only stationary, skip the following	ng question 2.))
 Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900] 		🗌 No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(🗌 Yes	🗌 No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?		🗌 No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation pe and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usag If YES, what was the purpose? 		🗌 No
 b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration? 	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	D No No
CHANGES	(check ☑ box for each	
Administrative Changes:		question)
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represent associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions u		
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	🗌 Yes	⊠ No □ No
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	🗌 Yes	🛛 No
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	[] Yes	\bowtie No
c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?d. A change in ownership?	🗌 Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee su 30 days prior to the change?	bmitted 🗌 Yes	🗌 No

Max Grondahl

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

1/5/11

Date of Inspection

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Facility had prior violation for open burning. Check site of former burn box, and it has been removed. Mr. Segree stated that the facility now disposes of all carboard in a large dumpster. No visible were observed while on site. The site is well maintained and most surfaces are paved and clean. No silo loading was performed while I was on site, but I did observe one ready mix truck loadout.